

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

SR-9/I-95 @ SR 842/Broward Boulevard (Broward Boulevard from West of SW 24th Avenue to East of NW/SW 18th Avenue)

Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study

Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) No.: 14226

Broward County, Florida Financial Project ID Number: 435513-1-22-02

Prepared for: Florida Department of Transportation, District Four 3400 West Commercial Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

April 2019

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. §327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.



TECHNICAL REPORT COVERSHEET

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

Florida Department of Transportation

District 4

SR-9/I-95 @ SR-842/Broward Boulevard

Limits of Project: Broward Boulevard from West of SR 24th Avenue to East of NW/SW 18th Avenue

Broward County, Florida

Financial Management Number: 435513-1-22-02

ETDM Number: 14226

Date: April 2019

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

Executive Summary

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Four, is currently conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study that is evaluating potential improvements to the SR-9/I-95 and SR-842/Broward Boulevard Interchange in the City of Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. The primary purpose of this project is to improve system linkage, traffic operations, and modal interrelationships at the I-95 and Broward Boulevard Interchange. Additional goals of this project are to address Capacity, Safety, Travel Demands, and Emergency Evacuation.

The project area extends along SR-9/I-95 from just south of Davie Boulevard to just south of Sunrise Boulevard, a distance of approximately two miles, and along Broward Boulevard from NW 24th Avenue to NW/SW 18th Avenue, a distance of approximately one half mile. The study area includes the median ramp connections to the Park-and-Ride lots from I-95 north and south of Broward Boulevard.

The objective of this cultural resource assessment survey (CRAS) was to identify cultural resources and assess their eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) according to the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4. This assessment complies with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 (Public Law 89-665, as amended), as implemented by 36 CFR 800 -- Protection of Historic Properties (incorporating amendments effective August 5, 2004); Stipulation VII of the Programmatic Agreement among the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR), the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), and the FDOT Regarding Implementation of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Florida (Section 106 Programmatic Agreement, effective March 2016, amended June 7, 2017); Section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (42 USC 4321 et seq.), as implemented by the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508); Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966, as amended (49 USC 303 and 23 USC 138); the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes (F.S.); and the standards embodied in the FDHR's Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual (February 2003), and Chapter 1A-46 (Archaeological and Historical Report Standards and Guidelines), Florida Administrative Code. In addition, this report was prepared in conformity with standards set forth in Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT Project Development and Environment Manual (effective January 14, 2019). All work also conforms to professional guidelines set forth in the Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation (48 FR 44716, as amended and annotated).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Principal Investigators meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture. Archaeological investigations were conducted under the direction of James P. Pepe, M.A., RPA. Historic resource investigations were conducted under the direction of Amy Groover Streelman, M.H.P.

No newly or previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within the archaeological area of potential effect (APE). Background research and a reconnaissance survey determined that the APE has a low probability for archaeological sites. Subsurface testing was not feasible within the archaeological APE due to the presence of existing pavement, sidewalks, landscaping, berms, and buried utilities.

The CRAS identified a total of 52 historic resources within the historic APE. The identified historic resources include one cemetery (North Woodlawn Cemetery–8BD4879), two resource groups (Seaboard Air Line [CSX] Railroad–8BD4649 and the Salvation Army Complex–8BD6346), and 49 buildings (8BD1452 and 8BD6298–8BD6344). Four of the historic resources were previously recorded (8BD1452, 8BD3414, 8BD4649, and 8BD4879), and 48 are newly recorded (8BD6298–8BD6344 and 8BD6347).

Three historic resources, Seaboard Airline Railroad Station at 200 SW 21st Terrace (8BD1452), Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), and North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879), were previously determined National Register—eligible by the SHPO. The Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452) was determined eligible for the National Register in 1999. The portion of the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) within the current APE was previously documented and found National Register—eligible as a result of the *CRAS* of the *SR* 9/I-95 PD&E Study from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Boulevard, conducted by Janus Research in 2013. North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879) was also determined National Register—eligible by the SHPO as a result of the 2013 CRAS. The cemetery has already passed the state review board and is currently being reviewed for listing in the National Register by the national review board as of the time of this report. The field survey conducted as part of the current CRAS found that no notable alterations have taken place to any of these three significant historic resources since the time of their previous evaluations. Therefore, all three remain eligible for inclusion in the National Register.

All other historic resources located within the current APE are considered individually ineligible for inclusion in the National Register. Almost all the newly recorded historic buildings are representative of common postwar constructed architecture that does not possess sufficient significance for individual listing in the National Register. Many of these buildings also exhibit exterior modifications that compromise their historic integrity. The majority of the buildings are Masonry Vernacular in style, with few notable architectural features. The fieldwork found that the



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

neighborhoods within the APE also contain a large number of demolitions and vacant lots, non-historic infill, and substantial alternations on many of the historic homes that do remain. Therefore, there is no potential for a National Register historic district anywhere within the current project APE.

All newly identified historic resources received Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms. FMSF forms were not updated for the three National Register–eligible resources, as their physical characteristics and eligibility status remains unchanged. The FMSF form was updated for the previously recorded house located at 2015 NW 3rd Court (8BD3414), and this resource is still considered ineligible for the National Register. The previous forms for the three National Register–eligible resources, as well as all of the newly completed FMSF forms, are contained in **Appendix A**.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Contents

Execu	utive Summary	
Conte	ents	iv
List	of Appendices	v
List	of Tables	vi
List	of Figures	vi
Abbre	eviations	
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Project Description	2
2.1	Project Location	2
2.2	Description of Existing Facilities	4
2.3	Purpose and Need	7
2.4	Description of the Proposed Action	8
2	.4.1 Mainline I-95 Build Alternative	8
2	2.4.2 Broward Boulevard Interchange Build Alternatives	g
2	2.4.3 Eastbound Broward Boulevard to Southbound 95 Express Alternatives	11
2	.4.4 Park-and-Ride Lot Build Alternatives	12
2	.4.5 Preferred Alternative	22
3.0	Project History	23
4.0	Related Active Projects within the Study Area	24
5.0	Area of Potential Effect	25
6.0	Environmental Setting	30
6.1	Paleo-Environment and Macro-Vegetational Change	30
6.2	Regional Environment	31
6.3	Physical Environment of the Project Area	31
7.0	Precontact Overview	34
7.1	Paleoindian Period (12,000-7,500 BC)	34



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

7.2	Archaic Period (7,500-500 BC)	35
7.3	2.1 Early Archaic Period (7,500-5,000 BC)	35
7.3	2.2 Middle Archaic Period (5,000-3,000 BC)	36
7.	2.3 Late Archaic Period (3,000-500 BC)	37
7.3	Formative Period (500 BC-AD 1513)	40
7.3	3.1 Glades Culture	42
8.0	Historical Overview	44
8.1	European Contact and Colonial Period (ca. 1513-1821)	44
8.2	The Territorial and Statehood Period (1821-1860)	46
8.3	Civil War and Post War Period (1860-1898)	47
8.4	Spanish-American War Period/Turn-of-the-Century (1898-1916)	50
8.5	World War I and Aftermath Period (1917-1920)	52
8.6	Florida Boom Period (1920-1930)	53
8.7	Depression and New Deal Period (1930-1940)	55
8.8	World War II and the Post War Period (1940-1950)	56
8.9	Modern Period (1950-Present)	57
9.0	Florida Master Site File Search and Literature Review	64
9.1	Previously Conducted Cultural Resource Surveys	64
9.2	Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites	66
9.3	Previously Recorded Historic Resources	69
9.4	Potential Historic Resources	69
9.5	Summary of ETDM Comments	70
10.0	Project Research Design and Site Location Model	71
10.1	Precontact Archaeological Site Location Model	71
10.2	Historic Archaeological Site Location Model	72
11.0	Methods	73
11.1	Archaeological Field Methods	73
11.2	Historic Resources Field Methods	73



11.3 12.0 Results 75 12.1 12.2 12.2.1 12.2.2 13.0 13.1 13.2

References 129

List of Appendices

14.0

Appendix A | Florida Master Site File Forms

Appendix B | SHPO Letters for FMSF Survey Nos. 19752 and 20623

Appendix C | Field Conditions

Appendix D | Survey Log



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

List of Tables

Table 1 Detailed Soil Types within the Archaeological APE	33
Table 2 Glades Cultural Sequence	43
Table 3 Land Apportionment in the Project Area as Recorded in the Tract Book Records	50
Table 4 Previous Cultural Resource Surveys within or adjacent to the Project Area	65
Table 5 Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile of the Archaeological APE	67
Table 6 Previous Recorded Historic Resources within the Historic Resources APE	69
Table 7 Identified Historic Resources within the Historic APE	79
List of Figures	
Figure 1 Study Limits	3
Figure 2 Existing Park-and-Ride Conditions	6
Figure 3A 95 Express Ingress-Egress Connections with Broward Boulevard Interchange	14
Figure 3B 95 Express Ingress-Egress Connections with Broward Boulevard Interchange	15
Figure 4 Alternative 1 – Tight Diamond	16
Figure 5 Alternative 2A – Displaced Left	17
Figure 6 Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2B – Modified Displaced Left with Combined Roundabout)	18
Figure 7 Park and Ride Alternative 1 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left	
Alternative	
Figure 8 Park and Ride Alternative 2 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left	
Alternative	
Figure 9 Park and Ride Alternative 3 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left	
Alternative	
Figure 10a Project APE (Map 1 of 4)	
Figure 10b Project APE (Map 2 of 4)	
Figure 10c Project APE (Map 3 of 4)	
Figure 10d Project APE (Map 4 of 4)	
Figure 11 Glades Cultural Region	
Figure 12 1949 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE	
Figure 13 1958 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE	
Figure 14 1968 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE	
Figure 15 1973 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE	
Figure 16 Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile and Archaeological Significant Zones	
Figure 17 Landscaped Berm East Side of I-95 Along NW 21st Avenue, Facing Southwest	/5



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 18 Utilities Along Broward Boulevard at NW 18 th Avenue, Facing East	76	
Figure 19 Standing Water within I-95 ROW at Davie Boulevard, Facing South		
Figure 20a Identified Historic Resources (Overview Map)	82	
Figure 20b Identified Historic Resources (Map 1 of 4)	83	
Figure 20c Identified Historic Resources (Map 2 of 4)		
Figure 20d Identified Historic Resources (Map 3 of 4)		
Figure 20e Identified Historic Resources (Map 4 of 4)	86	
Figure 21 Seaboard Airline Railroad Station, located at 200 SW 21st Terrace (8BD1452), facing Southeast	87	
Figure 22 Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), as seen from Broward Boulevard overpass, facing		
North	88	
Figure 23 North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879), facing West toward I-95	89	
Figure 24 1958 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)	91	
Figure 25 1968 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)	92	
Figure 26 1988 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)	93	
Figure 27 Current aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), property boundaries in red	94	
Figure 28 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 1, c. 1957, looking North	95	
Figure 29 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 2, c. 1967, looking Northwest	96	
Figure 30 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Adult Rehabilitation Center, c. 1967, looking Northeast	97	
Figure 31 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 4, c. 1985, looking West	99	
Figure 32 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Office 1, c. 1978, looking Northwest	. 100	
Figure 33 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Office 2, c. 1985, looking West	. 101	
Figure 34 Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Super Store, c. 2000, looking Northeast	. 102	
Figure 35 2015 NW 3 rd Court (8BD3414), c. 1951, facing North	. 103	
Figure 36 1920–1932 NW 9 th Street (8BD6298), c. 1958, facing Southeast	. 104	
Figure 37 808 NW 20 th Avenue (8BD6299), c. 1949, facing Northwest	. 104	
Figure 38 729 NW 20 th Avenue (8BD6300), c. 1960, facing Southwest	. 105	
Figure 39 632 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6301), c. 1952, facing Southwest	. 105	
Figure 40 616 NW 22 nd Road (8BD6302), c. 1960, facing East	. 106	



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 49 420 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6311), c. 1962, facing Southeast	110
Figure 50 416 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6312), c. 1962, facing Northeast	111
Figure 51 412 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6313), c. 1962, facing Northeast	111
Figure 52 536 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6314), c. 1950, facing Northeast	112
Figure 53 532 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6315), c. 1950, facing Northeast	112
Figure 54 528 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6316), c. 1950, facing Southeast	113
Figure 55 524 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6317), c. 1950, facing Northeast	113
Figure 56 520 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6318), c. 1950, facing Northeast	114
Figure 57 516 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6319), c. 1950, facing Northeast	114
Figure 58 512 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6320), c. 1950, facing Southeast	115
Figure 59 508 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6321), c. 1950, facing Southeast	115
Figure 60 504 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6322), c. 1950, facing Southeast	116
Figure 61 500 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6323), c. 1950, facing Northeast	116
Figure 62 444 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6324), c. 1950, facing Northeast	117
Figure 63 440 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6325), c. 1950, facing Southeast	117
Figure 64 436 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6326), c. 1950, facing Southeast	118
Figure 65 432 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6327), c. 1950, facing Northeast	118
Figure 66 428 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6328), c. 1950, facing Northeast	119
Figure 67 424 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6329), c. 1950, facing Southeast	119
Figure 68 420 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6330), c. 1950, facing Southeast	120
Figure 69 416 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6331), c. 1950, facing Northeast	120
Figure 70 412 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6332), c. 1950, facing Southeast	121
Figure 71 408 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6333), c. 1950, facing Northeast	121
Figure 72 404 NW 21 st Avenue (8BD6334), c. 1951, facing Northeast	122
Figure 73 2019 NW 3 rd Court (8BD6335), c. 1955, facing Northeast	122
Figure 74 2016 NW 3 rd Court (8BD6336), c. 1965, facing South	123
Figure 75 2008 NW 3 rd Court (8BD6337), c. 1962, facing Southeast	123
Figure 76 2001 NW 3 rd Court (8BD6338), c. 1959, facing North	124
Figure 77 1800–1803 W Broward Boulevard (8BD6339), c. 1963, facing Northwest	124
Figure 78 709 SW 20 th Terrace (8BD6340), c. 1954, facing West	125
Figure 79 713 SW 20 th Terrace (8BD6341), c. 1953, facing West	125

 Figure 80 | 717 SW 20th Terrace (8BD6342), c. 1953, facing Southwest
 126

 Figure 81 | 1914 SW 8th Street (8BD6343), c. 1953, facing Southwest
 126

 Figure 82 | 1910 SW 8th Street (8BD6344), c. 1955, facing Southwest
 127



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Abbreviations

ACHP Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

APE Area of Potential Effect

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CFR Code of Federal Regulation

CRAS Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

FDEP Florida Department of Environmental Protection

FDHR Florida Department of Historic Resources

FDOT Florida Department of Transportation

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FMSF Florida Master Site File

FS Florida Statutes

FY Fiscal Year

GLO General Land Office

GPS Global Positioning System

HOV High Occupancy Vehicles

LOS Level of Service

LDCA Location and Design Concept Acceptance

LRTP Long Range Transportation Plan

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act

PD&E Project Development and Environment Study

ROW Right of Way



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

FPID: 435513-1-22-02 ETDM: 14226

SFRC South Florida Rail Corridor

SHPO State Historic Preservation Officer

SIS Strategic Intermodal System

TIP Transportation Improvement Program

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

UTM Universal Transverse Mercator

WAAS Wide Area Augmentation System



1.0 Introduction

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Four, is currently conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study that is evaluating potential improvements to the SR-9/I-95 and SR-842/Broward Boulevard Interchange in the City of Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. The primary purpose of this study is to develop and evaluate design concepts that will improve traffic flow to and from I-95, as well as along Broward Boulevard, increase connectivity between the 95 Express Lanes and Broward Boulevard, and improve intermodal connectivity. Improved connectivity and traffic flow will be achieved via widening along Broward Boulevard and I-95, new ramps to connect the 95 Express Lanes, and the re-alignment of existing ramps. As part of this PD&E Study, a cultural resources assessment survey (CRAS) was performed.

The purpose of this CRAS is to identify cultural resources and asses their eligibility for listing in the National Register according to the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4.

This assessment complies with Section 106 of the NHPA of 1966 (Public Law 89-665, as amended), as implemented by 36 CFR 800 -- Protection of Historic Properties (incorporating amendments effective August 5, 2004); Stipulation VII of the *Programmatic Agreement among* the FHWA, the ACHP, the FDHR, the SHPO, and the FDOT Regarding Implementation of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Florida (Section 106 Programmatic Agreement, effective March 2016, amended June 7, 2017); Section 102 of the NEPA of 1969, as amended (42 USC 4321 et seq.), as implemented by the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508); Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966, as amended (49 USC 303 and 23 USC 138); the revised Chapter 267, F.S.; and the standards embodied in the FDHR's Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual (February 2003), and Chapter 1A-46 (Archaeological and Historical Report Standards and Guidelines), Florida Administrative Code. In addition, this report was prepared in conformity with standards set forth in Part 2, Chapter 8 (Archaeological and Historical Resources) of the FDOT Project Development and Environment Manual (effective January 14, 2019). All work also conforms to professional guidelines set forth in the Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation (48 FR 44716, as amended and annotated).

Principal investigators meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture. Archaeological investigations were conducted under the direction of James P. Pepe, MA, RPA, and historic resource investigations were conducted under the direction of Amy Groover Streelman, MHP.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

2.0 Project Description


2.1 Project Location

The Interchange of I-95 at Broward Boulevard is located in central Broward County in the City of Fort Lauderdale, in Sections 4, 5, 8, and 9 of Township 50 S, Range 42 E. The PD&E Study limits extend along SR-9/I-95, from just south of Davie Boulevard to just south of Sunrise Boulevard, a distance of approximately two miles, and along Broward Boulevard from NW 24th Avenue to NW/SW 18th Avenue, a distance of approximately one half mile. The study area includes the median ramp connections to the Park-and-Ride lots from I-95 north and south of Broward Boulevard. The South Florida Rail Corridor (SFRC) / CSX Railroad is adjacent to and runs parallel along the west side of I-95 in this area. The study limits are shown in **Figure 1**.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 1 | Study Limits





2.2 Description of Existing Facilities

The typical section of I-95 within the study area varies. From the Davie Boulevard interchange to SW 5th Place the typical section of I-95 is an eight-lane facility comprised of three General Purpose Lanes in each direction and one Special Use Lane (previously designated for High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) use and in transition to managed toll lanes under the 95 Express Project) in each direction. From the vicinity of SW 5th Place, where the northbound Collector-Distributor (CD) road ramp system merges traffic from I-595 into the General Purpose Lanes, and through to the Sunrise Boulevard interchange, I-95 is a 10-lane facility comprised of four General Purpose Lanes in each direction and one Special Use Lane in each direction (same condition as noted above). Southbound ingress to I-95 from Broward Boulevard is provided at the western terminal intersection by a single lane access right turn lane from eastbound Broward Boulevard and a double left turn lane from westbound Broward Boulevard. Egress from southbound I-95 to Broward Boulevard is provided by a ramp with a single right turn lane for traffic heading west on Broward Boulevard and a double left turn lane for traffic heading east on Broward Boulevard.

Currently, northbound ingress to I-95 from Broward Boulevard is provided by a single lane access ramp from westbound Broward Boulevard at the eastern terminal intersection and a single lane flyover from eastbound Broward Boulevard west of the western terminal intersection. Egress to Broward Boulevard from northbound I-95 is provided by a ramp, which is part of the northbound CD road ramp system that was recently reconstructed to include triple right turn lanes for traffic heading eastbound on Broward Boulevard and double left turn lanes for traffic heading westbound on Broward Boulevard. Additional ingress and egress to I-95 is provided through the Park-and-Ride lot. For both directions of travel along I-95 ingress and egress is provided by single lane ramps that cross over the southbound lanes of I-95 and connect with the Special Use Lanes (conversion of single HOV to dual Express Lanes under construction) located in the inside roadway of northbound and southbound I-95.

Broward Boulevard is a six-lane urban divided roadway with a raised median within the vicinity of the I-95 Interchange. In its current configuration there are no provisions for dedicated bicycle traffic within these limits outside of the general travel lanes. Seven-foot wide sidewalks are provided on both sides of Broward Boulevard between NW/SW 22nd Avenue and NW/SW 18th Avenue west of NW/SW 22nd Avenue. Westbound Broward Boulevard to the west of NW/SW 22nd Avenue the sidewalk is seven feet wide, and in the eastbound direction the sidewalk is six feet wide. Broward Boulevard provides the main entry way to the downtown Fort Lauderdale Central Business District from I-95 and the east-west connection between US-1 and SR-817/University Drive in the City of Plantation.




FPID: 435513-1-22-02

There are a number of transit options within the operating area of the I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange that provide direct service and transfer connections along the north-south and eastwest corridors. These include passenger rail services (Tri-Rail and Amtrak) and bus services (Broward County Transit, Breeze, Sun Trolley, 95 Express Bus, Tri-Rail Shuttle and Tri-Rail NW Community Link). There is a Park-and-Ride lot located within the interchange area on the southwest and northwest quadrants. The existing conditions at the Park-and-Ride lot include the provision of 794 parking spaces throughout five parking lots, shown in Figure 2. Spaces in Lot 5 are designated for Amtrak and Tri-Rail parking only while the spaces in Lots 1-4 are available for any purpose, including car pools and 95 Express Bus. There are no designated bicycle facilities within the Park-and-Ride lot and minimal sidewalk facilities. Access to the Park-and-Ride lots is provided via Broward Boulevard and I-95. Ingress from eastbound Broward Boulevard is provided via a left turn lane at NW 24th Avenue (Lots 1-3) and via right turn lane at SW 22nd Avenue / SW 1st Street (Lots 4-5). Ingress from westbound Broward Boulevard is provided via right turn lanes at NW 22nd Avenue and NW 24th Avenue. Egress to westbound Broward Boulevard is provided via the intersections with NW 22nd Avenue and NW 24th Avenue, requiring drivers coming from the south to circulate through the northern parking areas. Egress to eastbound Broward Boulevard is provided via SW 22nd Avenue / SW 1st Street and NW 24th Avenue. Ingress from both northbound and southbound I-95 are provided in a similar manner with northbound vehicles exiting on the south side of Broward Boulevard and merging into SW 21st Terrace and southbound vehicles existing on the north side of Broward Boulevard with connections to NW 22nd Avenue and SW 22nd Avenue / SW 1st Street provided via access roads within the parking areas. Egress to southbound I-95 is provided on the south side of Broward Boulevard via a ramp that crosses over the southbound General Use Lanes of I-95 and connects to the southbound HOV lane. Egress to northbound I-95 is provided by a direct connect flyover ramp on the north side of Broward Boulevard, accessed from the northern parking area, which crosses over the southbound General Use Lanes of I-95 and connects to the northbound HOV lane.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 2 | Existing Park-and-Ride Conditions





2.3 Purpose and Need

The primary purpose of this project is to improve system linkage, traffic operations, and modal interrelationships at the I-95 and Broward Boulevard Interchange. Additional goals of this project are to address Capacity, Safety, Travel Demands, and Emergency Evacuation.

Broward Boulevard is a State Road (SR 842) that provides the main entryway to the downtown Fort Lauderdale Central Business District from I-95. The sections of Broward Boulevard from I-95 to NE 3rd Avenue and north and south of Broward Boulevard on I-95 are part of the state's Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), which consists of high-priority transportation facilities and services of statewide and interregional significance and are critical to the movement of people and goods in Florida. The existing links throughout the system in the study area need improvements based on forecasted traffic demands resulting from regional population growth and employment growth. Currently, the 95 Express Lanes do not directly connect to Broward Boulevard.

The improvements proposed as part of the interchange project will complement the 95 Express Lanes improvements by enhancing existing connectivity within the Park-and-Ride lots, improve existing I-95/Broward Boulevard terminal intersections, and provide improved Express Lane access to Broward Boulevard.

Transit services along Broward Boulevard, 95 Express, and within the Park and Ride Lot/Transit Station areas are currently experiencing recurring congestion that reduces transit vehicle speeds, increases operating costs, and makes scheduling of buses from a system level challenging. The existing geometry and operational features are not allowing optimal bus travel times, multimodal connectivity, or access to bus stops and transfers. The purpose of this study is to address these transit needs.

I-95 within the project limits currently operates at Level of Service (LOS) F. Broward Boulevard within the project limits also operates at LOS F. Without improvements, the driving conditions will continue to operate well below acceptable LOS targets into the future. Congestion on these routes also impacts emergency evacuations.

This study will also propose improvements to address multimodal and safety needs such as the lack of sufficient bicycle and pedestrian facilities on Broward Boulevard and along SW 1st Street and it will address safety concerns that are generated by the at grade weave condition that currently exists between the Sunrise Boulevard and Broward Boulevard interchanges from 95 Express traffic. 95 Express traffic will also be circulating through a low speed Park and Ride lot which poses potential pedestrian conflicts.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

2.4 Description of the Proposed Action

This project proposes improvements to the I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange complementing the surrounding multimodal facilities. The proposed interchange improvements will be compatible with the proposed 95 Express Phase 3 program, which will introduce two tolled, express lanes each direction, in place of the existing former HOV lanes, from Stirling Road in Broward County to Linton Boulevard in Palm Beach County. 95 Express Phase 3A, which extends from Broward Boulevard to south of SW 10th Street, and includes the limits of the proposed interchange improvements, began construction in mid-2016. Functionality of the I-95 median ramps and Park-and-Ride road network is to be improved for the intermodal services within the interchange area.

The proposed improvements evaluated for the I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange consisted of four elements:

- Improvements to the mainline of I-95 to accommodate ingress and egress ramps for 95 Express and the existing Broward Boulevard Interchange ramps,
- Three alternatives for the Broward Boulevard east and west terminal intersections to improve interchange operations,
- Two options for the eastbound Broward Boulevard to southbound 95 Express movement, and
- Conceptual plans for the Park-and-Ride lot to improve circulation and conditions for all users.

The mainline improvements are consistent across each of the three interchange alternatives. Each of the Park-and-Ride concepts was designed to work with the proposed mainline and interchange improvements.

The Build Alternatives under consideration are described in **Sections 2.4.1**, **2.4.2**, **2.4.3**, **2.4.4 and 2.4.5**. Also, under consideration is the No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative assumes no proposed improvements and serves as a baseline for comparison against the Build Alternatives.

2.4.1 Mainline I-95 Build Alternative

The proposed improvements to the I-95 mainline account for the programmed implementation of 95 Express (under construction at the time of this PD&E Study), which adds one additional Special Use Lane in each direction and modifies the use of these lanes to include managed toll lanes. The resulting typical section becomes a 12-lane facility comprised of four General Purpose Lanes and two Special Use Lanes in each direction.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

The ingress and egress ramps connecting to Broward Boulevard are proposed to be modified in a similar manner for each of the Interchange Build Alternatives. For northbound ingress to I-95 there are no proposed modifications to the existing single lane ramps that provide access from westbound and eastbound Broward Boulevard. For northbound egress from I-95, the existing ramp is proposed to be widened to allow for additional storage, however the turn lane configuration remains the same with dual left-turn and triple right-turn lanes. Southbound ingress to I-95 differs based on the Interchange Build Alternative and is addressed in those sections that follow. Southbound egress from I-95 is proposed to be widened for each of the Interchange Build Alternatives to accommodate one additional turn lane for left turns and two additional turn lanes for right turns, resulting in triple left and triple right turn lanes.

The primary proposed improvements for the mainline, which are shown in **Figures 3A and 3B**, are for new braided ramps providing direct ingress and egress between the 95 Express lanes and the existing Broward Boulevard service interchange ramps without requiring drivers to weave through the General Use Lanes. For southbound 95 Express egress, the proposed improvements include a braided ramp (in the vicinity of NW 6th Street/Sistrunk Boulevard) over the southbound I-95 General Use Lanes with a connection to the west terminal intersection of the Broward Boulevard service interchange. Similarly, ingress to southbound 95 Express includes a braided ramp over the southbound I-95 General Use Lanes located just south of Broward Boulevard.

For the northbound direction, egress from 95 Express near Davie Boulevard is proposed through the use of a braided ramp over the northbound I-95 General Use Lanes with a connection to the northbound CD road ramp system that terminates at the east terminal intersection of the Broward Boulevard service interchange. Ingress from the Broward Boulevard service interchange to the northbound 95 Express lanes is proposed through a braided ramp over the northbound I-95 General Use Lanes in the vicinity of NW 6th Street/Sistrunk Boulevard.

2.4.2 Broward Boulevard Interchange Build Alternatives

The proposed improvements to Broward Boulevard include the replacement of the bridge that spans I-95 and the SFRC with a wider and higher bridge span, the provision of three through lanes of traffic with six-foot wide sidewalks and seven-foot wide bicycle lanes in each direction, and three interchange alternatives, which are further described below. The replacement of this bridge span is common to all three interchange alternatives and is being proposed to accommodate necessary turn lanes at the intersections as well as to provide an envelope for a future premium transit stop with connectivity between East-West service along Broward Boulevard, and the many multimodal transit services provided in the Broward Boulevard Park-and-Ride Lot/Transit Station on the north and south sides of Broward Boulevard. In each of the interchange alternatives, the service interchange ramps are proposed for reconstruction to



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

accommodate the wider and higher proposed bridge span. Most of the ingress and egress ramps are also proposed to include additional lanes to accommodate the forecasted 2040-year traffic.

The proposed interchange alternatives include Tight Diamond, Displaced Left Turn, and Modified Displaced Left Turn. Each of these alternatives is described below. For each of these alternatives the northbound ingress to I-95 remains as a single lane flyover access ramp.

Interchange Build Alternative 1 – Tight Diamond

The Tight Diamond Interchange is a compressed version of the diamond interchange designed to accommodate right-of-way constraints. The interchange consists of two closely spaced signalized intersections at the crossing of the ramp terminals. The key operational aspect of a Tight Diamond Interchange is signal coordination to ensure efficient progression of traffic and minimum storage of vehicles between the terminals. The existing interchange is a Tight Diamond Interchange and this alternative will improve the existing operation through the addition of turn lanes at the ramp terminal locations and optimization of the intersection signal timings. Specifically, one additional left turn lane is proposed for southbound ingress from Broward Boulevard to I-95 resulting in triple left turn lanes for traffic traveling westbound. An additional right turn lane is also proposed resulting in double right turn lanes for eastbound traffic on Broward Boulevard. There are no proposed improvements to the northbound ingress ramps from Broward Boulevard. These improvements are illustrated in **Figure 4**.

Interchange Build Alternative 2A – Displaced Left

The Displaced Left Turn Interchange is also known as the Continuous Flow Interchange. The main geometric feature of the Displaced Left Turn Interchange is the removal of left turn movements from the main intersection to an upstream signalized location to reduce the number of traffic signal phases and conflict points. For this alternative, the westbound left turn movements are displaced at the east ramp terminal intersection to a new roadway that is south and runs parallel to the eastbound through lanes where it combines with the displaced left turn lanes from the northbound ramp. This configuration enables the westbound left turn lanes to execute the left turn simultaneously with the westbound through traffic and, under a different signal phase, transition the traffic from the northbound ramp on to the westbound at the west ramp terminal intersection. This proposed alternative increases the number of right turn lanes for the southbound ingress to I-95 from eastbound Broward Boulevard, resulting in dual right turn lanes. Although displaced as previously described, the left turn lanes for southbound ingress remain as dual left turn lanes as is currently provided. These improvements are illustrated in Figure 5.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Interchange Build Alternative 2B - Modified Displaced Left

The Modified Displaced Left Turn Interchange provides for the displacement of the northbound exit ramp onto a new roadway (bridge structure) over I-95 that is on the south side of Broward Boulevard, and runs south of and parallel to the eastbound Broward Boulevard through lanes. The northbound ramp left-turn traffic is then transitioned on to westbound Broward Boulevard at the west ramp terminal intersection. There are three westbound left-turn lanes at the east ramp terminal intersection. The inner left-turn lane is a buffer left turn lane providing direct connection to southbound 95 Express and the outer two left-turn lanes are for general use that feed into southbound I-95 and the CD road. This alternative involves partial right of way acquisitions along Broward Boulevard near NW/SW 18th Avenue. These improvements are illustrated in **Figure 6**. Interchange Build Alternative 2B – Modified Displaced Left is the preferred interchange build alternative for having the best operational results.

2.4.3 Eastbound Broward Boulevard to Southbound 95 Express Alternatives

In the preferred Broward Boulevard Build Alternative (Build Alternative 2B – Modified Displaced Left), there is a barrier separation on the southbound entrance ramp that restricts Broward Boulevard eastbound right turn traffic from entering the express lanes via the new braided ramp for westbound to southbound 95 Express. Thus, the eastbound traffic on Broward Boulevard destined to the southbound 95 Express lanes must use an alternative route. For eastbound motorists seeking access to southbound 95 Express, there are two options as follows:

- Option 1 (via SW 1st St) This option directs eastbound Broward Boulevard traffic seeking southbound 95 Express to use SW 1st Street, from SW 22nd Avenue, to access the existing former HOV southbound entrance ramp at the south side of the Park and Ride Lot just south of Broward Boulevard.
 - Alternative 1: No Action
 - Alternative 2 T-Intersection at SW 21st Terrace and Roundabout at Access Road
 - Alternative 3 Double Roundabout
 - Alternative 4 Combined Roundabout
- Option 2 (via Flyover) This option provides a free flow flyover ramp to provide ingress access for the eastbound Broward Boulevard traffic. The flyover ramp spurs off of the existing Broward Boulevard eastbound to northbound on-ramp and connects to the existing former HOV southbound entrance ramp prior to merging on 95 Express.

Of these, Option 1, Alternative 4 (Combined Roundabout) was selected as the Preferred alternative. These improvements are illustrated in Figure 6. This alternative also calls for the



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

modification to SW 1st Street eastbound at SW 22nd Avenue, converting the access point to allow for eastbound Right-In/Right-Out traffic movements only, at this local street connection point. Further description and evaluation of each alternative is in the Preliminary Engineering Report.

2.4.4 Park-and-Ride Lot Build Alternatives

Three concept alternatives were developed to address vehicular circulation through the northern lots. Each of the alternatives includes a realignment of Access Road to provide for a straighter geometry and adjusts the parking areas and other roadway connections as necessary. Specifically, the parking spaces provided in Lot 3 will be shifted west and accommodated in the area currently identified as Lots 1 and 2. Each alternative also provides additional sidewalk throughout the northern parking areas, identifies crosswalks, and proposes a canopy for the sidewalks connecting the train station to the newly created area underneath the expanded Broward Boulevard bridge structure.

The primary difference between these alternatives is the proposed location of the 95 Express Bus stops and the use of the newly created space underneath the expanded Broward Boulevard bridge structure. These alternatives are concepts and the details of the improvements will be determined as part of the Design phase of the project.

Park-and-Ride Alternative 1

The 95 Express Bus stop in the northern parking area is retained in its current location and a Park-and-Ride facility is provided on the opposite side of the existing bus stop. The 95 Express Bus stops currently located on Access Road just south of the Broward Boulevard bridge structure are relocated north to allow for passenger loading underneath the expanded bridge structure. A traffic signal is proposed at the intersection of Access Road with the roadway that provides ingress and egress from I-95 on the north side of the parking area to accommodate left turns by transit vehicles. The additional space provided underneath the bridge is not identified for any specific use aside from being reserved to accommodate an elevator and other access features to allow for a transfer between the possible future transit station in the median of Broward Boulevard and this lower level. These concepts are illustrated in **Figure 7**.

Park-and-Ride Alternative 2

In this alternative the 95 Express Bus stop in the northern parking area is shifted south and a Park-and-Ride facility is provided on the east-west access road that becomes the I-95 ingress and egress ramps. At the terminus of the I-95 ramps in the northern lot, a roundabout is proposed in lieu of the existing three-sided interchange. The area underneath the expanded bridge structure is proposed to be used for the 95 Express Bus stops currently located just south of the



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

bridge structure. This concept provides for a more formal transit boarding and alighting area. These concepts are illustrated in **Figure 8**.

Park-and-Ride Alternative 3

This alternative builds on the previous Alternative 2 with the addition of a roundabout to access the formal transit station area created underneath the expanded bridge structure. These concepts are illustrated in **Figure 9**.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 3A | 95 Express Ingress-Egress Connections with Broward Boulevard Interchange






Figure 3B | 95 Express Ingress-Egress Connections with Broward Boulevard Interchange





Figure 4 | Alternative 1 – Tight Diamond





Figure 5 | Alternative 2A - Displaced Left





Figure 6 | Preferred Alternative (Alternative 2B – Modified Displaced Left with Combined Roundabout)






Figure 7 | Park and Ride Alternative 1 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left Alternative






Figure 8 | Park and Ride Alternative 2 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left Alternative







Figure 9 | Park and Ride Alternative 3 – With I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Modified Displaced Left Alternative





2.4.5 Preferred Alternative

The Preferred Alternative for this study is a combination of the Mainline I-95 Build Alternative; Interchange Build Alternative 2B; Eastbound Broward Boulevard to Southbound 95 Express Option 1, Sub-Alternative 4, Combined Roundabout Sub-Alternative; and Park-and-Ride Alternative 3. This alternative meets the purpose and need for the project and was selected for having the best operational results at the I-95 ramps' intersections with Broward Boulevard. A typical section package for the Preferred Alternative is provided in Preliminary Engineering Report.

The Preferred Alternative includes the following improvements.

Mainline I-95 Improvements

- The construction of single-lane elevated braided ramps over the General Use Lanes to provide access to and from the southbound and northbound 95 Express Lanes (Figure 6).
 - Southbound 95 Express Egress: New braided ramp over the southbound I-95
 General Use Lanes with a connection to the west ramp terminal intersection of the
 Broward Boulevard service interchange to provide egress from 95 Express near
 NW 6th Street/Sistrunk Boulevard.
 - Southbound 95 Express Ingress: New braided ramp over the southbound I-95 General Use Lanes located just south of Broward Boulevard that provides ingress access for the westbound traffic on Broward Boulevard via the west ramp terminal intersection of the Broward Boulevard service interchange.
 - Northbound 95 Express Egress: New braided ramp from 95 Express near Davie Boulevard over the northbound I-95 General Use Lanes with a connection to the northbound CD road ramp system that terminates at the east terminal intersection of the Broward Boulevard service interchange.
 - O **Northbound 95 Express Ingress**: New braided ramp over the northbound I-95 General Use Lanes in the vicinity of NW 6th Street/Sistrunk Boulevard. This elevated braided ramp provides direct access between Broward Boulevard and the northbound 95 Express Lanes, using the existing eastbound to northbound flyover, and westbound to northbound ramp, for access to northbound 95 Express.

Broward Boulevard Interchange Improvements

• The addition of triple left and triple right turn lanes for the southbound I-95 exit ramp to Broward Boulevard.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

- Replacement of the Broward Boulevard bridge structures over I-95 and the SFRC to accommodate additional turn lanes, a minimum of six-foot sidewalks and seven-foot bike lanes in each direction, and a future premium transit stop in the median.
- Provide three westbound left-turn lanes at the east ramp terminal intersection. The inner left-turn lane is a buffer left turn lane providing direct connection to southbound 95 Express and the outer two left-turn lanes are for general use that feed into southbound I-95 and the CD road.
- Displacement of northbound exit ramp traffic heading west onto a new two-lane roadway (bridge structure) that is on the south of Broward Boulevard over I-95, and runs south of and parallel to the eastbound Broward Boulevard through lanes. The northbound ramp left-turn traffic is transitioned on to the westbound Broward Boulevard roadway at the west ramp terminal intersection (Figure 6).

Broward Boulevard Eastbound to 95 Express Southbound Improvements

- Barrier separation on the southbound entrance ramp that restricts Broward Boulevard eastbound right turn traffic from entering the express lanes via the new braided ramp for westbound to southbound 95 Express. Eastbound to southbound express lane traffic must continue to use the existing former HOV ramps via SW 22nd Avenue and SW 1st Street.
- Modification to SW 1st Street eastbound at SW 22nd Avenue, converting the access point to allow for eastbound Right-In/Right-Out traffic movements only.
- Construct a combined dual intersection roundabout along SW 1st Street at SW 21st Terrace and the Connector Ramps to and from southbound 95 Express (**Figure 6**).

Park and Ride Lot Improvements

 Improvements to the Park-and-Ride facility that provide additional sidewalks for pedestrians, a covered waiting area for Express Bus users and improved circulation for vehicles by constructing roundabouts (Figure 9).

3.0 Project History

The Broward Boulevard interchange has been the subject of several studies over recent years. In 2010, the I-95 Broward County Interchange Assessment Report identified the need to improve the southbound exit ramp. In 2013, FDOT completed a Concept Development Report for the interchange that was initiated by complaints the Department received about excessive delays being experienced by drivers. This Concept Development Report recommended reconstruction of the I-95 northbound exit ramp to provide three exclusive right-turn lanes and widening of the I-95 southbound exit ramp to two lanes. The alternatives will also consider the need to



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

accommodate the 95 Express Lanes that are currently in the construction phase of the project development process.

4.0 Related Active Projects within the Study Area

As previously noted, the 95 Express Phase 3A project is currently under construction in the study area. FDOT's Five-Year Work Program also shows Urban Corridor Improvements for Broward Boulevard from Pine Island Road to I-95 currently under construction.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

5.0 Area of Potential Effect

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) is determined by evaluating the type of improvements under consideration and the possible effects these improvements could have on cultural resources. Also to be considered are the locations and extent of any possible improvements.

The archaeological APE focuses upon identifying and evaluating resources within the geographic limits of the proposed improvements and its associated ground disturbing activities within the existing and proposed right of way (ROW). The archaeological APE, therefore, is confined to the footprint of the proposed project improvements (**Figure 10a–d**).

The historic resources APE is defined as the area within which potential effects for the improvements could be observed. The APE for historic resources where the improvements are within existing sound walls is limited to the existing ROW. The historic resources APE for improvements at grade is the footprint of the improvements and adjacent parcels within 150 feet. The historic resources APE is 250 feet from the footprint of improvements that include proposed elevated ramps. (**Figure 10a–d**).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 10a | Project APE (Map 1 of 4)






Figure 10b | Project APE (Map 2 of 4)






Figure 10c | Project APE (Map 3 of 4)







Figure 10d | Project APE (Map 4 of 4)





6.0 Environmental Setting

Environmental and ecological factors through time have had a direct influence on the choice of occupation sites by precontact populations and early historic settlers. Therefore, factors such as geologic, hydrologic, and meteorological processes that may have affected the project APE and its biotic resources are important elements in the formulation of a settlement/subsistence model for precontact and early historic peoples.

6.1 Paleo-Environment and Macro-Vegetational Change

Although a comprehensive paleoenvironmental reconstruction is beyond the scope of this report, a brief description of the large-scale climatic and hydrologic conditions that have occurred since 31,050 BC is provided. This description is drawn primarily from the work of W. A. Watts (1969, 1971, 1975, and 1980) and Watts and Hansen (1988). Carbone (1983) has promoted the reconstruction of local paleoenvironments, or small-scale environmental change, with an effort towards developing regional paleoenvironmental mosaic landscapes. Vegetation and animals (including humans) either adapt to local areas (micro-habitats) or move to preferred locations. The descriptions given here provide some indication of the ecological context of precontact groups at different times, in particular the environmental limitations. However, these descriptions are general and cannot be used to reconstruct the microhabitats of the project APE.

Since the termination of the Pleistocene Epoch at the end of the Wisconsin glaciation, roughly 11,550 BC, Florida has undergone significant climatic and environmental change. Notable changes in climate and subsequently in flora and fauna required human groups to adapt to their surroundings. These adaptations resulted in cultural changes in their hunting/foraging strategies and seasonal migration patterns. Within the archaeological record, these changes can be observed by differences in settlement patterns, midden composition, refuse disposal patterns, and the kinds of stone tools or pottery made.

The first 5,000 years or so of the Holocene were marked by rapid rises in sea levels. This inhibited the development of estuaries along the Gulf Coast and may have had the same impact on the Atlantic coast (Griffin 1988). However, even though sea levels were rising, they were still considerably lower than present levels. This, combined with low interior water tables, resulted in arid conditions for the interior of southern Florida (Watts 1983; Watts and Hansen 1988). The marshes and swamps for which southern Florida are famous had not yet been formed (Webb 1990).

At about 3050 BC, give or take 1,000 years, sea levels had risen to within a few meters of their current levels (Griffin 1988). Increased rainfall resulted in the formation of Lake Okeechobee, the Everglades, and other modern ecosystems (Watts and Stuiver 1980; Brooks 1984:38;



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Gleason et al. 1984:311). The relative sea level stability combined with freshwater discharge allowed for the development of coastal estuaries (Widmer 1988). Around 750 BC, the rising sea level had slowed to the point that some modern beach ridges in southern Florida, like Cape Sable, began to form. Increased precipitation in the interior made cypress common in many areas, including the Big Cypress Swamp, and made droughts in the Everglades less common (Griffin 1988). The southern rim of Lake Okeechobee reached its maximum height about this time (Brooks 1984:38). Vegetation reached its present distributional patterning and estuaries were fully formed and supplied by enough freshwater drainage to become highly productive (Widmer 1988; Griffin 1988).

6.2 Regional Environment

The project APE is located within the Atlantic Coastal Ridge physiographic region. The Atlantic Coastal Ridge is characterized by low, poorly drained flatlands that represent the shallow, flat bottoms of ancient seas. Features associated with this province include the Atlantic Ocean to the east, the Everglades to the west, and the Southern Slope to the south. Superimposed on this flat terrain are several linear sand ridges that parallel the coast and are remnants of ancient shorelines, dunes, or offshore bars (White 1970:Plate 1-C). Elevation along the Atlantic Coastal Ridge averages approximately 10–15 feet (3–4.5 meters).

Limestone and dolostone dominate the sediments of Broward County. Outcrops of silicified limestone, or chert, which were often sought out by precontact peoples as raw material sources for the manufacture of stone tools, do not occur in this area (Lane et al. 1980). The closest known outcrops lie to the west along the Peace River in the central part of the state (Scott 1978; Upchurch et al. 1982).

Water resources consist of both ground and surface water. The principal groundwater aquifer is the Floridan, which occurs under artesian conditions with slowly permeable clays and sands forming a confining layer that effectively prevents the vertical movement of water from the surficial aquifer to the Floridan aquifer (Lane 1980). Surface sand deposits contain the surficial aquifer, which is recharged through local rainfall. Because of low hydraulic gradients, movement of water within this zone is very slow. Water is discharged from the aquifer through lateral seepage to streams or lakes, evapotranspiration, or movement downward to the Floridan aquifer where sinkhole development has breached the underlying confining layer of clay (Lane 1980; Lane et al. 1980). Major rivers and streams in the vicinity of the project area include the North and South Fork of the New River.

6.3 Physical Environment of the Project Area

A review of the General Land Office (GLO) historic plat map (Florida Department of Environmental Protection [FDEP] 1870a) and surveyor's field notes (FDEP 1870b) was



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

conducted to examine past environmental conditions within the vicinity of the archeological APE. The North and South Forks of the New River are illustrated on the plat map. Most of the project area is described as third rate pine in the surveyor's notes. A small creek with second rate low hammocks to the north and south of the creek crossed the project area approximately between what is now SW 10th Court and SW 11th Street. Near the northern end of the project, between NW 7th Street to South of NW 9th Street, the area is described as glades.

Aerial photographs from 1947, 1949, 1957, 1958, and 1968 (FDOT, Surveying and Mapping Office 2017; University of Florida, George A. Smathers Libraries 2017) were reviewed to examine land use within the vicinity of the archaeological APE during the 20th century. In the 1947 aerial, Broward Boulevard and the Seaboard Air Line Railroad are present. Some streets are laid out to the south of Broward Boulevard and north of the North Fork of the New River, but the area south of the river appears to be mostly undeveloped. A few structures are visible adjacent to the railroad. No hammocks are visible along the river within the project area. Between the river and Broward Boulevard, the project area appears mostly cleared of trees. South of 4th Street, the area appears drier with scattered trees. North of the river, North Woodlawn Cemetery and several structures are visible along the streets. By 1957, residential development had increased dramatically. In the aerial for this year, structures are visible along the streets and residential developments are present to the west of the railroad. The area just to the east of the railroad where I-95 would be constructed appears mostly undeveloped with scattered trees. Development was increasing along Broward Boulevard but many parcels still appear undeveloped. In the 1968 aerial, I-95 is under construction south of SW 5th Street and more structures are visible along Broward Boulevard.

The Soil Survey of Broward County, Florida, Eastern Part (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] 1984) was reviewed to help determine the predevelopment environment, assess the level of modification, and identify natural features within the project corridor indicative of increased archaeological site potential. The project area is located within the Duette-Urban land-Pomello, Immokalee-Urban land-Pompano, and Immokalee-Urban land soil associations. Much of these areas are covered in urban features. The Duette-Urban land-Pomello associate is found on slightly elevated broad knolls and ridges (USDA 1984:9). Natural vegetation includes slash and sand pine, laurel and scrub oak, saw palmetto, pineland threeawn, and other grasses. The Immokalee-Urban land-Pompano association is found on broad, low ridges interspersed with sloughs and broad flats (USDA 1984:9). Natural vegetation is slash pine, saw palmetto, and grasses. The Immokalee-Urban land association is found on low ridges interspersed with grassy sloughs (USDA 1984: 9–10). Natural vegetation is slash pine, laurel oak, saw palmetto, wax myrtle, pineland threeawn, and other grasses. Drainage characteristics and environmental association for each detailed soil type within the APE are included in **Table 1**. The soils suggest that the majority of the project area has been disturbed by construction activities.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Table 1 | Detailed Soil Types within the Archaeological APE

Drainage Characteristic	Soil Type	Environmental Association	
Moderately well drained	Duette soils are found on low ridges and knolls. Duette-Urban land Complex Duette soils are found on low ridges and knolls. Most of this area is covered with structures, pavement, and other urban features and natura soils are no longer discernable.		
Poorly Drained	Basinger fine sand	Broad sloughs and flats. Natural vegetation is pine, wax myrtle, and grasses.	
	Immokalee-Urban land complex	Immokalee sand is found on broad, low ridges. Most of this area is covered with structures, pavement, and other urban features and natural soils are no longer discernable.	
	Immokalee, limestone substratum-Urban land complex	Immokalee sand is found on broad, low ridges. Most of this area is covered with structures, pavement, and other urban features and natural soils are no longer discernable.	
Not Applicable	Arents-Urban land complex	This area is covered in heterogeneous overburden material that has been used for land leveling. The area is also covered in structures, pavement, and other urban features. Natural soils cannot be identified.	
Not Applicable	Udorthents, shaped	These areas consist of a mixture of soil and geologic soil materials that has been shaped and contoured.	
	Urban land	This area is covered in structures, pavement, and other urban features. Natural soils cannot be identified.	

USDA 1984: 16-19, 24-29, 47-48

The project area is currently grassy roadway ROW with planted trees and landscaping. No natural vegetation remains.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

7.0 Precontact Overview

Native peoples have inhabited Florida for at least 14,000 years. The earliest cultural stages are pan-Florida in extent, while later cultures exhibited unique cultural traits. The following discussion of the precontact time period in the vicinity of the APE is included in order to provide a framework within which the local archaeological record can be understood.

7.1 Paleoindian Period (12,000-7,500 BC)

The earliest period of precontact cultural development dates from the time people first arrived in Florida. The greatest density of known Paleoindian sites in Florida is associated with the rivers of northern and north-central Florida where distinctive lanceolate projectile points and bone pins have been found in abundance in and along the Santa Fe, Silver, and Oklawaha Rivers (Dunbar and Waller 1983). The majority of these have been found at shallow fords and river crossings where Native Americans presumably ambushed Pleistocene mammals. The bones of extinct species such as mammoth, mastodon, and sloth are commonly found preserved in the highly mineralized waters of the area's springs and rivers. Despite early claims to the contrary, present evidence strongly supports the contemporaneity of Paleoindians and these extinct mammals.

The climate of Florida during the late Pleistocene was cooler and drier, and the level of the sea was as much as 160 feet (49 meters) lower (Milanich 1994:38–41). Rising sea levels are assumed to have inundated many coastal sites dating to the Paleoindian and Early Archaic periods (e.g., Ruppe 1980; Goodyear and Warren 1972; Goodyear et al. 1980; Dunbar et al. 1988). It is difficult to determine the dependence of Paleoindian groups on estuarine and littoral resources because little is known of these submerged archaeological sites.

The prevailing view of the Paleoindian culture, a view based on the uniformity of the known tool assemblage and the small size of most of the known sites, is that of a nomadic hunting and gathering existence, in which now-extinct Pleistocene megafauna were exploited. Settlement patterns were restricted by availability of fresh water and access to high-quality stone from which the specialized Paleoindian tool assemblages were made. Waller and Dunbar (1977) and Dunbar and Waller (1983), from their studies of the distribution of known Paleoindian sites and artifact occurrences, have shown that most sites of this time period are found near karst sinkholes or spring caverns.

The majority of Paleoindian sites in Florida consist of surface finds. The most widely recognized Paleoindian tool in Florida is the Suwannee point, typically found along the springs and rivers of northern Florida. Other points, including Simpson and Clovis points, are found in lesser numbers. Other Paleoindian stone tools are known from the Harney Flats site (Daniel and Wisenbaker 1987:41–97), the Silver Springs site in Marion County (Neill 1958), and other northern Florida



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

sites (Purdy 1981:8–32). These Paleoindian tools tend to be unifacial and plano-convex, with steeply flaked, worked edges (Purdy and Beach 1980:114–118; Purdy 1981). Bifacial and "hump-backed" unifacial scrapers, blade tools, and retouched flakes, including spokeshaves, have been found at these sites (Purdy 1981; Daniel and Wisenbaker 1987:62–81, 86–87). However, some tools are little more than flakes or blades that were struck from cores, used, and discarded (Milanich 1994:51).

By the end of the Paleoindian period, the climate had become warmer and wetter and it is possible that the modern wetlands of southern Florida began to emerge. Sea levels began a fairly rapid rise, shrinking the available land mass through coastal inundation. These dramatic climate changes, and possible pressure from Paleoindian hunters, led to the extinction of the Pleistocene megafauna and other species.

7.2 Archaic Period (7,500-500 BC)

During the Archaic period, climate and sea levels gradually stabilized. The Archaic period is known for the adaptations made by Florida's earliest inhabitants to the modernizing climate and landscape. At the beginning of the Archaic, lifeways in Florida were quite similar to those of the preceding Paleoindian period. However, by the end of the Archaic, Florida's natives had developed more sedentary lifestyles, made many technological innovations, the most important of which was the invention of pottery, and began to differentiate themselves into distinct regional subcultures. Florida's Archaic is divided into Early, Middle, and Late sub-periods, each of which have recognized horizons that are limited to restricted geographic areas and/or times.

7.2.1 Early Archaic Period (7,500-5,000 BC)

With the wetter conditions that began about 8000 BC and the extinction of some of the Pleistocene animal species that helped to sustain earlier populations, Paleoindian subsistence strategies were no longer efficiently adapted to the Florida environment. As environmental conditions changed, surface water levels throughout the state increased and new locales became suitable for occupation. Early Archaic peoples might be viewed as a population changing from the nomadic Paleoindian subsistence pattern to the more sedentary coastal- and riverine-associated subsistence strategies of the Middle Archaic period.

The settlement patterns and tools of Early Archaic people in Florida were initially very similar to those of the preceding Paleoindian period. Cultural changes began after about 8000 BC with changes in projectile-point types, specifically a transition from lanceolate to stemmed varieties. Beginning about 7500 BC, Paleoindian points and knives were replaced by a variety of stemmed tools, such as the Kirk, Wacissa, Hamilton, and Arredondo types (Milanich 1994:63).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Kirk points and other Early Archaic diagnostic tools are often found at sites with Paleoindian components, suggesting that Early Archaic peoples and Paleoindians shared similar lifeways (Daniel and Wisenbaker 1987:33–34). However, it appears that the distribution of Early Archaic artifacts is wider than that of Paleoindian materials. Sites having both Paleoindian and Early Archaic components have been found to be largely restricted to natural springs and the extensive perched water sources of northern Florida.

Most of what is known about Early Archaic subsistence comes from highly preserved materials recovered from the anaerobic muck of the Windover Pond site in Brevard County. The Windover analysis (Andrews et al. 2002) indicates that Early Archaic peoples utilized the fibers of sabal palm, saw palmetto, and other plants in the weaving of baskets and textiles. Windover also illustrates that at least some Early Archaic populations had developed an intensive exploitation strategy focused on inland aquatic resources supplemented by terrestrial game (Dickel and Doran 2002:54). However, since the site has no correlates, it is unclear how representative it is of other Early Archaic sites in southern Florida (Dickel 2002).

7.2.2 Middle Archaic Period (5,000-3,000 BC)

Throughout the Middle Archaic, environmental and climatic conditions would become progressively more like modern conditions, which would appear by the end of the period, circa 3000 BC. During this period, rainfall increased, surface water became much less restricted and, as a result, vegetation patterns changed. The Middle Archaic period is characterized by increasing populations and a gradual shift toward shellfish, fish, and other food resources from freshwater and coastal wetlands as a significant part of their subsistence strategy (Milanich 1994:75–84; Watts and Hansen 1988:310). Pollen evidence from Florida and south-central Georgia indicates that after about 4000 BC, a gradual change in forest cover took place, with oaks in some regions giving way to pines or mixed forests. The vegetation communities that resulted from these changes, which culminated by 3000 BC, are essentially the same as those found in historic times before widespread land alteration took place (Watts 1969, 1971; Watts and Hansen 1988).

The Middle Archaic artifact assemblage is characterized by several varieties of stemmed, broad-blade projectile points. The Newnan point is the most distinctive and widespread in distribution (Bullen 1975:31). Other stemmed points of this period include the less common Alachua, Levy, Marion, and Putnam points (Bullen 1968; Milanich 1994). In addition to these stemmed points, the Middle Archaic lithic industry, as recognized in Florida, includes production of cores, true blades, modified and unmodified flakes, ovate blanks, hammerstones, "hump-backed" unifacial scrapers, and sandstone "honing" stones (Clausen et al. 1975; Purdy 1981). Additionally, thermal alteration, a technique in stone tool production, reached its peak during the Middle to Late Archaic periods.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Three common types of Middle Archaic sites are known in Florida (Bullen and Dolan 1959; Purdy 1975). The first are small, special-use camps, which appear archaeologically as scatters of lithic waste flakes and tools such as scrapers, points, and knives. These sites are numerous in river basins and along wetlands and probably represent sites of tool repair and food processing during hunting and gathering excursions (Milanich 1994:78). The second common site type is the large base camp. This type of site may cover several acres or more, and contains several thousand or more lithic waste flakes and tools. The third common type of site is the quarry-related site that occurs in localities of chert outcrops.

Middle Archaic sites are found in a variety of locations, including, for the first time, freshwater shell middens along the St. Johns River and the Atlantic Lagoon. Middle Archaic sites have been found in the Hillsborough River drainage northeast of Tampa Bay, along the southwestern Florida coast, and in South Florida locales such as Little Salt Spring in Sarasota County. In addition, Middle Archaic sites occurred throughout the forests of the interior of northern Florida (Milanich 1994:76).

Due to rising sea levels since the Middle Archaic, many sites dating to this period are now submerged beneath the waters of the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. One such site in St. Lucie County may be the Douglass Beach Midden (8SL17), from which artifacts predating the Late Archaic have been recovered (Murphy and Cummings 1990).

7.2.3 Late Archaic Period (3,000-500 BC)

By the beginning of the Late Archaic, all of the modern physiographic regions and ecosystems of southern Florida were present in essentially their modern forms. This includes the entire Kissimmee-Lake Okeechobee-Everglades drainage system. Although the environment of southern Florida had achieved some sense of stability, the archaeological record of this period is much more dynamic. Different ideas and perhaps, human populations, were moving into the area during this time. As a result, there is a great deal of variability between Late Archaic sites in central and southern Florida.

The one point upon which all researchers seem to agree is that, at the beginning of the Late Archaic, pottery had not yet been invented. How long this accramic state persisted, what the earliest pottery types are and how they vary over space and time is a matter for considerable conjecture.

Until recently, variations of Bullen's chronology for the Late Archaic Orange culture in northeastern Florida were generally used for the Late Archaic in central and southern Florida. Using this scheme, fiber-tempered pottery, the earliest pottery type known for all of North America, was considered to be a marker for the pottery portion of the Late Archaic. The generally accepted chronological sequence for the Late Archaic was expressly unilineal, with plain



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

(undecorated) fiber-tempered pottery, followed by decorated fiber-tempered pottery, replaced finally by plain pottery that was not tempered with fibers (Bullen 1954, 1955, 1972). It was also understood that sand was eventually added as a tempering agent to fiber-tempered pottery. As the Late Archaic progressed, the amount of sand temper was supposed to have increased while the amount of fiber temper decreased. Orange pottery tempered with both fiber and sand is sometimes referred to as "semi-fiber tempered." The application of this chronology to southern Florida seemed to indicate that most of the area, especially the Everglades, was sparsely settled during the Late Archaic due to the general absence of Orange pottery at sites (Griffin 2002:146-149; Widmer 1988:201-201).

The use of the "standard" fiber-tempered sequence for the Late Archaic in southern Florida eventually came into question by several researchers. Based on his research in southwestern Florida, Widmer (1988:68) hypothesized that the earliest sites there "include untempered chalky pottery and limestone-tempered pottery as well as the usual fiber-tempered Orange pottery." Austin (1997:136) states that the "identification of a true Orange Horizon in south Florida is debatable." He points out that, in the Kissimmee River Valley, pure fiber-tempered components are rare. Instead, what is more common is the presence of "semi-fiber tempered" pottery in the basal levels of middens, "often in association with thick St. Johns Plain or Sand-tempered Plain sherds, and overlying either culturally sterile sands, or sparse scatters of lithic artifacts" (Austin 1996, 1997:136). Both Widmer and Austin agree that semi-fiber tempered components at sites throughout southern Florida are "ephemeral" and soon replaced in the archaeological record by components consisting of exclusively sand-tempered pottery (Austin 1997:136; Widmer 1988:72-73).

Mike Russo has investigated the Joseph Reed Shell Ring on Jupiter Island (Russo and Heide 2002). Radiocarbon dates indicate that the site was constructed sometime between 3527-2746 CALYBP (Russo and Heide 2002:73). This confirms that the site dates to the Late Archaic period. However, no fiber-tempered pottery was recovered from the site. Instead, excavations vielded only chalky (possible early St. Johns Plain) and plain sand-tempered pottery. This is an earlier appearance for these types of pottery than has been predicted for southeastern Florida. Radiocarbon dates indicate that the chalky pottery appears at the Joseph Reed Shell Ring between 3500 and 3300 CALYBP whereas sand-tempered pottery is hypothesized to appear around 3280 CALYBP. Based on the evidence obtained from excavations at the Joseph Reed Shell Ring, Russo and Heide tentatively proposed a new chronology for the Late Archaic in southeastern Florida. A period labeled Late Archaic I is proposed that is marked by fibertempered and/or semi-fiber tempered plain pottery. During the next proposed period, Late Archaic II, only chalky ware pottery, possibly early St. Johns Plain, is predicted to occur. This is based on the earliest pottery-bearing levels from the Joseph Reed Shell Ring. The next proposed period, Late Archaic III, is distinguished by the presence of plain sand-tempered pottery along with the chalky pottery. This period is based on the latest levels from the Joseph Reed Shell



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Ring. Russo and Heide point out that this chronology is closest in resemblance to the chronology proposed by Widmer (1988) for southwestern Florida, suggesting, among other things, that non-fiber-tempered pottery was developed earlier in southern Florida than elsewhere in the state.

It is worth noting that all of these researchers mention in their Late Archaic chronologies the presence of St. Johns Plain, or plain "chalky ware" pottery. Specimens of this type are usually described as "thick" or "thick walled." The same phenomenon has been mentioned for Late Archaic sites in the Everglades (Mowers and Williams 1972). Often, this pottery is described in reports as "early St. Johns Plain."

Of perhaps equal interest to the reported early manifestations of St. Johns Plain are the early reports of Sand-tempered Plain pottery from some sites in southern Florida. In addition to the early examples of Sand-tempered Plain sherds from the Joseph Reed Shell Mound, early examples of this type are also reported from southwestern Florida. At the Mulberry Midden (8CR697), Sand-tempered Plain pottery was dated at about 3390 and 3430 CALYBP (Lee et al. 1993:46; dates recalibrated by Russo and Heide 2002). Dates for Sand-tempered Plain from Heineken Hammock (8CR231) are even earlier, ranging from 4000 to 4500 CALYBP (Lee et al. 1998; dates recalibrated by Russo and Heide 2002). Again, using the standard fiber-tempered sequence for southern Florida, Sand-tempered Plain pottery should not be present at such early dates, only fiber-tempered pottery.

Finally and importantly, it is now becoming clear that many of the ubiquitous faunal bone middens located in the interior wetlands of southern Florida date to Late Archaic times, despite the fact that many of them lack pottery of any kind. These sites are notoriously difficult to date because, not only do they often lack chronologically diagnostic artifacts, but most of the faunal bone at the sites lacks collagen, the datable material in bone samples sent to radiocarbon labs. Nevertheless, many sites clearly have aceramic components that underlie pottery-bearing strata, logically indicating that these aceramic components most likely date at least as far back as Late Archaic times. Indeed, a few radiocarbon dates have been obtained from some of these components, mostly from shell artifacts or ecofacts. For instance, Taylor's Head (8BD74) yielded a radiocarbon date of 4840 ± 210 CALYBP from an aceramic stratum that lay beneath potterybearing strata, although no fiber-tempered pottery was identified (Masson et al. 1988:346). Additionally, radiocarbon dates from the lower, aceramic stratum at the Francis Groves Midden/Muhley site (8BD2911) are reported as ranging from 3960-3630 CALYBP (Pepe and Elgart 2006), despite the fact that fiber-tempered pottery is known during this time elsewhere in Florida (Russo and Heide 2002:Figure 11). Ongoing research by the National Park Service in the Big Cypress National Preserve and Everglades National Park has also yielded dense aceramic faunal bone middens yielding radiocarbon dates between 4800 and 3500 CALYBP (Michael Russo, personal communication with James Pepe 2007; Schwadron 2006).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

To explain this dichotomy between Late Archaic Everglades area sites that lack fiber-tempered pottery and large, coastal shell mounds that have abundant examples of early pottery, Pepe and Jester (1995:19) propose that there are two, distinct Archaic traditions in southeastern Florida. In this model, the fiber-tempered pottery tradition is largely a coastal phenomenon associated with shell mound building, while the aceramic Archaic or "Glades Archaic" is a more widespread tradition, perhaps giving rise to the distinctive regional culture of the Tequesta and their ancestors (Pepe 2000:29-32; Russo and Heide 2002:80; Wheeler et al. 2002:143-144).

Additionally, Austin suggests that the presence of "semi-fiber-tempered" pottery at sites in southern Florida may not actually date to the Late Archaic, but instead may signify the beginning of the subsequent post-Archaic Tradition (Austin 1997:138). In other words, Austin holds out the possibility that the ephemeral "semi-fiber-tempered" components in the basal levels of middens in southern Florida may better be incorporated into the initial periods of post-Archaic chronologies (i.e. Glades I Early, Okeechobee Basin I, etc.).

The preceding discussion illustrates that a lack of fiber-tempered pottery at a site in southern Florida does not necessarily mean that the site does not date to the Late Archaic. In fact, recent research indicates that, at some sites or in some areas, the earliest pottery present may be Sand-tempered Plain or thick, chalky (St. Johns?) wares. Finally, Austin holds out the possibility that fiber-tempered pottery in southern Florida may not date to the Late Archaic at all, but instead, may be markers of the earliest post-Archaic expressions in the region.

7.3 Formative Period (500 BC-AD 1513)

The Formative Period is represented by changes in pottery and technology occurring throughout Florida. The specific changes in pottery traditionally used by archaeologists to mark the beginning of this period include the replacement of fiber-tempered pottery with sand-tempered, limestone-tempered, and chalky-paste ceramics. Three different projectile point styles (basally notched, corner-notched, and stemmed) also occur in some areas in contexts contemporaneous with these new ceramic types. This profusion of ceramic and tool traditions suggests population movement and social interaction between culture areas. The earliest known major occupations of southern Florida date to this period (Bullen et al. 1968; Sears 1982).

The regional diversity marking this period has been attributed to local adaptation to varied ecological conditions. It has been described archaeologically in terms of cultural periods based on variations in ceramic types. The ceramic tradition for southern Florida, characterized by sand tempered bowls with incurvate rims, is known as the Glades or Everglades cultural tradition.

The project APE is located in the Glades (Milanich 1994:301). As defined by Milanich (1994:298), the Glades cultural region includes all of south Florida "east and south of the Caloosahatchee and Okeechobee regions. It includes most of St. Lucie County, the Everglades itself, a largely




FPID: 435513-1-22-02

FPID: 435513-1-22-02 ETDM: 14226

sawgrass marsh in Hendry, Palm Beach, Broward, Dade, and Monroe counties; the Big Cypress Swamp west of the Everglades in Collier County; and extensive saltwater marshes and mangrove forests ounce found along both coasts, now almost totally destroyed in Broward and Dade counties" (**Figure 11**).

Figure 11 | Glades Cultural Region



(Source: Milanich 1994)



7.3.1 Glades Culture

Environmentally, the interior portions of the Everglades area are dominated by inundated or formerly inundated humic or peat soils which are drained by massive sheet-flow instead of river channeling. The Atlantic coast, which has developed from beach dune deposition, has a few rivers cutting through the Atlantic Coastal Ridge and a coast-parallel lagoon system.

John Goggin established a ceramic sequence for the Glades region on the basis of work he conducted from the 1930s to early 1950s (Goggin n.d.). Subsequent research has only served to refine his basic chronological framework. The most recent revision was presented by John Griffin (1988), who based his research on a series of radiocarbon dates from the Granada site in Dade County (Griffin et al. 1982) and research he conducted on the Bear Lake site in Everglades National Park. In presenting his revisions, Griffin makes a point to emphasize that the Glades sequence represents a chronology of stylistic and technological changes in ceramics to which other cultural traits have been added.

Table 2 is based on Griffin's 1988 work and presents the most thorough chronological framework for southern Florida. Summaries of the ceramic markers associated with each period are provided, as well. It is important to note that the information provided in this table is most applicable to the heartland of the Glades archaeological area: the Big Cypress Swamp, Everglades, and coastal portions of southern Florida to south of Lake Okeechobee.

Glades period sites include those at Gordon's Pass (Goggin 1939), Goodland Point (Goggin 1950), Marco Island (Van Beck and Van Beck 1965), Useppa Island (Milanich et al. 1984), Horr's Island (McMichael 1982), Sanibel Island (Fradkin 1976), and the Turner River site (Sears 1956). An interesting feature of these large coastal sites is the progressive movement of habitation areas toward the water (Cushing 1896; Goggin 1950; Sears 1956), and indications are that dwellings may have been built to extend out over the water. Inland sites consist of shell and dirt middens along major watercourses (Laxson 1966) and small dirt middens containing animal bone and ceramic sherds in oak/palm hammocks or palm islands associated with freshwater marshes. The coastal Glades subsistence pattern is typified by the exploitation of fish and shellfish, wild plant food, and inland game, while Glades sites in the Big Cypress Swamp show a greater, if not exclusive, reliance on interior resources.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Table 2 | Glades Cultural Sequence

	•			
Period	Dates	Distinguishing Characteristics		
Glades I early	500 BC-AD 500	First appearance of sand-tempered pottery; no decoration		
Glades I late	AD 500–750	First appearance of decorated pottery: Fort Drum Incised, Fort Drum Punctated, Cane Patch Incised, Gordon's Pass Incised, Opa Locka Incised, Sanibel Incised; sand-tempered plain persists		
Glades IIa	AD 750–900	Appearance of Key Largo Incised and Miami Incised; sand-tempered plain and Opa Locka Incised persist; none of the earlier decorated types are present		
Glades IIb	AD 900–1100	Sand-tempered plain and Key Largo Incised persist; Matecumbe Incised appears; none of the earlier decorated types are present; certain rim modifications (incised lip arcs and lip crimping and grooving) also appear for the first time		
Glades IIc	AD 1100–1200	Almost no decorated ceramics; some grooved lips but no more lip arcs or crimped rims; Plantation Pinched appears		
Glades Illa	AD 1200–1400	Plantation Pinched is no longer present; Sand- tempered plain and grooved lips persist; appearance of Surfside Incised and St. Johns Check Stamped		
Glades IIIb	AD 1400–1513	Glades Tooled, sand-tempered plain and St. Johns Check Stamped are present, Surfside Incised and grooved lips are not present		
Glades IIIc	AD 1513-ca.1700	Same as previous period with the addition of historic artifacts		

(Source: Griffin 1988:124-142)



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

8.0 Historical Overview

The intent of this section is to identify the possible locations of any historic sites within the cultural assessment project area and to provide a background for the determination of their historical potential. To this end, books, maps, and manuscripts located at the University of South Florida Special Collections Department, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of State Lands, and Janus Research were examined, and interviews with local informants were conducted.

8.1 European Contact and Colonial Period (ca. 1513-1821)

The earliest contact between the native populations and the Europeans occurred through slave hunting expeditions. Evidence of these slave raids comes from the familiarity with the Florida coast stated by navigators of the earliest official coastal reconnaissance surveys (Cabeza de Vaca 1542: Chapter 4). Official credit for the European discovery of Florida belongs to Juan Ponce de León, whose voyage of 1513 took him along the eastern coast of the peninsula (Tebeau 1971:21). Other Spanish explorers followed Juan Ponce de León, and over the next 50 years the Spanish government and private individuals financed expeditions hoping to establish a colony in "La Florida." In 1565, King Philip II of Spain licensed Pedro Menéndez de Avilés to establish a settlement in St. Augustine, Florida. Between 1565 and 1566, Menéndez sailed along the Florida coast placing crosses at various locations and leaving Spaniards "of marked religious zeal" to introduce Christianity to the Native American people (Gannon 1965:29). Settlements with associated missions were established at St. Augustine, San Mateo (Ft. Caroline) and Santa Elena, and smaller outposts and missions were located in Ais, Tequesta, Calusa, and Tocobaga territory (Gannon 1965:29).

Jesuit missions were established in what are now referred to as the Central Peninsular Gulf Coast and Glades archaeological regions, including the mission of Carlos at Charlotte Harbor, the mission of Tocobaga at Tampa Bay, and a mission at a Tequesta village at the mouth of the Miami River. In 1567, Brother Francisco Villareal was sent to one of the large Tequesta villages located on Biscayne Bay. In 1568, a skirmish between the Spanish soldiers and the Tequesta Indians temporarily closed the mission. By the end of 1568, the Tequesta were willing to reopen the mission, largely due to the work of Don Diego, a Tequesta who had visited Spain. Despite zealous attempts, the native groups in Florida continued to resist conversion, and in 1572 Jesuit authorities decided to abandon their missionary efforts in Florida.

Undaunted, Menéndez turned his attention to another order, the Franciscans, and entreated them to send priests. The Franciscan mission effort was most successful in the northern areas of Florida. One possible reason may have been differences in Native American settlement patterns and economies. According to Milanich (1978:68), the failure of the Spanish missions



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

among the southern Florida native populations was due partially to the groups' subsistence pattern, which required seasonal movement for maximum resource exploitation. Consequently, for the remainder of the First Spanish period (1565–1763), southern Florida was virtually ignored as the Spanish concentrated their efforts in the northern half of the peninsula.

Another attempt to build a mission in southeastern Florida took place nearly 150 years after the establishment of St. Augustine. Because it was in Spain's best interest to maintain control along the Florida coastline and alliances with the native groups inhabiting the coast, a missionary effort was supported in the Biscayne Bay area (Parks 1982:55–65). Father Joseph María Monaco and Joseph Xavier Alaña were sent from Cuba in 1743, and arrived at a Native American village located at the mouth of the Miami River. The village did not appear any more receptive towards accepting Christianity than before. After Joseph Xavier Alaña conveyed this to the Governor of Cuba, the mission was closed, and the fort they had erected was destroyed to prevent its fall into hostile hands (Parks 1982:55–65). Although the Spanish were resigned to the fact that missionization and settlement of South Florida came at too high a price, they did strive to maintain good relations with the various native people who lived in the area.

By the beginning of the eighteenth century, the Native American population of South Florida had declined considerably as a result of disease, slave raids, intertribal warfare, and attacks from a new group of Native Americans, the Seminoles. The Seminoles, descendants of Creek Indians, moved into Florida during the early eighteenth century to escape the political and population pressures of the expanding American colonies to the north (Wright 1986:218).

By the end of the eighteenth century, the Seminoles had become the dominant Native American group in the state. Groups of fugitive African American slaves also had settled among the Seminoles by the early nineteenth century (Brown 1991:5–19). Armed conflict with pioneers, homesteaders, and eventually the United States Army resulted in the removal of most of the Seminoles from Florida. This action forced the withdrawal of the remaining Seminole population to the harsh environment of the Everglades and Big Cypress Swamp by the late nineteenth century.

The first known non-Indian residents of what is now Fort Lauderdale were the Charles Lewis family, who arrived from the Bahamas with the British adventurer William Augustus Bowles in the late eighteenth century. Bowles tried to establish a sovereign nation of the native Creek Indians, and Lewis established a plantation along the New River. In 1810, the Spanish government awarded nobleman Juan Arrambide a huge land grant extending from New River south to Biscayne Bay. Arrambide developed this land as a lumber source and, in the process, introduced black slaves to the region (Historic Property Associates 1995:28–29).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

8.2 The Territorial and Statehood Period (1821-1860)

In 1821, after several years of negotiations with Spain, the U.S. acquired Florida as a territory. The population of the territory at that time was still centered in the northern areas around Pensacola, St. Augustine, and Tallahassee. By 1830, the New River Settlement included approximately 60 to 70 inhabitants. The leader of the settlement was William Cooley. Richard Fitzpatrick established plantation practices on his property (Historic Property Associates 1995:29–30). His assistant was Stephen Russell Mallory, who traveled from Key West to the New River area in 1830 and established a plantation in the Fort Lauderdale vicinity. Only there 12 months, he spent his time fishing, hunting and learning woodcraft from the Seminoles, who fished around the coast (Kemper 1981:4–6). In 1840, a skirmish occurred between the Seminoles and a small command of soldiers near the West Lake tract (Kemper 1981:4). Apparently, the Indians fired on two boats under the command of Lieutenant Rankin. The Indians were pursued inland but were not apprehended.

As more European-American settlers moved into the region, conflicts arose with the Seminole people over available land. Pressure began to bear upon the government to remove the Seminoles from northern Florida and relocate them farther south. The Treaty of Moultrie Creek (1823) restricted the Seminole people to approximately four million acres of land in the middle of the state, running south from Micanopy to just north of the Peace River (Mahon 1967: Rear foldout map). The Seminoles did not approve of this treaty because they were reluctant to move from their established homes to an area that they felt could not be cultivated. Other treaties soon followed such as Payne's Landing (1832) and Fort Gibson (1833), which called for Seminole emigration to the western territories (Mahon 1967:75–76, 82–83). These treaties fostered Seminole resentment of settlers that would culminate in the Second Seminole War in 1835.

At the beginning of the Second Seminole War, the conflict was centered near the Withlacoochee region. In 1838, U.S. troops moved south to pursue the retreating Seminoles into the Lake Okeechobee and Everglades regions. Colonel Zachary Taylor was sent to the area between the Kissimmee River and Peace Creek. Colonel Persifor Smith and his volunteers were dispatched to the Caloosahatchee River, and U.S. Navy Lt. Levi N. Powell was assigned the task of penetrating the Everglades (Mahon 1967:219–220). Powell's detachment had several skirmishes with Seminole people near Jupiter Inlet. Powell established a depot on the Miami River and erected Fort Dallas in the approximate location of present-day downtown Miami. For three months, Fort Dallas was a base of operations as Powell led his men into the Everglades in search of the Seminoles (Gaby 1993:47).

Following the Second Seminole War, the New River settlement was brought to an end. Seminoles massacred Cooley's family in 1836 and the settlers fled to Cape Florida. In March 1838, Major William Lauderdale of the Tennessee Volunteers and his troops constructed an



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

outpost near New River called Fort Lauderdale, which was later replaced by two other forts. The Third Seminole war in 1855 was fought primarily in other parts of the state, but some troops did visit New River (Historic Property Associates 1995:32–35).

The Second Seminole War had a deleterious effect on new settlement in Florida. To encourage settlement in the middle portion of the territory after the war, the Armed Occupation Act of 1842 offered settlers 160 acres of land at no cost, provided they built a house, cleared five acres, planted crops, and resided on the land for five years. Any head of a family or single man over 18 years of age and able to bear arms, was eligible to receive a homestead. This act, plus the end of the Second Seminole War, created a small wave of immigration by Anglo-American pioneers to central Florida. Most of these immigrants were Anglo-American farmers and cattle ranchers, or "crackers," from the southeastern United States (Gaby 1993).

8.3 Civil War and Post War Period (1860-1898)

With the beginning of the Civil War, cattle were needed to help feed the Confederate Army. Herds from as far south as central Florida were driven to railheads near the Georgia border. However, cattle ranchers discovered they could sell their herds in Cuba for a greater profit and began dealing with blockade-runners. The Union attempted to stop all shipping from Florida ports, but blockade-runners were too abundant. Cattle ranchers from all over Florida drove their cattle to Punta Rassa to be shipped to Cuba for payment in Spanish gold. Jacob Summerlin, a successful cattle rancher from the Fort Meade area, gave up his contract with the Confederate government to supply cattle and in 1863 teamed up with James McKay from the Tampa area. McKay, a successful and daring blockade-runner, supplied the schooners and Summerlin the cattle. It is not known how many cattle were shipped from the port during the Civil War. However, after the war as cattle continued to be shipped; it is reported that in the decade between 1870 and 1879, more than 165,000 head were shipped (Grismer 1949).

The New River region was sparsely settled during the Civil War. A Miami Unionist who served as a gunboat pilot, Isaiah Hall, and his family lived there after being driven from the Miami area by Confederate sympathizers (Historic Property Associates 1995:35). In 1868, hog farmer and beachcomber John J. "Pig" Brown settled on New River with his family, as well. Brown was elected to the Florida Legislature in 1876 and never returned from Tallahassee. During the same time, the United States Life Saving Service established 10 Government Houses of Refuge for shipwrecked sailors along the uninhabited eastern coastline, and the first permanent white settler in present day Fort Lauderdale came to the area in 1876 to occupy one of the cabins (Nance 1962:334). At this time, the population center of present-day Broward County was Pine Island, west of present-day Davie. Approximately 30 Seminole families cultivated gardens and roamed the Everglades in search of game (McGoun 1999).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Concern for future settlement created survey activity in Broward County. It had already been surveyed in 1845, but in 1870 many more areas were surveyed. The Florida Surveyor General approved a plat map on November 30, 1870 (Kemper 1981:12). Isolated events such as the surveying would lead to increased development of Broward County. Another such event was the purchase of four million acres of Florida's land with a drainage project in mind. The drainage project would turn swampland into agriculture and development lands.

In the 1880s, interest in the resources of South Florida increased due in large part to people like Hamilton Disston and Henry B. Plant. By 1881, the State of Florida faced a financial crisis involving a title to public lands. On the eve of the Civil War, land had been pledged by the Internal Improvement Fund to underwrite railroad bonds. After the War, when the railroads failed, the land reverted to the State. Almost \$1 million was needed by the state to pay off the principal and accumulated interest on the debt, thereby giving clear title.

Hamilton Disston, son of a wealthy Philadelphia industrialist, contracted with the State of Florida in two large land deals: the Disston Drainage Contract and the Disston Land Purchase. The Drainage Contract was an agreement between Disston and the State in which Disston and his associates agreed to drain and reclaim all overflow lands south of present-day Orlando and east of the Peace River in exchange for one-half the acreage that could be reclaimed and made fit for cultivation.

The Disston Land Purchase was an agreement between Disston and the State in which Disston agreed to purchase Internal Improvement Fund Lands at \$0.25 an acre to satisfy the indebtedness of the fund. A contract was signed on June 1, 1881 for the sale of 4,000,000 acres for the sum of \$1 million, the estimated debt owed by the Improvement Fund. Disston was allowed to select tracts of land in lots of 10,000 acres, up to 3,500,000 acres. The remainder was to be selected in tracts of 640 acres (Davis 1938:206–207). Before he could fulfill his obligation, Disston sold half of this contract to a British concern, the Florida Land and Mortgage Company, headed by Sir Edward James Reed (Tischendorf 1954:123). In 1883, Reed became one of the primary owners of land in the vicinity of the current project area.

Disston changed Florida from a wilderness of swamps, heat, and mosquitoes into an area ripe for investment. During 1881 and 1882, channels were dug between the lake systems to the north and the Kissimmee River (Tebeau 1971:288). The Atlantic and Gulf Coast Canal and Okeechobee Land Company was responsible for opening up Lake Okeechobee to the Gulf of Mexico by dredging a channel to the Caloosahatchee River. Disston and his associates received 1,652,711 acres of land under the Drainage Contract, although they probably never permanently drained more than 50,000 acres (Tebeau 1971:280). Drainage operations began and the Florida Land and Improvement Company and Kissimmee Land Company were formed to help fulfill the drainage contract (Hetherington 1980:6).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Private land claims between 1881 and 1883 were probably squatters acquiring the land on which they lived prior to the land transfers under the Disston Land Purchase contract. The flurry of land transfers recorded in the early 1880s was mainly the result of two factors: large influxes of people as a result of the railroads, and the widespread unpopularity of the Disston Land Purchase and Drainage Contracts.

The Disston Land Purchase and Disston Drainage Contract were not very well liked among many of Florida's residents. They resented the \$0.25 per acre price Disston paid under the land contract, as they were required to pay \$1.25 per acre under the terms of the Homestead Act of 1876. Claims also were made that Disston was receiving title to lands that were not swamplands or wetlands (Tebeau 1971:278). Many residents bought up the higher, better-drained parcels of land for speculation, knowing that the surrounding wetlands and flatwoods would be deeded to Disston under the Land Purchase contract. Many hoped that their more desirable land purchases would increase in value.

In August 1881, at the same time Disston's companies were beginning their work, the legislature granted a state charter to the privately owned Florida Coast Line Canal & Transportation Company to construct a continuous waterway from the St. Johns River to Miami; the intracoastal channel would provide a sheltered, inland passage for shallow-draft vessels. The charter granted the company 3,840 acres of land for every mile of canal built. Construction began in 1883 on a 5-foot-deep, 50-foot-wide, intracoastal channel connecting coastal bays, rivers, and lakes (Buker 1975:117). Although the canal company dredged almost continuously from 1883 until the 268-mile channel was completed in 1912, the firm's waterway operations were never successful. While the channel was still under construction, the company faced a formidable challenge from competing transportation interests expanding into South Florida (Buker 1975:120).

Development in Broward County was slow, but sure. By the early 1890s, land was purchased and development was being planned (Kemper 1981:12). For example, in Hollywood, tract book records indicate the majority of the township's land, approximately 27 square miles out of the town's total 36 square miles, was purchased by the Florida Coast Line Canal and Transportation Company on September 24, 1890. By 1910, the first person lived in the Hollywood area. Fred Zirbs established a five-acre farm where he grew peppers and tomatoes (Kemper 1981:12). New River was the site of a ferry and an overnight camp for stage line passengers. Frank Stranahan, who is regarded as the first permanent white settler of what is now Fort Lauderdale, ran both the ferry and the camp (Historic Property Associates 1995:38). **Table 3** shows the land apportionment in the current project APE.

Development and settlement would increase after the freezes of 1894 and 1895 that killed citrus crops, vegetables, and coconut palms north of Broward County. This event in part caused Henry M. Flagler to extend the Florida East Coast Railway 70 miles south to Miami, where no damaging



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

frosts had occurred (Shepard Associates 1981:1–10). The completion of the railroad to Miami in 1896 launched the most significant period in the region's development. The railroad brought farmers from the north, and agriculture was developed. Other businesses also began to emerge (Historic Property Associates 1995:39–42).

The historic plat map for the project area was also examined and no evidence was found of historic homesteads or roads within the project area. There was an Indian Camp located within one mile of the project area in the southwest corner of Section 16. It sat along the northwestern banks of the South Fork. There was also an Old Fort located one mile from the project area in the northwest corner of Section 10. It sat north of the junction between North Fork, South Fork, and New River.

Table 3 | Land Apportionment in the Project Area as Recorded in the Tract Book Records

Township 50 South, Range 42 East						
Section	Portion Owned	Owner	Date of Deed or Sale			
4	All	Sir Edward James Reed	March 22, 1883			
5	All	Sir Edward James Reed	March 22, 1883			
8	All	Sir Edward James Reed	March 22, 1883			
9	All	Sir Edward James Reed	March 22, 1883			
16	All	Sir Edward James Reed	March 22, 1883			
17	East ½ of NE ¼ and SE ¼	Arthur T. Williams	May 10, 1886			
	Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and NE 1/4 of SW 1/4	Arthur T. William and James A. Harris	May 30, 1885			
	NW ¼ of NW ¼	Arthur T. Williams	May 10, 1886			
	Lot 5	Emily W. Collins	July, 20, 1885			
	S ½ of SW ¼	Aaron Levy	November 11, 1885			

8.4 Spanish-American War Period/Turn-of-the-Century (1898-1916)

At the turn-of-the-century, Florida's history was marked by the outbreak of the Spanish-American War in 1898. As Florida is the closest state to Cuba, American troops were stationed and



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

deployed from the state's coastal cities. Harbors in Tampa, Pensacola, and Key West were improved as more ships were launched with troops and supplies. "The Splendid Little War" was short in duration, but evidence of the conflict remained in the form of improved harbors, expanded railroads, and military installations (Miller 1990).

Fort Lauderdale saw growth at this time despite a yellow fever epidemic in 1899. In the same year, the area's first schoolhouse was built. The 1900 census reported 52 residents in Fort Lauderdale. The area's first incorporated communities were Dania in 1904, Pompano in 1908, and Fort Lauderdale in 1911; these communities predate the formal incorporation of Broward County (McGoun 1978:19). Fort Lauderdale's downtown began to develop at this time; the commercial area centered on the intersection of the railroad and the New River. Unfortunately, a fire in June of 1912 destroyed most of the business district, but the disaster did little to impair Fort Lauderdale's growth (Historic Property Associates 1995:42–47).

In 1904, Governor Napoleon Bonaparte Broward initiated significant reforms in Florida's politics. Several of Broward's major issues included the Everglades drainage project, railroad regulation, and the construction of roads. The draining of the Everglades resulted in the construction of canals, an increase in land available for agriculture, and the fueling of Fort Lauderdale's growth. One of the first elements of the project was the dredging of the North New River Canal. By 1912, the New River Canal extended all the way to Lake Okeechobee, and shipping of agricultural products along the water route was immediately the preferred method of transportation (Historic Property Associates 1995:44).

During this time, railroads were constructed throughout the state and automobile use became more prevalent. Improved transportation in the state opened the lines to export Florida's agricultural and industrial products (Miller 1990). As various products such as fruits and vegetables were leaving the state, people were arriving in Florida. Some entered as new residents and others as tourists. Between 1900 and 1910, the state population increased from 528,542 residents to 752,619. At this time, St. Lucie and Palm Beach counties were established, indicative of the increasing numbers of people moving to the east coast of the state.

Broward County incorporated in 1915 with a population of 8,000, and Fort Lauderdale was named county seat (Historic Property Associates 1995:50). The county was named after the former Governor Broward. As recently as 1910, the County had been a wilderness of pine trees and swampland and had few homesteaders. Agriculture was still the main economy (Wells et al.:8–12). Before 1915, Broward County had at times been part of St. Johns, Monroe, Mosquito, Dade, St. Lucie, Brevard, and Palm Beach counties. By the time of the County's incorporation, most citizens were living in the eastern areas along the coast such as Dania, Pompano, Fort Lauderdale, Deerfield, Hallandale, Davie, Colohatchee, and Progresso (Shepard Associates 1981:I-10).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

The area's tourist trade began to emerge around the time of incorporation. Development of the Fort Lauderdale beach area began in 1914 when D. C. Alexander purchased 32 acres of beachfront property. In July 1915, the Dixie Highway, the first major highway linking Fort Lauderdale with the rest of the nation, was completed. This highway and other new Broward County roads would play a significant role in Florida's growing tourist trade (Historic Property Associates 1995:50–51).

Rapid and widespread growth was the theme of this period in Florida history. Thousands of miles of railroad tracks were laid, including the Florida East Coast, Atlantic Coast Line, and Seaboard Air Line railroads. While agriculture, especially the citrus industry, had become the backbone of Florida's economy, manufacturing and industry began growing during the beginning of the century. Fertilizer production, boat building, and lumber and timber products were strong secondary industries (Weaver et al. 1996:3).

8.5 World War I and Aftermath Period (1917-1920)

The World War I and Aftermath period of Florida's history begins with the United States' entry into World War I in 1917. Wartime activity required the development of several training facilities in the state, and protecting the coastlines was a priority at this time. Although the conflict only lasted until November 1918, the economy was boosted greatly by the war. An indirect economic benefit of the war was an increase in agricultural production, as beef, vegetables, and cotton were in great demand (Miller 1990).

Area development was halted temporarily during World War I, although the construction of bridges from the mainland over to the beaches at Pompano, Hallandale, and Fort Lauderdale were completed in 1917 (Historic Property Associates 1995:51). Truck farming still dominated Broward County's economy before the 1920s Boom Times development began in earnest. Higher areas in the county were preferred for planting crops like beans, squash, cabbage, tomatoes, pineapples, and turpentine mangoes (Shepard Associates 1981:I-11–13, 34).

While Florida industrialization and agriculture flourished, immigration and housing development slowed during the war. Tourism increased as a result of the war in Europe, which forced Americans to vacation domestically. Tycoons such as Henry Flagler and Henry Plant were building the hotels and railroads for people desiring winter vacations in sunny Florida. These magnates took an interest in the improvements and promotion of Florida in an effort to bring in more tourist dollars. The end of the war marked a slight increase in population, and Flagler and Okeechobee counties were created at this time.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

8.6 Florida Boom Period (1920-1930)

After World War I, Florida experienced unprecedented growth. Many people relocated to Florida during the war to work in wartime industries or were stationed in the state as soldiers. Road building became a statewide concern as it shifted from a local to a state function. These roads made even remote areas of the state accessible and allowed the boom to spread. Besides the inexpensive property, Florida's legislative prohibition on income and inheritance taxes also encouraged more people to move into the state.

Earlier land reclamation projects created thousands of new acres of land to be developed. Real estate activity increased steadily after the war's end and drove up property values. Prices on lots were inflated to appear more enticing to out-of-state buyers. Every city and town in Florida had new subdivisions platted and lots were selling and reselling for quick profits. Southeastern Florida, including cities such as Miami and Palm Beach, experienced the most activity, although the boom affected most communities in central and South Florida (Weaver et al. 1996:3).

In the late 1910s and early 1920s Fort Lauderdale was used as a setting for movies. Real estate sales increased as swamps were dredged and "finger islands," narrow strips of fill alternating with channels of water, were developed. Building included exclusive and moderately priced homes, as well as hotels and commercial structures downtown. These activities in Florida's southeastern "Gold Coast" represented the highest intensity of Florida's land boom. By 1925, Fort Lauderdale's population reached 16,000 people (Historic Property Associates 1995:51–54). Other cities in Broward County were incorporated during the Land Boom period including Hollywood, Deerfield, Davie, and Floranada (McGoun 1978:20).

In 1918, George Henry came to Fort Lauderdale to build the Broward Hotel. The city financed the development in part in hopes of bringing an economic boom similar to those that occurred in Palm Beach and St. Augustine. After the hotel's opening in 1919, tourists flocked to the area. In 1921, Joseph Young bought land that would transform the area of Hollywood from truck farming agricultural fields into a city. Development began full-scale in the summer of 1921; the town was based on the design for Indianapolis, Indiana, where Young had lived. By 1925, the town would have neighborhoods, a country club and golf course, and the famous Hollywood Beach Hotel (Shepard Associates 1981:I-11–13, 34).

An important development in Fort Lauderdale during the late 1920s was the division of the city into quadrants, which not only assisted tourists in finding their destinations, but also solidified racial segregation. Blacks arrived as laborers on the railroad and remained as farmers, settling in the northwestern section of the town. Following the adoption of the grid system, the city officially restricted black homes to the northwest quadrant (Historic Property Associates 1995:56–58).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

The Boom period began to decline in August 1925, when the Florida East Coast Railway placed an embargo on freight shipments to South Florida. Ports and rail terminals were overflowing with unused building materials. In addition, northern newspapers published reports of fraudulent land deals in Florida. In 1926 and 1928, two hurricanes hit southeastern Florida, killing hundreds of people and destroying thousands of buildings. The 1926 hurricane hit Hollywood, killing 37 people there and 15 in Fort Lauderdale. The collapse of the real estate market and the subsequent hurricane damage effectively ended the boom. The 1929 Mediterranean fruit fly infestation that devastated citrus groves throughout the state only worsened the recession (Weaver et al. 1996:4).

It was also at this time that North Woodlawn Cemetery, partially located within the current project APE, was established. Fort Lauderdale had restricted the African American community to the northwest quadrant of the City, and North Woodlawn Cemetery was the only place where African Americans could be buried until 1962, due to this institutionalized racial segregation (Janus Research 2012).

For Broward County, 1926 saw a dramatic reversal of fortune, as real estate activity declined as a result of a stock market slump the previous November. People began defaulting on payments, and business came to a near standstill (Kemper 1981:47). Overspeculation in real estate, the F.E.C. Railway freight embargo, and the 1926 hurricane created economic havoc, further devastating the area's land boom (Historic Property Associates 1995:55–56). In order to promote morale and development, right-of-way was granted to the Seaboard Air Line Railway (Shepard Associates 1981: I-43). The Mediterranean Revival-style Seaboard Airline Railroad Station, located within the current project APE, was also constructed at this time.

By the time the stock market collapsed in 1929, Florida was suffering from an economic depression. Construction activity had halted and industry dramatically declined. Subdivisions platted several years earlier remained empty and buildings stood on lots partially-finished and vacant (Weaver et al. 1996).

Despite the economic hardships of the Depression era, local financiers began a project to create a port in the Fort Lauderdale area. One of the greatest supporters of the port was the developer of the city of Hollywood, J. W. Young. Throughout the early 1920s, Young worked towards the creation of a deepwater harbor from a body of water originally known as Lake Mabel, but various circumstances including the bust of the real estate market, initially prevented its construction. A special act of the Florida Legislature established the Broward County Port Authority in 1927, and construction of the port was soon underway (Broward County 2001). After several years of financial difficulties, the port was opened in 1929 for use by cargo ships and military vessels. The name "Port Everglades" was chosen, as it represented the port as the "gateway to the rich agricultural area" of Florida (Broward County 2001). In July 1929, the construction of a railroad



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

to the port was underway, and several months later it was decided that storage warehouses were needed on the port property (Eller 1971:17).

Another big event that took place during 1929 was the opening of the Merle Fogg Airport in Fort Lauderdale (known today as the Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport). Named after the city's renowned aviator, the Merle Fogg Airport opened in May 1929 with a ceremony attended by over 5,000 people (Nelson 1963:22).

8.7 Depression and New Deal Period (1930-1940)

This era of Florida's history begins with the stock market crash of 1929. As previously discussed, there were several causes for the economic depression in Florida, including the grossly inflated real estate market, the hurricanes, and fruit fly infestation. During the Great Depression, Florida suffered significantly. Between 1929 and 1933, 148 state and national banks collapsed, more than half of the state's teachers were owed back pay, and a quarter of the residents were receiving public relief (Miller 1990).

As a result of hard economic times, President Franklin D. Roosevelt initiated several national relief programs. Important New Deal—era programs in Florida were the Works Progress Administration (WPA) and the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC). The WPA provided jobs for professional workers and laborers, who constructed or improved many roads, public buildings, parks, and airports in Florida. The CCC improved and preserved forests, parks, and agricultural lands (Miller 1990).

The Depression affected most areas of the state's economy. Beef and citrus production declined, manufacturing slowed, and development projects were stopped. Even the railroad industry felt the pressures of the 1930s, and had to downsize. In addition, the increasing use of the automobile lessened the demand for travel by rail. Despite the Depression, tourism remained an integral part of the Florida economy during this period. New highways made automobile travel to Florida easy and affordable and more middle-class families were able to vacation in the "Sunshine State" (Miller 1990).

A slow recovery began as the thirties progressed in Broward County (Historic Property Associates 1995:58). In the mid-1930s, Federal loans were secured for several projects in Broward County, including the construction of U.S. 1, from south Dania to the Dade/Broward County line, and the construction of a water softening system at the municipal water plant in 1935 (Kemper 1981:49). Tourism and the hotel business were making a comeback. Additionally, Port Everglades was evolving into one of Florida's premier ports; it was ranked seventh in the state in imports and exports. At the end of 1934, the port's export commerce increased from 1,850 tons to 10,859 tons in one year (Burghard 1982:74).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

In 1935, the first annual Collegiate Aquatic Forum was held at the Fort Lauderdale municipal pool, making Fort Lauderdale a popular college vacation destination (Historic Property Associates 1995:58–59). Agriculture and residential building began in the western suburbs of Hollywood, and several new businesses were started along Hollywood Boulevard (TenEick 1989:335–337,342).

8.8 World War II and the Post War Period (1940-1950)

From the end of the Great Depression until after the close of the post-war era, Florida's history was inextricably bound with World War II and its aftermath. It became one of the nation's major training grounds for the various military branches including the Army, Navy, and Air Force. Prior to this time, tourism had been the state's major industry and it was brought to a halt as tourist and civilian facilities, such as hotels and private homes, were placed into wartime service. The influx of thousands of servicemen and their families increased industrial and agricultural production in Florida, and also introduced these new residents to the warm weather and tropical beauty of Florida.

Wartime activities brought an economic boom to Broward County (Shepard Associates 1981: I-51). Fort Lauderdale felt the conflict in December 1939 when the British cruiser Orion drove the German freighter Arauca into Port Everglades, which opened in 1928. The Arauca remained there for over a year. The 1942 attack of Allied shipping by German U-boats was visible from the shoreline. The area lent itself to military training, and the influx of military personnel brought business to Broward County (Historic Property Associates 1995:58–60). Two military training centers were opened in Hollywood, the United States Naval Air Gunners School and the United States Naval Indoctrination and Training School. Soldiers trained in the schools and on Hollywood's beaches. The Navy also maintained a station in Fort Lauderdale where naval aviators were trained, and the site of the current Broward County Community College was used for military training during the war. Some of the servicemen stationed here returned at the war's end to live permanently (Shepard Associates 1981: I-51).

Port Everglades was used extensively for military operations. The port possessed numerous tanks for petroleum storage and modern equipment used for loading and unloading. Fuel reserved for the defense of the Caribbean Islands and molasses, which would be used later in the production of explosives for the Navy, also were stored at the port. The seaport accommodated an undersea warfare experimental station and a Navy boat service used in the recovery of torpedoes dropped by planes at the Fort Lauderdale Naval Air Station during training (George 1991:6).

The wartime activities of Port Everglades were inextricably connected to those at the Fort Lauderdale Naval Air Station, the area's largest military installation. Fort Lauderdale was



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

considered an ideal location for an air station due to its moderate climate, which allowed for year-round training, and its proximity to the Atlantic Ocean and the Everglades, that provided open areas for training, bombing targets, and ranges. Construction of the more than 1,000-acre naval air station began in 1942; the facility absorbed the City's Merle Fogg Airport. The facility, which could accommodate 3,000 people, included more than 4,000 feet of runways and 217 buildings. By late 1942, the base was complete. During the war, the Fort Lauderdale Naval Air Station was one of two facilities from Illinois to Florida equipped to combat train Navy pilots and crewmen in torpedo bomber planes (George 1991:7, 9). At the conclusion of the war, the facility was abandoned by the military and remained unused for several years.

During this time, railroads profited, since servicemen, military goods and materials needed to be transported. However, airplanes were now becoming the new form of transportation, and Florida became a major airline destination. The highway system was also being expanded at this time. The State Road Department constructed 1,560 miles of highway during the war era (Miller 1990).

Growth in Broward County continued to increase after the end of World War II, as a result of the leftover benefits of a wartime economy and the renewed availability of construction materials and durable goods (Kemper 1981:50, TenEick 1989:407). Servicemen stationed in the area returned to live, often convincing family and friends to return as well. Between 1940 and 1950, Fort Lauderdale's population more than doubled to 36,328. Lauderdale-by-the-Sea was established in 1951; Plantation and Lazy Lake in 1953; Margate and Miramar in 1955; Lighthouse Point in 1956; Sunrise, Davie, and Lauderdale Lakes in 1961; and Coconut Creek in 1967 (Broward County 2001).

Many single-family residences were constructed in the World War II era Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, located partially within the APE. The overall boundaries of the historically African-American neighborhood include Sistrunk Boulevard at the north, NW 25th Avenue at the west, Broward Boulevard at the south, and NW 7th Avenue at the east (Olmeda and Huriash 2000). The no longer extant Dixie Court Public Housing Development, opened in 1938 as an apartment development for African-Americans in Fort Lauderdale, was completed prior to this time period. The historic 1949 aerial photograph (**Figure 12**) illustrates rapid development of the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, including the beginning of apartment construction. However, vacant lots in the area are still visible at this time (**Figure 12**).

8.9 Modern Period (1950-Present)

The population expansion fueled an increase in construction. Residential development in the vicinity of the APE is clearly expanding on the 1957 aerial photograph (**Figure 13**). All of the historic residences documented during this current survey that are located within the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood are present by this time. By 1968, commercial businesses had




FPID: 435513-1-22-02

appeared along much of Broward Boulevard in the vicinity of the APE (**Figure 14**). The surrounding residential neighborhoods had also been largely built out by this time (**Figure 14**). The 1973 aerial photograph shows the construction of I-95 through the project APE (**Figure 15**). Numerous buildings were demolished at that time to accommodate the new highway.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 12 | 1949 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE





FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 13 | 1958 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE






Figure 14 | 1968 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE







Figure 15 | 1973 Aerial Photograph showing a portion of the Project APE





Large portions of previously undeveloped Broward County were also transformed into residential developments in the 1950s and 1960s. As Broward County's population soared toward one million, several developers became overextended or came under criticism because of the close ties between their firms and the cities which they had created. Also, a growing number of newcomers feared that too-rapid growth would create problems. At the beginning of the 1970s, residents began demanding that cities aim for slower growth and lower limits on the number of residences per acre. Gradually, governments began to respond (McGoun 1978).

During these years, the construction of I-95 affected many communities along Florida's east coast. Miles of fractured highway were incorporated into what would become the main vein of Florida's east coast. Construction of I-95 in southern Florida progressed throughout the 1960s. By 1976, most of the highway was complete from the Georgia State Line to Ft. Pierce and from Palm Beach Gardens to Miami, including the portion in Broward County. Within the project APE, I-95 was constructed through the portion of the North Woodlawn Cemetery that had previously been used as a potter's field.

Growth decreased in 1974, but not as a result of municipal actions. South Florida was hit by the recession sweeping the nation. Unsold properties were a major problem at this time, and at one point, there were an estimated 50,000 unsold condominium apartments in the area (McGoun 1978). By 1976, the building industry witnessed a revival. However, there were still concerns that the uncontrolled growth of the past would be repeated. A new county charter gave Broward's government broad powers to monitor and improve the quality of life and the environment. The passage of the 1977 Land Use Plan was a major step toward limiting urban sprawl and ensuring that the area's natural, economic, and social resources would be put to their best use (McGoun 1978).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

9.0 Florida Master Site File Search and Literature Review

An archaeological and historical literature and background information search pertinent to the study area has been performed. This research determined the chronological placement, types, and location patterning of cultural resources within the project APE.

This included a search of the FMSF, county and local site inventories, unpublished cultural resource management (CRM) reports, and other pertinent literature. The FMSF search will serve as a guide to the field investigations by identifying the possible locations of any archaeological sites and historic resources within the study area and providing expectations regarding the potential historic significance of any such sites. The FMSF serves as an archive and repository of information about Florida's recorded cultural resources. It represents an inventory of resources for which available information exists and describes their condition at a particular point of time. Because the inventory of resources is not all-inclusive on a statewide basis, gaps in data may exist. The FMSF is only as accurate and as comprehensive as the information that is submitted and users should be cognizant of the sometimes uneven quality of the information. The FMSF is an important planning tool that assists in identifying potential cultural resources issues and resources that may warrant further investigation and protection. It can be used as a guide but should not be used to determine the FDHR/SHPO's official position about the significance of a resource.

The work of previous investigators was reviewed in order to gather information about the types of precolumbian and early historic period sites that could be expected to occur within the study area. An extensive search of pertinent literature and records was conducted to determine the locations of previously recorded National Register of Historic Places (National Register)—listed, eligible, and potentially eligible resources within the study area, as well as any archaeological and historical assessments of other tracts of land within the study area.

9.1 Previously Conducted Cultural Resource Surveys

There has not been a comprehensive CRAS of the project corridor. The FMSF notes 17 previously conducted cultural resource surveys which intersected with or were within the project corridor. These surveys are described in **Table 4**. No archaeological testing has been conducted within the current APE during the previous surveys. The current I-95 ROW was surveyed during FMSF Survey No. 19752. No subsurface testing could be conducted within the majority of the I-95 ROW due to the presence of road berms and buried utilities. Four negative shovel tests were excavated just to the north of the current APE on the western edge of the ROW across from North Woodlawn Cemetery. Eight negative shovel tests were excavated just to the east of the current APE along the North Fork of the New River during FMSF Survey No. 20623.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Table 4 | Previous Cultural Resource Surveys within or adjacent to the Project Area

	revious outland Resource ourveys within or adjacent to the Project Area			
FMSF Survey No.	Title	Author(s)	Publication Date	
730	Broward County Comprehensive Survey, Phase I	Carr, Robert S., Marlyn Kemper, and Hershall Shepard	1981	
769	Archaeological Survey of Properties Designated for Construction of the Wingate Road Sludge Processing/disposal Facility and Connecting Pipeline Route to the NW 6th St. Pumping Station, Ft. Lauderdale	Kennedy, William J.	1982	
2125	Historic properties survey of Fort Lauderdale, Florida.	Adams, William R., Sidney Johnston, and Stephen A. Olausen	1989	
4075	An Archaeological Survey of Southeast Broward County, Florida: Phase 3	Carr, Robert S., Willard S. Steele, and Jorge Zamanillo	1995	
5844	Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority Double Track Corridor Improvement Program for Segment 56756	Janus Research, Inc.	1999	
6756	Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Technical Memorandum Rail Bridge Over the South Fork of the New River, Broward County, Florida	Schwarz, Rebecca Spain	2001	
8198	New River Cultural and Environmental Survey	Work, Deborah	2002	
10446	An Archaeological and Historical Assessment for the Existing NW 25th Terrace Cellular Tower, Broward County, Florida	Groff, Amanda T., and Jennifer L. F. Nash	2004	
14376	Historic Resources Reconnaissance Survey and Archaeological Desktop Analysis I-95 Managed Lanes Pilot Project: 95 Express from: I-395 (Miami-Dade County) To: I-595 (Broward County)	Janus Research	2007	



FMSF Survey No.	Title	Author(s)	Publication Date
18852	Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey of the Proposed Remilling and Expansion of SR 842/Broward Boulevard from Just East of SR 7/US 441 to NW 7 Avenue, Broward County, Florida. State Project No. 86006-3512, Work Program Item No. 4110742	Campbell, Ken, and Scott P. Lewis	1997
19752	Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 9 / I- 95 PD&E Study from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Boulevard FM 42980412201 / ETDM 13168, Broward County, Florida	Janus Research	2012
20623	Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update for the Broward Boulevard Bridge, Broward County, Florida FM# 429958.1	Janus Research	2013
21548	CRAS for Eight Potential Roadway Transfers from the FDOT, District 4, to Broward County: SR 824/Pembroke Rd., SR 848/Stirling Rd., Riverland Rd., SR 736/Davie Blvd., Las Olas Blvd., SR 849/NE 31st Ave., SR 844/NE 14th St.	Janus Research	2014
21985	Final Report Geophysical Investigation North Woodlawn Cemetery (BD4879) Fort Lauderdale, Florida	Taylor, Christopher	2015

9.2 Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

No previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within or adjacent to the archaeological APE during a search of the FMSF. Twelve previously recorded sites were identified within one mile of the archaeological APE (**Table 5**; **Figure 16**). The project area intersects with a City of Fort Lauderdale Archaeologically Significant Zone along the North Fork of the New River at two locations: Broward Boulevard East of NW 18th Avenue and I-95 from 550 feet north of Broward Boulevard to NW 3rd Court (**Figure 16**).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02


Table 5 | Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile of the Archaeological APE

FMSF Site No.	Site Name	Site Type	National Register Eligibility*
8BD3	New River Earthworks	Precontact earthenworks, burial mound, midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD87	Rivermount	Precontact midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD102	Ft Lauderdale #1	Historic 19 th century fort	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD196	New River Midden	Glades period midden	Eligible
8BD203	Sailboat Bend Midden	Glades period midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD204	Ackerman Site	Glades period midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD205	Rose Site	Precontact midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD207	Sayward Site	Precontact and Seminole period midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD208	Hortt Site	Precontact midden	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD2906	Seminole Burial Ground	Seminole cemetery	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD2915	Annie Tommy Camp	Seminole camp	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD3943	Symphony Site	Historic refuse	Not Evaluated by SHPO

^{*} As recorded in the FMSF; may require re-evaluation



Figure 16 | Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within One Mile and Archaeological Significant Zones





9.3 Previously Recorded Historic Resources

A search of the FMSF identified four previously recorded resources within the historic resources APE: Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452), 2015 Northwest 3rd Court (8BD3414), Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), and the North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879). **Table 6** lists the four resources. The Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452), located at 200 SW 21st Terrace, was determined eligible for listing in the National Register by the SHPO in 1999. The historic structure located at 2015 Northwest 3rd Court (8BD3414) is a Frame Vernacular residence that was not evaluated by SHPO for its National Register–eligibility. The Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) within the current APE has been determined eligible for listing in the National Register by the SHPO in 2013. The North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879) is located adjacent to the current ROW. The cemetery has been determined National Register–eligible by the SHPO and has already passed the state review board. It is currently being reviewed for listing in the National Register by the national review board as of the time of this report. No improvements are planned adjacent to the cemetery.

Table 6 | Previous Recorded Historic Resources within the Historic Resources APE

FMSF Site No.	Site Name / Address	Resource Type / Style	Date	National Register Eligibility
8BD1452	Seaboard Airline Railroad Station / 200 SW 21st Terrace	Mediterranean Revival	1926– 1927	Eligible
8BD3414	2015 NW 3 rd Court	Frame Vernacular	1951	Not Evaluated by SHPO
8BD4649	Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad	Historic Railroad Segment	c. 1927	Eligible
8BD4879	North Woodlawn Cemetery	Historic Cemetery	c. 1926	Under Review for Listing

9.4 Potential Historic Resources

A search of the Broward County Property Appraisers identified 51 parcels within the historic resources APE with historic Actual Year Built (AYRB) dates of 1969 or earlier. Although fieldwork is needed to confirm the presence of extant historic resources on these parcels, as well as potential additional resources, the property appraiser search serves as a useful guide to the locations of potential resources within the APE. A review of aerial photographs from 1958 and 1968 (FDOT, Surveying and Mapping Office 2014) was also conducted to identify any unrecorded historic resources located within the historic resources APE. No historic bridges or



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

ETDM: 14226

FPID: 435513-1-22-02

other potentially unrecorded historic resources were identified within the historic resources APE during the background research.

9.5 Summary of ETDM Comments

The project was assigned a moderate degree of effect by the Florida Department of State (FDOS) and FDOT, District 4. The agencies reported that the majority of the project area was comprehensively surveyed as part of the SR 9 / I-95 PD&E Study from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Boulevard (Janus Research 2012), but that the current project should be compared to previous surveys. National Register–eligible historic resources identified within the project area included the CSX Railroad (8BD4649) and the Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452). They noted that direct impacts to these resources should be avoided.

They also noted the Annie Tommy Camp archaeological site (8BD2915) in the vicinity of the project area, which is considered a sensitive site. The site is not within the current archaeological APE.



10.0 Project Research Design and Site Location Model

10.1 Precontact Archaeological Site Location Model

Four environmental factors are typically employed in predicting site locations: distance to fresh (potable) water, distance to hardwood hammocks, topography, and soil type (soil drainage). Zones of archaeological site potential are designated based on these environmental factors and previous research conducted within the Glades cultural region.

Probability zones along existing roads can be affected by underground utilities and the resulting effects of road construction which often include berms and ditches. Areas that may have originally been moderate or high site potential zones and are directly affected by modern development may decrease in potential due to soil disturbance.

Fresh water is obviously an important resource, as the need for water is universal. This variable would have been of greater importance during the Paleoindian and Early Archaic periods (12,000–5000 BC) when the perched water system was more restricted. The North Fork of the New River intersects the northern portion of the project area and the South Fork of the New River is to the south of the project area.

Hardwood hammocks (hydric, mesic, or xeric) provide a variety of resources that would have been exploited by the aboriginal inhabitants of this region. Often, areas of higher relative elevation correspond with better-drained soils or the presence of hardwood hammocks (xeric and mesic). Hammocks were described in the 1870 surveyor's notes to the north and south of a small creek that crossed the project area approximately between what is now SW 10th Court and SW 11th Street.

The project area is relatively flat at an elevation of approximately 5 feet above sea level.

The characteristics of soils have been used successfully by several researchers in the formulation of predictive models for precontact site location. As mentioned previously, most of the soils within the project area are poorly drained and have been disturbed by the construction of roadways and other urban features.

Based on the background research, the project area has low archaeological site potential for the presence of intact archaeological sites. The majority of the area has been heavily disturbed by previous construction activities. As mentioned earlier, the project area intersects with a City of Fort Lauderdale Archaeologically Significant Zone along the North Fork of the New River at two locations: Broward Boulevard East of NW 18th Avenue and I-95 from 550 feet north of Broward



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Boulevard to NW 3rd Court. These two areas are within the project APE of FMSF Survey Nos. 19752 and 20623, which have received SHPO concurrence (**Appendix B**).

10.2 Historic Archaeological Site Location Model

The historic plat maps were also reviewed for evidence of other early settlement. This review of the historic plat maps and surveyor's notes identified no military forts, roads, encampments, battlefields, homesteads, or historic Native American villages or trails located within or adjacent to the archaeological APE. The archaeological APE has low probability for intact historic archaeological sites.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

11.0 METHODS

11.1 Archaeological Field Methods

The archaeological field survey included a surface inspection which consisting of a visual inspection of exposed ground to look for evidence of archaeological sites. Additionally, a careful surface inspection was undertaken in areas of minimal vegetation and/or upturned soil such as drainage ditches, recent clearings, and animal burrows. No subsurface testing was conducted in the vicinity of buried utilities due to the potential for substantial fines if a utility is damaged and concern for the safety of archaeological field teams.

Standard archaeological methods for recording field data was followed throughout the project. Field conditions and the locations of buried utilities were recorded on field aerial maps of the project APE.

11.2 Historic Resources Field Methods

An architectural historian and historic technician conducted a historic resources survey in order to ensure that resources built during or before 1969 within the project APE were identified, properly mapped, and photographed. The historic resources survey used standard field methods to identify and record historic resources. Resources within the APE received a preliminary visual reconnaissance. Resources with features indicative of 1969 or earlier construction materials, building methods, or architectural styles were noted on aerial photographs.

For each identified historic resource, FMSF forms were filled out with field data, including notes from site observations and research findings. The estimated date of construction, distinctive features, and architectural style were noted. Photographs were taken with a high resolution digital camera. A log was kept to record the building's physical location and compass direction of each photograph. The FMSF form was also updated for the previously recorded Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), as this linear resource was not previously evaluated within the current APE.

In addition to a search of the FMSF, Broward County Property Appraiser information was also used to approximate building construction dates within the project APE. Together, the GIS Data Sets and property appraiser information usually yield the dates of the majority of the historic resources located within the project APE. The project architectural historian identifies any resource not accounted for by this information in the field based on the aforementioned methods.

Each resource's individual significance was then evaluated for its potential eligibility for listing in the National Register. Historic physical integrity was determined from site observations, field



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

data, and photographic documentation. Local information was consulted to assist in the research for known significant historical associations.

Concentrations of historic resources within the APE for the project were noted in terms of the potential for inclusion in a historic district. Each resource's present condition, location relative to other resources, and distinguishing neighborhood characteristics were noted and photographed for accurate assessment of National Register Historic District eligibility.

11.3 Local informants and Certified Local Government Coordination

Local informants may often provide valuable information which is otherwise not available through official records or library collections. The City of Fort Lauderdale is included on the July 14, 2017 list of Certified Local Governments (CLG) available on the FDHR website (FDHR 2017). Therefore, Ms. Linda Mia Franco, Fort Lauderdale Historic Preservation Liaison, was contacted via email on October 5, 2017 for input on the proposed project and information on potential cultural resources within the project APE. Ms. Franco responded later the same day via email stating that she had forwarded the coordination email to Trisha Logan, the City's Historic Preservation Planner, for her review and input. Ms. Logan responded via email on October 10, 2017 and provided a list of locally designated historic landmarks that are located in or near the project APE. North Woodlawn Cemetery and the Seaboard Air Line Railroad Station are located within, or partially within, the current APE, and are discussed in this report. Avis McSmith House, located at 611 NW 15th Avenue, Haehle House, located at 315 SW 19th Avenue, and the Sailboat Bend Historic District were also noted by Ms. Logan, but all are located outside of the current project APE.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

12.0 Results

12.1 Archaeological Results

No previously recorded or newly recorded archaeological sites were identified within the archaeological APE. Background research and a pedestrian survey indicated that there is a low probability of finding intact archaeological sites within the archaeological APE. The majority of the project area has been previously surveyed during FMSF Survey Nos. 19752 and 20623 (Janus Research 2012, 2013) that have received SHPO concurrence (**Appendix B**). Subsurface archaeological testing was not feasible within the previously unsurveyed portion of the archaeological APE due to the existence of pavement, sidewalks, berms, buried utilities, landscaping, and residential and commercial frontage (**Appendix C**). Representative photographs of the archaeological APE are included below (**Figures 17–19**).

Figure 17 | Landscaped Berm East Side of I-95 Along NW 21st Avenue, Facing Southwest





FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 18 | Utilities Along Broward Boulevard at NW 18th Avenue, Facing East



Figure 19 | Standing Water within I-95 ROW at Davie Boulevard, Facing South





12.2 Historic Resources Survey Results

The CRAS identified a total of 52 historic resources within the historic APE. The identified historic resources include one cemetery (North Woodlawn Cemetery–8BD4879), two resource groups (Seaboard Air Line [CSX] Railroad–8BD4649 and the Salvation Army Complex–8BD6346), and 49 buildings (8BD1452 and 8BD6298–8BD6344). Four of the historic resources were previously recorded (8BD1452, 8BD3414, 8BD4649, and 8BD4879), and 48 are newly recorded (8BD6298–8BD6344 and 8BD6347).

Three historic resources, Seaboard Airline Railroad Station at 200 SW 21st Terrace (8BD1452), Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), and North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879), were previously determined National Register—eligible by the SHPO. The Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452) was determined eligible for the National Register in 1999. The portion of the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) within the current APE was previously documented and found National Register—eligible as a result of the *CRAS* of the *SR* 9/l-95 PD&E Study from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Boulevard, conducted by Janus Research in 2013. North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879) was also determined National Register-eligible by the SHPO as a result of the 2013 CRAS. The cemetery has already passed the state review board and is currently being reviewed for listing in the National Register by the national review board as of the time of this report. The field survey conducted as part of the current CRAS found that no notable alterations have taken place to any of these three significant historic resources since the time of their previous evaluations. Therefore, all three remain eligible for inclusion in the National Register.

All other historic resources located within the current APE are considered individually ineligible for inclusion in the National Register. Almost all the newly recorded historic buildings are representative of common postwar constructed architecture that does not possess sufficient significance for individual listing in the National Register. Many of these buildings also exhibit exterior modifications that compromise their historic integrity. The majority of the buildings are Masonry Vernacular in style, with few notable architectural features.

A visual assessment in the vicinity of the project APE along NW 21st Avenue and NW 3rd Court was undertaken in order to determine National Register historic district potential in the African-American Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood. Although portions of the subdivisions which make up the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood had been platted by 1912, most existing examples of historic residences and commercial buildings within Dorsey Riverbend are post-World War II era through the 1960s. The Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood was previously assessed for potential National Register historic district eligibility during the *CRAS for Powerline Road/NW 9th Avenue from Broward Boulevard to Sistrunk Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida*, conducted by Janus Research in 2015. This previous survey found that area of the Dorsey



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Riverbend neighborhood within that survey's APE would not qualify for inclusion in the National Register as a historic district, and that the immediately surrounding area did not appear to meet National Register criteria for listing. The portion of the neighborhood within and in the immediate vicinity of the 2015 APE did not possess historic integrity as the area had been substantially altered. The SHPO concurred with this finding on November 23, 2015. A portion of the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood was again surveyed by Janus Research during the 2017 *CRAS* of the Design Build Broward Mobility Project, Group 5B (Sequences 190, 200, 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, and 270), Broward County, Florida. Again, Janus Research found that the section of the neighborhood within the APE for this previous 2017 project did not possess historic integrity, and so did not meet the criteria for a National Register historic district. Based on the fieldwork, the current survey concurs with these earlier findings that the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood does not meet the criteria for National Register historic district eligibility. As such, newly recorded historic resources within the APE in this neighborhood are ineligible for listing in the National Register as part of a historic district.

A portion of the APE along NW 21st Terrace to the west of I-95 also includes part of the River Garden/Sweeting Estates. The historic buildings within this neighborhood are also comprised of postwar Masonry Vernacular residences. However, the fieldwork found that this neighborhood also contains a large number of demolitions and vacant lots, non-historic infill, and substantial alternations on many of the historic homes that do remain. Therefore, there is no potential for a National Register historic district within in this area. Similar characteristics were observed in the vicinity of all the other documented resources within the current APE, and as such there is no potential for a National Register historic district anywhere within the current project APE.

Table 7 lists all resources identified as part of the current study, and their physical location is depicted on current aerial mapping contained in Figures 20a-e. Figures 21-82 include photographs of all identified historic resources. While the FMSF forms, as well as the general explanation above regarding the lack of eligibility for the historic resources within the APE, is sufficient for most of these resources, the Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347) required additional explanation. Therefore, a narrative description and further explanation of eligibility for this resource group is included below. Brief explanations of the eligibility for the three previously determined National Register-eligible historic resources (8BD1452, 8BD4649, and 8BD4879) are also included below. FMSF forms were not updated for these three National Register-eligible resources, as their eligibility status remains unchanged due to the lack of any notable alterations since their previous recordation. The FMSF form was updated for the previously recorded house located at 2015 NW 3rd Court (8BD3414), and this resource is still considered ineligible for the National Register. The three National Register-eligible resources are discussed immediately following the identified resources maps (Figures 22a-e), and are followed by photographs of each of the remaining historic resources within the APE (Figures 24–82). The photographs are in order by FMSF number, with the exception of the Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

(**Figures 24–34**), which is placed beforehand as it requires additional explanation. The previous

forms for the three eligible resources, as well as all of the newly completed FMSF forms, are

Table 7 | Identified Historic Resources within the Historic APE

contained in **Appendix A**.

FMSF No.	Name / Address	Year Constructed	Type / Style	National Register Status
8BD1452	Seaboard Airline Railroad Station / 200 SW 21st Terrace	1926–1927	Mediterranean Revival	Determined Eligible
8BD3414	2015 NW 3 rd Court	1951	Frame Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD4649	Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad	c. 1927	Historic Railroad Segment	Determined Eligible
8BD4879	North Woodlawn Cemetery	c. 1926	Historic Cemetery	Under Review for Listing
8BD6298	1920–1932 NW 9 th Street	c. 1958	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6299	808 NW 20 th Avenue	c. 1949	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6300	729 NW 20 th Avenue	c. 1960	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6301	632 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1952	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6302	616 NW 22 nd Road	c. 1960	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6303	614 NW 22 nd Road	c. 1954	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6304	524 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1959	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6305	516 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1963	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6306	448 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1954	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6307	444 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1956	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6308	442 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6309	428 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

FMSF No.	Name / Address	Year Constructed	Type / Style	National Register Status
8BD6310	424 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1964	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6311	420 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6312	416 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6313	412 NW 21st Terrace	c. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6314	536 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6315	532 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6316	528 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6317	524 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6318	520 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6319	516 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6320	512 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6321	508 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6322	504 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6323	500 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6324	444 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6325	440 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6326	436 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6327	432 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible



FMSF No.	Name / Address	Year Constructed	Type / Style	National Register Status
8BD6328	428 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6329	424 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6330	420 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6331	416 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6332	412 NW 21 st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6333	408 NW 21 st Avenue	c. 1950	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6334	404 NW 21st Avenue	c. 1951	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6335	2019 NW 3 rd Court	c. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6336	2016 NW 3 rd Court	c. 1965	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6337	2008 NW 3 rd Court	c. 1962	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6338	2001 NW 3 rd Court	c. 1959	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6339	1800–1803 W Broward Boulevard	c. 1963	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6340	709 SW 20 th Terrace	c. 1954	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6341	713 SW 20 th Terrace	c. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6342	717 SW 20 th Terrace	c. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6343	1914 SW 8 th Street	c. 1953	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6344	1910 SW 8 th Street	c. 1955	Masonry Vernacular	Considered Ineligible
8BD6347	Salvation Army Complex / 1901 W Broward Boulevard	c. 1957	Building Complex	Considered Ineligible



Figure 20a | Identified Historic Resources (Overview Map)






Figure 20b | Identified Historic Resources (Map 1 of 4)






Figure 20c | Identified Historic Resources (Map 2 of 4)






Figure 20d | Identified Historic Resources (Map 3 of 4)






Figure 20e | Identified Historic Resources (Map 4 of 4)





12.2.1 Historic Resources Determined Individually National Register-eligible

Figure 21 | Seaboard Airline Railroad Station, located at 200 SW 21st Terrace (8BD1452), facing Southeast




8BD1452 Seaboard Airline Railroad Station

The circa-1926/1927 Seaboard Airline Railroad Station (8BD1452) (**Figure 21**) is located at 200 SW 21st Terrace and still serves its original purpose as a railroad station. It was designed by architect Gustav A. Maass in the Mediterranean Revival style, and is representative of the transportation boom that took place in Fort Lauderdale and across southeast Florida during the Boom Times of the 1920s. For significance under Criteria A and C in the areas of Transportation and Architecture, the SHPO determined on December 20, 1999 that the Seaboard Airline Railroad Station was eligible for listing in the National Register. This building is also locally-designated within the City of Fort Lauderdale.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 22 | Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), as seen from Broward Boulevard overpass, facing North



8BD4649 Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad

The segment of the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) (**Figure 22**) within the APE is located between Sunrise Boulevard and SW 5th Place, and features a double-track configuration over a gravel ballast. The approximate 1.06 miles of tracks within the APE maintains its historic orientation and configuration and is significant for its contributions to the patterns of development and transportation in the State. Therefore, the SHPO determined on March 27, 2013 that the current segment of the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad was eligible for listing in the National Register under Criterion A in the areas of Community Planning and Development and Transportation.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 23 | North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879), facing West toward I-95



8BD4879 North Woodlawn Cemetery

North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879) (**Figure 23**) was established in 1926, and is located on the west side of NW 19th Avenue, between NW 9th Street and Sunrise Boulevard. The cemetery serves as the final resting place for many of the areas pioneering African American residents. It was the only cemetery in Fort Lauderdale where African Americans could be buried until 1962 (Janus Research 2012). North Woodlawn Cemetery possesses local significance under Criterion A in the area of ethnic heritage, for its connection to the early African American community in the northwest quadrant Fort Lauderdale. The cemetery also meets National Register Criteria Consideration D for its connection to historical events, as it is one of the few remaining resources associated with Fort Lauderdale's African American community during the period of racial segregation. North Woodlawn Cemetery was determined eligible for the National Register by the SHPO on March 27, 2013. The cemetery has already passed the state review board and is currently being reviewed for listing in the National Register by the national review board as of



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

the time of this report. North Woodlawn Cemetery is also locally-designated within the City of Fort Lauderdale.

12.2.2 Historic Resources Considered Individually National Register-ineligible

8BD6347 Salvation Army Complex

This resource group is comprised of seven concrete block Masonry Vernacular and two metal frame Industrial Vernacular style building located on an approximately 14.5-acre property at 1901 W Broward Boulevard in Section 4 of Township 50 South, Range 42 East on the Fort Lauderdale South (1962, photorevised 1983) United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map. The property is bounded by I-95 to the west, W Broward Boulevard to the south, the North Fork Riverfront Park in the northeast corner, and the North Fork of the New River to the east and north. Although the historic buildings were likely associated with demolished historic buildings west of the boundaries, much of the area around the intersection of W Broward Boulevard and the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) was affected by the construction of I-95. Since the buildings in the area that may have been associated with the extant historic buildings were demolished for I-95, the current western boundary for the Salvation Army Complex follows I-95, which curves in a northwest-southeast direction.

Most of the property is paved, with landscaping around the buildings, and the edges of the property. Four of the Masonry Vernacular building are historic, and the other seven buildings on the complex are non-historic. Two buildings are located within the project APE, the c. 1967 Adult Rehabilitation Center and the c. 2000 Super Store. The Adult Rehabilitation Center is the largest building on the complex, and portions of the building serve as storage, auction area, and the donation drop off location.

The development of the Salvation Army Complex and the area around it has been gradual. The original use of the property is unknown, but the Salvation Army obtained the property in 1980 (Broward County 1980). A review of historic aerials shows that four of the Masonry Vernacular buildings are historic. The oldest building on the complex, Warehouse 1, can be seen in the 1958 aerial (**Figure 24**). By 1968, five more buildings were constructed: Warehouse 2, Warehouse 3, the Adult Rehabilitation Center, and two smaller buildings in the northwest and southeast corners of the property that were demolished in the 1990s (**Figure 25**). The 1958 and 1968 aerials also show a building next to the railroad that may have been a train station, but this building and three others that may have been associate with the Salvation Army Complex were demolished in the 1970s when I-95 was built. By 1988, Warehouses 4 and 5 and Offices 1 and 2 were constructed (**Figure 26**). A current aerial shows the addition to the Adult Rehabilitation Center, c. 1990, and the Super Store, c. 2000 (**Figure 27**).



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 24 | 1958 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)









FPID: 435513-1-22-02 SR-9/I-95 at SR-842/Broward Boulevard Interchange PD&E Study ETDM: 14226

Figure 26 | 1988 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)





Figure 27 | Current aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), property boundaries in red





ge PD&E Study ETDM: 14226


Figure 28 | Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 1, c. 1957, looking North



Warehouse 1

Warehouse 1 is the oldest building on the complex having been constructed c. 1957 (**Figure 28**). This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular warehouse was built in two phases. The west half of the building was completed c. 1957, and shortly after in 1958 the east half of the building was completed. The building is constructed of concrete block painted white. There are nine bays with rolling garage doors on each of the north and south sides. The windows on the west end of the building have iron security bars, which make it impossible to see what style the windows are. There is a set of stairs with a small platform leading to a metal door on the west side. That is the only known entrance, because the east side of the building is not visible. There are flat roof overhangs on the north, east, and south sides of the east half of the building. The building has a flat built-up roof.





Warehouse 2

This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular building sits just south of Warehouse 1. It was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block covered in white stucco (**Figure 29**). There are two bays with rolling garage doors on each of the north and south sides. The building has no windows, and there is no other visible entrance. The west end of the building is only one story tall with no windows or doors, and its purpose is unclear. The building has a flat, built-up roof.

Warehouse 3

This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular building sits just north of Warehouse 1. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block with a shed roof overhang on the north side. There are three loading bays on the north side. The main entrance is a west-facing panel door in the southwest corner. There are two double windows and three single windows on the west side. Iron security bars cover the windows. The south side of the building is blank, and the east side is not visible. The building has a flat, built-up roof.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 30 | Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Adult Rehabilitation Center, c. 1967, looking Northeast



Adult Rehabilitation Center

This Masonry Vernacular building sits southeast of Warehouses 1 and 2, at the northwest corner of W Broward Boulevard and NW 18th Avenue. It was once a warehouse, but is currently used as the Salvation Army Adult Rehabilitation Center (**Figure 30**). This building was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block and is covered in brick painted grey. It has always been irregularly shaped, originally having four large connected massings. The two-story portion of the building in the northwest corner was likely the original office. It has evenly spaced one-over-one single-hung-sash windows on the east side of the second story. The west side has several single door entrances on the first floor and double windows on the second floor. There are only two windows on the south side of the second story. All the windows sit under flat roof overhangs.

The one-story, L-shaped massing south of the two-story office is currently used as the entrance to the Adult Rehabilitation Center. It has one-light fixed windows on the south side. A concrete screen wall encloses the uncovered patio. There is a large Salvation Army sign on the southern concrete screen wall. A single, south-facing commercial glass door with transom and side light serves as the only entrance from the building to the uncovered patio. The building's main entrance sits under a flat roof overhang supported by one large concrete block column. The south-facing commercial glass double door has storefront windows surrounding it. A secondary



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

entrance sits under the same roof overhang. It is a simpler east-facing commercial glass door with a transom and no side lights. There is landscaping around this portion of the building with shrubs and trees lining the south side, entrance, and uncovered patio.

The central and east portions of the building were originally warehouses with loading bays on the north and south sides. The central portion is five bays wide. The bays have been enclosed with what appears to be plywood painted grey to match the brick. There is a small roof overhang above the bays. The original north side bays are no longer visible due to a large addition completed c. 1990. The north and west sides of the addition are not visible. However, from NW 18th Avenue three single metal door entrances and one loading bay are visible on the east side of the addition. No windows are visible. There are two doors and a bay recessed in a raised loading dock on the east side of the original central portion of the building. The large north addition is currently used as the "as is" auction area.

The original east portion of the building was extended south c. 1980, so that its south side was in line with the south side of the central portion of the building. The extension is five bays wide like the central portion. On the east side of the extension, which is not in line with the original east side, has a metal double door entrance. The original east side is blank except for three one-light fixed windows. There are flat roof overhands along the south and east sides of the east portion. The north side continues to be used as a loading dock, as this is currently the donation drop off location. The raised loading dock is L-shaped along the north side of the east portion and the east side of the original central portion of the building. Due to low visibility it's unclear exactly how many bays are recessed in the raised loading dock, but there are at least two bays. One bay is east-facing, and the other is north-facing. The loading dock has a flat roof extension with four supports along the north side, and a ramp at the northeast corner.

All portions and additions of the building have flat, built-up roofs Most of the area around the Adult Rehabilitation Center has been paved for parking and loading. There have been several alterations and additions to this building. Most of the windows appear to have been replaced in the 1980s. The main south-facing entrance was likely altered to accommodate the 1980s commercial glass double doors and window wall. Many other doors also appear to be 1980s replacements.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

ETDM: 14226

Figure 31 | Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 4, c. 1985, looking West




Warehouse 4

This Industrial Vernacular building sits just north of the Adult Rehabilitation Center. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1985 with a metal steel frame covered in metal siding (**Figure 31**). The north and south sides are blank with no window or door openings. The west side of the building is not visible. The east side has a simple metal door in the southeast corner with a two-light sliding window to its right. There are also two large bays with rolling garage doors on the east side. The roof is side-gabled and covered in standing seam metal sheets.

Warehouse 5

This Masonry Vernacular building sits just west of Warehouse 1. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1985 of concrete block covered in stucco. The north and south sides are blank with no window or door openings. The north side is longer than south side, so that the slanted west side is parallel to the I-95 ramp west of it. The west side of the building is not visible and backs up to a line of trees and I-95. The east side has two metal doors, two widows, and two loading bays with rolling garage doors. The loading bays are in the northeast and southeast corners. The windows are one-light fixed and covered in metal security bars. The building has a flat, built-up roof.





Office 1

This Industrial Vernacular building sits just northwest of Warehouse 4 (**Figure 32**). It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1978 with a metal steel frame covered in metal siding. The northwest side is blank with no window or door openings. The northeast side has six five-light awning windows, three in the center and three on the left side. The southwest side is not visible. The entrance is a simple metal door on the southeast side, which sits under a canvas awning. There is a similar awning over the three windows. The three windows are five-light awning similar to those on the northeast side. The front-gabled roof is covered in 5V crimped metal sheets.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

FPID: 435513-1-22-02 ETDM: 14226





Office 2

This two-story Masonry Vernacular building sits in the northwest corner of the property along the North Fork of the New River (**Figure 33**). It was constructed c. 1985 of concrete block and is covered in grey stucco. The building has an irregular form, and the west portion of the building was formerly a warehouse. The north and west sides of the building are not visible. The east portion of the building is only one-story tall, and contains both the main and secondary entrances recessed along its south side. The east side has four recessed bays with metal, one-light fixed windows at the top. The east-facing main entrance is a metal door with a square light recessed in the southeast corner under a canvas awning. There are four south-facing thin vertical windows recessed in the same space. The south-facing secondary entrance is a simple metal door to the left of the main entrance. It is also recessed and has a canvas awning. There were originally seven bays along the south side of the two-story portion of the building. Only the bay in the southwest corner has remained altered. The one directly to the right of it was completely enclosed with plain stucco. Each of the remaining five bays to the right were enclosed with scored stucco and a row of five four-light awning windows at the top. Both portions of the building have a flat, built-up roof.



R-9/I-95 at SR-842/Broward Boulevard Interchange PD&E Study ETDM: 14226



Super Store

This Masonry Vernacular building sits just east of the Adult Rehabilitation Center, at the northeast corner of W Broward Boulevard and NW 18th Avenue. The Super Store was constructed c. 2000 of concrete blocked and covered in stucco (**Figure 34**). The building is generally rectangular; however, the southwest and southeast corners extend out slightly. There are storefront windows that run from just north of and around the southwest corner, along the south side, around the southeast corner, and ending just north of the southeast corner. There are metal awnings over the windows. The south-facing commercial glass double doors with transom and side lights sits amongst the storefront windows under a portico. A two-story entry portico is located centrally along the south side, and is taller than the rest of the building. Other than the storefront windows, the east and west sides are blank with no other openings. The north side has a simple metal door and a loading bay with rolling garage door in the northeast corner. There are stucco walls around the AC units on the north side. Most of the area around the Super Store is paved for parking. The building has a flat, built-up roof.

The Salvation Army was founded in London in 1865 by William Booth (Salvation Army 2014). He was dedicated to working with the poor and homeless. In 1879 the Salvation Army came to the United States starting in Philadelphia before quickly expanding into New York (Salvation



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Army 2014). The organization was instrumental in both World Wars in providing help to soldiers and civilians alike.

In Broward County, Ivy Stranahan helped establish the Salvation Army in 1926 and was one of its original board members (Salvation Army 2014). Today, the group owns several properties throughout Broward County. They acquired the property discussed here in 1980. The historic buildings on the property had served a different purpose before that is unclear. Despite the proximity to the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), the building complex does not appear associated with the railroad.

The Industrial Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles are highly prevalent approaches to architectural design for industrial complexes in Florida and the Salvation Army Complex buildings are common examples of the styles from their period and place of construction. Of the nine buildings within the complex, four are historic. The area around the complex has been substantially altered through the 1970s construction of I-95 and the development along Broward Boulevard. Additionally, the four historic Masonry Vernacular buildings have sustained substantial alterations. Therefore, the Salvation Army Complex located at 1901 W Broward Boulevard is considered National Register–ineligible under Criteria A, B, C, or D, both individually and as part of a historic district.

Figure 35 | 2015 NW 3rd Court (8BD3414), c. 1951, facing North





FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Figure 36 | 1920–1932 NW 9th Street (8BD6298), c. 1958, facing Southeast



Figure 37 | 808 NW 20th Avenue (8BD6299), c. 1949, facing Northwest





Figure 38 | 729 NW 20th Avenue (8BD6300), c. 1960, facing Southwest



Figure 39 | 632 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6301), c. 1952, facing Southwest





Figure 40 | 616 NW 22nd Road (8BD6302), c. 1960, facing East



Figure 41 | 614 NW 22nd Road (8BD6303), c. 1954, facing South





Figure 42 | 524 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6304), c. 1959, facing Southeast



Figure 43 | 516 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6305), c. 1963, facing Southeast





Figure 44 | 448 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6306), c. 1954, facing Southeast



Figure 45 | 444 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6307), c. 1956, facing Southeast





Figure 46 | 442 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6308), c. 1953, facing Northeast



Figure 47 | 428 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6309), c. 1955, facing Southeast





Figure 48 | 424 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6310), c. 1964, facing Southeast



Figure 49 | 420 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6311), c. 1962, facing Southeast





Figure 50 | 416 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6312), c. 1962, facing Northeast




Figure 51 | 412 NW 21st Terrace (8BD6313), c. 1962, facing Northeast





Figure 52 | 536 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6314), c. 1950, facing Northeast



Figure 53 | 532 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6315), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 54 | 528 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6316), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 55 | 524 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6317), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 56 | 520 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6318), c. 1950, facing Northeast



Figure 57 | 516 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6319), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 58 | 512 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6320), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 59 | 508 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6321), c. 1950, facing Southeast





Figure 60 | 504 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6322), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 61 | 500 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6323), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 62 | 444 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6324), c. 1950, facing Northeast



Figure 63 | 440 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6325), c. 1950, facing Southeast





Figure 64 | 436 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6326), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 65 | 432 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6327), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 66 | 428 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6328), c. 1950, facing Northeast



Figure 67 | 424 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6329), c. 1950, facing Southeast





Figure 68 | 420 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6330), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 69 | 416 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6331), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 70 | 412 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6332), c. 1950, facing Southeast



Figure 71 | 408 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6333), c. 1950, facing Northeast





Figure 72 | 404 NW 21st Avenue (8BD6334), c. 1951, facing Northeast



Figure 73 | 2019 NW 3rd Court (8BD6335), c. 1955, facing Northeast





Figure 74 | 2016 NW 3rd Court (8BD6336), c. 1965, facing South



Figure 75 | 2008 NW 3rd Court (8BD6337), c. 1962, facing Southeast





Figure 76 | 2001 NW 3rd Court (8BD6338), c. 1959, facing North



Figure 77 | 1800–1803 W Broward Boulevard (8BD6339), c. 1963, facing Northwest





Figure 78 | 709 SW 20th Terrace (8BD6340), c. 1954, facing West



Figure 79 | 713 SW 20th Terrace (8BD6341), c. 1953, facing West





Figure 80 | 717 SW 20th Terrace (8BD6342), c. 1953, facing Southwest



Figure 81 | 1914 SW 8th Street (8BD6343), c. 1953, facing Southwest





Figure 82 | 1910 SW 8th Street (8BD6344), c. 1955, facing Southwest





13.0 Conclusions

The objective of the CRAS for the SR-9/I-95 at SR 842/Broward Boulevard Interchange Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study in the City of Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida was to identify cultural resources within the project APE and assess their eligibility for listing in the National Register according to the criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4.

No newly or previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within the archaeological APE. Subsurface testing was not feasible within the archaeological APE due to the presence of existing pavement, sidewalks, berms, landscaping, and buried utilities. The pedestrian survey of the archaeological APE confirmed the developed nature of the project corridor and confirmed the low potential for finding intact archaeological sites.

The CRAS identified a total of 52 historic resources within the historic APE. The identified historic resources include one cemetery (North Woodlawn Cemetery–8BD4879), two resource groups (Seaboard Air Line [CSX] Railroad–8BD4649 and the Salvation Army Complex–8BD6346), and 49 buildings (8BD1452 and 8BD6298–8BD6344). Four of the historic resources were previously recorded (8BD1452, 8BD3414, 8BD4649, and 8BD4879), and 48 are newly recorded (8BD6298–8BD6344 and 8BD6347). Three historic resources, Seaboard Airline Railroad Station at 200 SW 21st Terrace (8BD1452), Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), and North Woodlawn Cemetery (8BD4879), were previously determined National Register–eligible by the SHPO. The current CRAS found that all three of these significant resources remain eligible for inclusion in the National Register. The remaining 49 historic resources located within the current APE are considered individually ineligible for inclusion in the National Register.

13.1 Unanticipated Finds

Although unlikely, should construction activities uncover any archaeological remains, it is recommended that activity in the immediate area of the remains be stopped while a professional archaeologist evaluates the remains. In the event that human remains are found during construction or maintenance activities, Chapter 872.05 of the Florida Statutes will apply and FDOT's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction require that all construction cease. Chapter 872.05 states that, when human remains are encountered, all activity that might disturb the remains shall cease and may not resume until authorized by the District Medical Examiner or the State Archaeologist. The District Medical Examiner has jurisdiction if the remains are less than 75 years old or if the remains are involved in a criminal investigation. The State Archaeologist has jurisdiction if the remains are 75 years of age or more.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

13.2 Curation

Original and updated site file forms (**Appendix A**), survey log sheet (**Appendix D**), and photographs are curated at the FMSF in Tallahassee, along with a copy of this report. Field notes and other pertinent project records are temporarily stored at Janus Research until their transfer to the FDOT storage facilities.

14.0 References

- Andrews, R. L., J. M. Adovasio, B. Humphrey, D.C. Hyland, J.S. Gardner, and D.G. Harding, (with J. S. Illingworth and D.E. Strong)
- 2002 Conservation and Analysis of Textile and Related Perishable Artifacts. In *Windover: Multidisciplinary Investigations of an Early Archaic Florida Cemetery*, edited by Glen Doran, pp. 121-165. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Austin, Robert J.

- 1996 Prehistoric Chert Procurement and Mobility Strategies on the Lake Wales Ridge. *The Florida Anthropologist* 49(4):218–221.
- 1997 The Economics of Lithic-Resource Use in South-Central Florida. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Brooks, H. K.

1984 Lake Okeechobee. In *Environments of South Florida: Present and Past II* (2d ed.), edited by P. J. Gleason, pp. 38–68. Miami Geological Society, Coral Gables.

Broward County, Records, Taxes and Treasury Division

1980 Special Warranty Deed. Granted by William R. Robbins (a.k.a. W. R. Robbins) to The Salvation Army, Inc., a Georgia corporation. For the portions of Section 4 of Township 50 South, Range 42 East, which make up the Salvation Army Complex.

Brown, Canter, Jr.

1991 Florida's Peace River Frontier. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.

Buker, George S.

1975 Sun, Sand and Water: A History of the Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1821–1975. Found online at: http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/history/.

Bullen, Ripley P.

- 1954 Further Notes on the Battery Point Site, Bayport, Hernando County, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 7: 103–108.
- 1955 Stratigraphic Tests at Bluffton, Volusia County, Florida. *Florida Anthropologists* 8:1–16.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

1972 The Orange Period of Peninsular Florida. In *Fiber-tempered Pottery in Southeastern United States and Northern Colombia: Its Origins, Context, and Significance*, edited by R. P. Bullen and J. B. Stoltman, pp.9–33. Florida Anthropological Society Publications 6, Gainesville.

Bullen, R. P., A. K. Bullen, and C. J. Clausen

1968 The Cato Site Near Sebastian Inlet, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 21:14–16.

Cabeza de Vaca, Alvar Nuñez

1542 La Relación que dio Alvar Nuñez Cabeza de Vaca de lo Acaescido en las Indias...Zamora, 1542. Reproduced in Colección de Libros y documentos referentes a la Historia de America. Madrid, 1906.

Carbone, V. A.

1983 Late Quaternary Environments in Florida and the Southeast. *The Florida Anthropologist* 26(1-2):3–17.

Carr, Robert S.

- 1986 Preliminary Report on Excavations at the Cutler Fossil Site in Southern Florida. Paper presented at the 38th annual meeting of the Florida Anthropological Society, Gainesville.
- The Archaeology of Everglades Tree Islands. In *Tree Islands of the Everglades*, edited by Fred H. Sklar and Arnold Van der Valk, pp. 187-206. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.

Carr, Robert S., Joe Davis, and Bill Steele

1994 A Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Blockbuster Parcel, Broward County, Florida. AHC Technical Report #104. Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Miami. Manuscript on fle, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Carr, Robert S., Amy Felmley, Richard Ferrer, Willard S. Steele, and Jorge Zamanillo

1991 An Archaeological Survey of Broward County, Florida: Phase One. AHC Technical Report #34. Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Miami. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Cushing, Frank H.

1896 Exploration of Ancient Key Dwellers' Remains on the Gulf Coast of Florida. *Proceedings: American Philosophical Society* Volume 35 (153):329–448.

Daniel, I. Randolph, and Michael Wisenbaker

1987 Harney Flats: A Florida Paleo-Indian Site. Baywood Press, Farmingdale, New York.

Davis, Joe, and Robert S. Carr

1993 An Archaeological and Historical Assessment of the Weston Increment III Area, Phase II, Broward County, FL. AHC Technical Report #79, Archaeological and Historical



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Conservancy, Miami. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Davis, T. Fredrick

1938 The Disston Land Purchase. The Florida Historical Quarterly 17(3):200–210.

Dickel, David N.

2002 Analysis of Mortuary Patterns. In *Windover: Multidisciplinary Investigations of an Early Archaic Florida Cemetery*, edited by Glen H. Doran, pp. 73–96. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Dickel, David N., and Glen H. Doran

2002 An Environmental and Chronological Overview of the Region. In *Windover: Multidisciplinary Investigations of an Early Archaic Florida Cemetery*, edited by Glen H. Doran, pp. 39–58. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

Dixon, E.J.

1999 Human Colonization of the Americas: Timing, Technology, and Process. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 1–68.

Dunbar, James S., Michael K. Faught, and S. David Webb

1988 An Underwater Paleo-Indian Site in Northwestern Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 41:442–453.

Dunbar, James, and Ben I. Waller.

1983 A Distribution Analysis of the Clovis/Suwannee Paleoindian Sites of Florida—A Geographic Approach. *The Florida Anthropologist* 36(1-2):18–30.

Eller, Warren

1971 Port Everglades Florida.

Emslie, Steven D., and Gary S. Morgan

1995 Taphonomy of a Late Pleistocene Carnivore Den, Dade County, Florida. *In Late Quaternary Environments and Deep History: A Tribute to Paul S. Martin*, edited by David W. Steadman and Jim I. Mead, pp. 65-83. Scientific Papers Vol. 3. The Mammoth Site of Hot Springs, Hot Springs, South Dakota.

Farr, Grayal Earle

2006 A Reevaluation of Bullen's Typology for Preceramic Projectile Points. M.A. Thesis, Department of Anthropology, Florida State University, Tallahassee.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

- 1870a Plat Map for Township 50 South, Range 42 East. Division of State Lands, Board of Trustees Land Document System. Electronic document, http://tlhdslweb.dep.state.fl.us/, accessed April 25, 2017.
- 1870b Surveyor's Notes for Township 50 South, Range 42 East. Electronic document, http://labins.org/survey_data/landrecords/landrecords.cfm, accessed April 25, 2017.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), Surveying and Mapping Office

2017 Aerial Photography Archive. Electronic documents, https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/, accessed July 24, 2017.

Fradkin, A.

1976 The Wightman Site: A Study of Prehistoric Culture and Environment on Sanibel Island, Lee County, Florida. M.A. Thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Gaby, Donald C.

1993 *The Miami River and Its Tributaries*. The Historical Association of South Florida, Miami, Florida.

Gannon, Michael V.

1965 The Cross in the Sand: The Early Catholic Church in Florida 1513–1870. University of Florida Press, Gainesville.

George, Paul S.

- 1991 Submarines and Soldiers: Fort Lauderdale and World War II. *Broward Legacy*, Volume 14. Numbers 1–2.
- Gleason, P. J., A. D. Cohen, P. Stone, W. G. Smith, H. K. Brooks, R. Goodrick, and W. Spackman, Jr.
- The Environmental Significance of Holocene Sediments from the Everglades and Saline Tidal Plain. In *Environments of South Florida: Present and Past II*, edited by P. J. Gleason, pp. 297-351. Miami Geological Society, Coral Gables.

Goggin, John M.

- 1939 A Ceramic Sequence for South Florida. New Mexico Anthropologist 3:35–40.
- 1950 Cultural Occupation at Goodland Point, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 2:65–91.
- n.d. The Archaeology of the Glades Area, Southern Florida. [Written about 1949, with additions in subsequent years into the 1950s.] Typescript. Manuscript on file, Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Goodyear, Albert C., Sam B. Upchurch, and Mark J. Brooks

1980 Turtlecrawl Point: An Inundated Early Holocene Archaeological Site on the West Coast of Florida. In *Southeastern Geological Society Guidebook 22*, edited by S. B. Upchurch, pp. 24–33. Tallahassee.

Goodyear, Albert C., and Lyman O. Warren

1972 Further Observations on the Submarine Oyster Shell Deposits of Tampa Bay. *The Florida Anthropologist* 25(2, part 1):52–66.

Griffin, John W.

- 1988 The Archaeology of Everglades National Park: A Synthesis. Contract CX 5000-5-0049. SEAC.
- 2002 Archaeology of the Everglades. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.
- Griffin, J. W., S. B. Richardson, M. Pohl, C. D. MacMurray, C. M. Scarry, S. K. Fish, E. S. Wing, L. J. Loucks, and M. K. Welch
- 1982 Excavations at the Granada Site: Archaeology and History of the Granada Site, Volume I. Florida Division of Archives, History and Records Management, Tallahassee.

Grismer, Karl

1949 The Story of Ft. Myers. St. Petersburg Printing Co., St. Petersburg.

Hetherington, Alma

1980 *The River of the Long Water.* The Mickler House Publishers, Chuluota, Florida.

Historic Property Associates

1995 Architectural & Historical Survey of Fort Lauderdale: Original Town Limits. November, 1995

Janus Research

- 2012 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey SR 9 / I-95 PD&E Study from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Boulevard FM 42980412201 / ETDM 13168, Broward County, Florida. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 2013 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update for the Broward Boulevard Bridge, Broward County, Florida FM# 429958.1. Manuscript on file, Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Kemper, Marilyn

1981 Broward County Comprehensive Survey: Phase I: Historic Broward County Preservation Board. On file Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Kutbach, J. E., and H. E. Wright

1985 Simulation of the Climate of 18,000 Years B.P.: Results for the North American/North Atlantic/European Sector and Comparison with the Geologic Record of North America. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 4:147-188.

Lane, Ed

1980 Environmental Geology Series West Palm Beach Sheet. *Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series* No. 100, Tallahassee.

Lane, E. M., S. Knapp and T. Scott

1980 Environmental Geology Series: Fort Pierce Sheet. *Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series*No. 80, Tallahassee.

Laxson, D. D.

1966 The Turner River Jungle Gardens Site, Collier County, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 19:125–140.

Lee, Arthur R., and John Beriault (with Walter Buschelamn and Jean Belknap)

1993 A Small Site—Mulberry Midden, 8Cr697—Contributes to Knowledge of Transitional Period. *The Florida Anthropologist* 46:43–52.

Lee, Arthur R., John G. Beriault, Jean Belknap, Walter M. Buschelman, John W. Thompson, and Carl B. Johnson

1998 Heineken Hammock, 8CR231: A Late Archaic Corridor Site in Collier County. *The Florida Anthropologist* 51(4):223-239.

Littman, Sherri Lynn

2000 Pleistocene/Holocene Sea Level Change in the Georgia Bight: A Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction of Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary and J Reef. M.A. thesis, Department of Geology, University of Georgia, Athens.

McGoun, Bill

1978 A History of Broward County. *Broward Legacy*, Volume 2, Numbers 3–4.

McMichael, Alan

1982 A Cultural Resource Assessment of Horrs Island, Collier County, Florida. Miscellaneous Project Report Series Number 15. Department of Anthropology, Florida State Museum, Gainesville.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Mahon, John K.

1967 History of the Second Seminole War. University of Florida Press, Gainesville.

Masson, Marilyn A., Robert S. Carr, and Debra S. Goldman

1988 The Taylor's Head Site (8BD74): Sampling a Prehistoric Midden on an Everglades Tree Island. *The Florida Anthropologist* 41(3):336-350.

Milanich, Jerald T.

1978 The Western Timucua: Patterns of Acculturation and Change. In *Tacachale: Essays on the Indians of Florida and Southeastern Georgia during the Historic Period*, pp. 59–88. The University Presses of Florida, Gainesville.

1994 Archaeology of Precolumbian Florida. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville.

Milanich, Jerald T., Jefferson Chapman, Ann S. Cordell, Stephen Hale, and Rochelle A. Marrinan 1984 Prehistoric Development of Calusa Society in Southwest Florida: Excavations on Useppa Island. In *Perspectives on Gulf Coast Prehistory*, edited by David D. Davis, pp. 258–314. Gainesville: University of Florida Press/FMNH.

Miller, James J. (compiler)

1990 State of Florida Draft Comprehensive Historic Preservation Plan. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Mowers, Bert, and Wilma B. Williams

1972 The Peace Camp Site, Broward County, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 25:1-20.

Murphy, Larry E., and Linda Scott Cummings

1990 8SL17: Natural Site-Formation Processes of a Multiple Component Underwater Site in Florida. Southwest Cultural Resources Center Professional Papers No. 39, National Park Service, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Nance, Ellwood C.

1962 *The East Coast of Florida, A History, Volume I.* The Southern Publishing Company, Delray Beach.

Neill, Wilfred T.

1958 A Stratified Early Site at Silver Springs, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 12:33–52.

Nelson, Martha

1963 Weed Patch to 161,867 Landings. *Miami Herald*, 27 January 1963.

Newman, Christine L.

1993 The Cheetum Site: An Archaic Burial in Dade County, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 46:37–42.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Parks, Arva Moore

1982 Archaeology and History of the Granada Site, Volume II, Where the River Found the Bay: Historical Study of the Granada Site, Miami, Florida. Florida Department of State, Division of Archives, History and Records Management, Tallahassee.

Pepe, James P.

2000 An Archaeological Survey of St. Lucie County, Florida. AHC Technical Report #280. Archaeological and Historical Conservancy, Miami. Copies available from Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Pepe, James P., and Alison Elgart

2006 Strombus Celt Caches in Southern Florida: A Functional Interpretation. Paper presented at the 58th Annual Meeting of the Florida Anthropological Society, Stuart.

Pepe, James, and Linda Jester

1995 An Archaeological Survey and Assessment of the Mt. Elizabeth Site, 8Mt30, Martin County, Florida. AHC Technical Report #126, Miami.

Purdy, Barbara Ann

1981 Florida's Prehistoric Stone Tool Technology. University of Florida Press, Gainesville.

Purdy, Barbara A., and Laurie M. Beach

1980 The Chipped Stone Tool Industry of Florida's Preceramic Archaeology of Eastern North America 8:105–124.

Ruppe, Reynold J.

1980 The Archaeology of Drowned Terrestrial Sites: A Preliminary Report. *Florida Bureau of Historic Sites and Properties Bulletin* 6:35–45.

Russo, Michael

1991 Archaic Sedentism on the Florida Coast: A Case Study from Horr's Island. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Russo, Michael, and Gregory Heide

2002 The Joseph Reed Shell Ring. The Florida Anthropologist 55(2):55–87.

Schwadron, Margo

2006 Everglades Tree Islands Prehistory: Archaeological Evidence for Regional Holocene Variability and Early Human Settlement. *Antiquity* 80(310). Electronic document, http://antiquity.ac.uk/projgall/schwadron/index.html.

Scott, Thomas M.

1978 Environmental Geology Series: Orlando Sheet. *Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series* No. 85, Tallahassee.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Sears, William H.

1956 The Turner River Site, Collier County, Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 9:47–60.

1982 Fort Center: An Archaeological Site in the Lake Okeechobee Basin. Ripley P. Bullen Monographs in Anthropology and History No. 4. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville.

Shepard Associates

1981 An Architectural Survey of the City of Hollywood, Florida. In Broward County Comprehensive Survey: Phase I pp. II:ii–II:140.

Tebeau, Charlton W.

1971 A History of Florida. University of Miami Press, Miami.

TenEick, Virginia Elliot

1989 *History of Hollywood (1920 to 1950)*, Reprinted. Published by Patricia M. Smith and Florida Classics Library, Port Solerno, Florida. Originally published in 1966 by the City of Hollywood, Florida.

The Salvation Army, Broward County

2014 Our History. Subpage of the Main Salvation Army website. Webpage accessed on October 4, 2017, at http://www.salvationarmyflorida.org/fortlauderdale/about-us/ourmission

Tischendorf, A. P.

1954 Florida and the British Investor: 1880–1914. Florida Historical Quarterly 33(2):120–129.

Torrence, Corbett McP.

1996 From Objects to the Cultural System: A Middle Archaic Columella Extraction Site on Useppa Island. M. A. thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1984 Soil Survey of Broward County, Eastern Part, Florida. United States Department of Agriculture/Natural Resources Conservation Service.

University of Florida, George A. Smathers Libraries

2017 Aerial Photography: Florida Collection. University of Florida Digital Collections. Electronic documents, http://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials, accessed April 25, 2017.

Upchurch, Sam B., Richard N. Strom, and Mark G. Nuckels



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

1982 Methods of Provenance Determination of Florida Cherts. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Van Beck, J. C., and L. M. Van Beck

1965 The Marco Midden, Marco Island, Florida. The Florida Anthropologist 18:1–20.

Waller, Benjamin I., and James Dunbar

1977 Distribution of Paleo-Indian Projectiles in Florida. *The Florida Anthropologist* 30:79–80.

Watts, William A.

- 1969 A Pollen Diagram from Mud Lake, Marion County, North-central Florida. *Geological Society of America, Bulletin* 80:631–642.
- 1971 Post-Glacial and Interglacial Vegetation History of Southern Georgia and Central Florida. *Ecology* 52:676–689.
- 1975 A Late Quaternary Record of Vegetation from Lake Anne, South-Central Florida. *Geology* 3:344–346.
- 1980 Late Quaternary Vegetation History at White Pond on the Inner Coastal Plain of South Carolina. *Quaternary Research* 13:187–199.
- 1983 Vegetational History of the Eastern United States 25,000 to 10,000 Years Ago. In *Late Quaternary Environments of the United States*, edited by H. E. Wright, Jr., Vol. 1, pp. 294–310. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.

Watts, William A., and Barbara C. S. Hansen

1988 Environments of Florida in the Late Wisconsin and Holocene. In *Wet Site Archaeology*, edited by Barbara A. Purdy, pg. 307–323. The Telford Press, Caldwell, New Jersey.

Watts, W. A., and M. Stuiver

1980 Late Wisconsin Climate of Northern Florida and the Origin of Species Rich Deciduous Forest. *Science* 210:325–327.

Weaver, Paul L. III, Historic Property Associates, Inc., and Pappas Associates, Inc.

1996 Model Guidelines for Design Review: A Guide for Developing Standards for Historic Rehabilitation on Florida Communities. Florida Department of State, Division of Historic Resources, Tallahassee.

Webb, S. David

1990 Historical Biogeography. In *Ecosystems of Florida*, edited by Ronald L. Myers and John J. Ewel, pp. 70-100. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.

Wheeler, Ryan J.

1994 Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment of the Proposed Farr Prison Site, Okeechobee County, Florida. Environmental Management Systems, Inc. Submitted to



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

- Richard T. Creech, Inc. Manuscript on file, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.
- 2004 Southern Florida Sites associated with the Tequesta and their Ancestors: National Historic Landmark/National Register of Historic Places Theme Study, Florida Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee.

Wheeler, Ryan J., Wm. Jerald Kennedy, and James P. Pepe

2002 The Archaeology of Coastal Palm Beach County. *The Florida Anthropologist* 55(3-4):119–156.

Widmer, Randolph J.

1988 The Evolution of the Calusa, A Non-Agricultural Chiefdom on the Southwest Florida Coast. University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa.

White, William A.

1970 The Geomorphology of the Florida Peninsula. *Geological Bulletin* No. 51, Bureau of Geology, State of Florida Department of Natural Resources.

Wright, H. E., Jr.

1981 Vegetation East of the Rocky Mountains 18,000 Years Ago. Quaternary Research 15(2):113–125.

Wright, Leitch J.

1986 Creeks and Seminoles, Destruction and Regeneration of the Muscogulgee People. University of Nebraska Press, Lincoln.



FPID: 435513-1-22-02

Appendix A | Florida Master Site File Forms

☐ Original ☑ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD03414		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-3-2017		
Recorder #	28		

Survey Project Name 1-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) 🗷 building 🔲 structure 🗀 district	
$\textbf{Ownership:} \ \square \text{private-profit} \ \square \text{private-nonprofit} \ \square \text{private-individual} \ \square \text{private-nonspecific} \ \square \text{city}$	□county □state □federal □Native American □foreign □unknown
LOCATION & MAP	PPING_
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 2015 NW 3rd	Court
Cross Streets (nearest/between) N side btwn NW 20th Ave and NW 21st Ave	
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH USGS Date	1983 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? ■ yes □r	no unknown County Broward
Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 1/4 section: NW SW	V □SE □NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0880	ndarant
Suhdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend B	Inck Lot
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0880 Lat Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend B UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 図17 Easting 5 8 3 1 7 3 Northing 2 8 8	9 8 4 4
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate	System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
HISTORY	
Construction Year: 1951 ■ approximately □ year listed or earlier □] year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year):	:1951 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year):	
Other Use From (year):	: To (year):
Moves: ☐yes ☑no ☐unknown Date: Original address	
Alterations: Syes no no no note: 1980s Nature Entry mo	
Additions: ☑yes ☐no ☐unknown Date: c1970/c1985 Nature SE entry	
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first)	
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☒no ☐unk	nown Describe
and the control of th	
	N
DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu	lar Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2.	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat	1arNumber of Stories1 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up	Number of Stories 1 3 3 3 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Number of Stories
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for the structure of	Number of Stories
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit	Number of Stories 1 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light from 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire	Number of Stories 1 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit	Number of Stories 1 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light files to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition.	Number of Stories 1 3
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition; addition; additions Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation.)	Number of Stories 3. 3. 3. 2. Fixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light files to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition.	Number of Stories 3. 3. 3. 2. Fixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition; addition; additions Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation.)	Number of Stories 3. 3. 3. 2. Fixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition; addition; additions Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation.)	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 3. 2. Fixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition; addition; additions Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation.)	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 3. 2. fixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light files to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation uncovered front patio; paved walkway from secondary entrance to	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 2. Eixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved o sidewalk
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregu Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light f 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation uncovered front patio; paved walkway from secondary entrance to DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUAT	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 2. Eixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved o sidewalk
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light for 1980s to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation uncovered front patio; paved walkway from secondary entrance to the state of the patio of the pation of the patio of the pation of th	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 2. Eixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved o sidewalk
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregue Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 1-light files to accommodate AC unit Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Some metal wire enclosure and gate in front of entry in c. 1970 addition; addition; additions are considered front patio; paved walkway from secondary entrance to the secondary entrance to	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 2. Eixed; most boarded up; fixed light altered in addw awnings and hurricane shutters; iron tions and garage have flat roof on sheet if needed.) Paved half-circle driveway; paved o sidewalk TON DHR USE ONLY


Site #8 BD03414


	DESCRIPTION	DN (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Block Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block Main Entrance (stylistic details) S-facing 1988 entry on S side of NE addition Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)	22. Os panel door with f		
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent Narrative Description of Resource		dence has an irregular for	rm and several additions
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
DF	SEADCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
RE.	SEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☒FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☒property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☒other methods (describe) ☐Historic aeric Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # 		□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
OPI	NION OF RESOUL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Regis Appears to meet the criteria for National Regis Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significance in the Dorsey Riverbaneighborhood lacks integrity with see Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Ref. 1	ter listing as part of a district cant or not; use separate sheet if the neighborhood, who is everal alterations, segister Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categor 34	C1?	American neighborhood. The
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the S 1) Document type Field maps Document description 2) Document type Field notes Document description	Ma F	intaining organization Janus Research ile or accession #'s aintaining organization Janus Research	
	RECORDER IN	IFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Was		Affiliation Janus Research 7 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06298		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	1		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 1920-1932 NW 9th St	
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevar	
National Register Category (please check one)	
Ownersnip: 🔀 private-profit 🔲 private-nonprofit 🔲 private-indivi	idual □private-nonspecific □city □county □state □federal □Native American □foreign □unknown
	LOCATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 1920-1932 NW 9th	Street
Cross Streets (nearest/between) S side btwn I-95	
	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
Toy Darcol # 5040 04 30 0030	
Tax Pallet # 5042 04 32 0030 Subdivision Nama	Landgrant Lot Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ☑17 Easting 5 8	3 1 2 4 Northing 2 8 9 0 8 1 7
Other Coordinates: X: Y:Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
	HISTORY
Construction Year:1958_ ☑ approximately	Dupar listed or earlier Dupar listed or later
Original Use Commercial	
Current Use Commercial	From (year): To (year): To (year):
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves: Tyes Ino Tunknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: xyes no	Nature Replaced windows/doors, painted signage
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Date:	Nature
Architect (last name first): unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession	ı, etc.)
le the Deceured Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin	nance? □yes ⊠no □unknown Describe
IS the Resource Affected by a Local Freservation Grain	Mance? Liyes Xino Liurkhown Describe
	DESCRIPTION
Chila Maganny Varnagular	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry vernacular Everior Eabric(s) 1 Studeo	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Poof Tyne(s) 1 Flat.	2
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up	2 3 2 3
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-h	nung-sash and 1-light fixed; 1-light fixed appear to be 1980s
replacements	
	maments) Eight rolling garage doors on north and south sides; metal
bars on all windows	
A seller - Factures / Outh vildings (second suth vildings main	in the state of th
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major north side; two sheds on west side of buildings)	or landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved and unpaved parking on the
NOTTH Side; two sheds on west side of Dai	ilding
- DUD LICE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION DUDINGE ONLY
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
	for NR listing: Init Init
KEEPER – Determined eligible:	yes no Date
■Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation: ■a	□b □c □d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)

Site #8 BD06298


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1		2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block	ock 2	3	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous	2.		
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Blo			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Eight 1980s			separate business, but many
appear vacant			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.	.) None observed		
<u></u>			
Condition (overall resource condition): □excellen			
Narrative Description of Resource			
built for commercial use with eig	ht separate offices.	The businesses in the build	ing have changed over the
years.			Dob and if Analysis and Forms Commission
Archaeological Remains			□ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
R	ESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
▼FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ■ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)	□ library research	building permits	Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection	city directory	occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)	□ newspaper files□ historic photos	☐ neighbor interview☐ interior inspection	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
■ other methods (describe) Historic aer		□ Interior inspection	HIADS/HALIC record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript		at if needed)	
Dibilographic references (give rivisi manuscript	# II Televant, use continuation shee		
OP	INION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg	ister listing individually?	□yes ⊠ no □insuffici	ient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg			ient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether sign			
Florida. It lacks historic significant			
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National			
1	_ 3	5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the	Site File - including field notes,	analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo	rtant documents
1) Document type Field maps Possument description	IV	laintaining organization Janus Research	
Document description			
2) Document type Field notes			
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
Decorder Name Tanua Bassasah			
Recorder NameJanus Research Recorder Contact Information1107 N. W		Affiliation Janus Research	@ianus_research com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ати вс., ташра го 336	01 / (013) 030-0200 / Janus	wjanus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06299		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	2.		

	if none) 808 NW 20th Ave				Listing (DHR only) _	
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard I				# (DHR only)	
	tegory (please check one) building rofit private-nonprofit private-individual				ativo American —fereign	Hunknown
Ownershipprivate-p	rolli i private-nonprolli i private-individual	private-nonspecificcity	countystate	iederaiin	ative American Lioreign	u nknown
	LO	CATION & MAP	PPING			
Street Num			Street Type	Suffix Dir	<u>rection</u>	
	NW 20th		Avenue			
	/ between) NW corner of NW 20th			Other Man		
	e FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH les) Fort Lauderdale r					
	Range 42E Section 4 1/4					
Subdivision Nama	04 19 0020	La	nugrani		I ot	
IJTM Coordinates: 70	one □16 🗵17 Easting 5 8 3 0	9 4 Northing 2 8 9	0 6 7 9		L OI	
Other Coordinates: >	(: Y:	Coordinate	System & Datur	m		
Name of Public Tract	(e.g., park)		ojetem a zatan			
		HISTORY				
Construction Voor	1949 Mannrayimataly Dy	oor listed or carlier -	Typer listed or le	ator		
Original Lea Priva	1949 \square approximately \square y at Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year)	Jyear iisteu of la	To (voor).		
Current Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year).	1949	To (year):	2017	
Other Use	te Replacific (floube) coccage)	From (year).	:	To (year)	2017	
Moves:	⊴no □unknown Date:	Original address		10 (Jour)		
Alterations: xyes		Nature Porch en	nclosed			
Additions: xyes		Nature North ex		ortheast er	nclosure	
	t): <u>unknown</u>					
Ownership History (es	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)					
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Preservation Ordinand	.e? □yes ⊠ no □unk	known Describe	9		
		DESCRIPTION	J			
0: 1	_				N	
Style Masonry Ve	rnacular	Exterior Plan Irregu	.lar		Number of Stories	1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1.	Stucco			3		
P oof Matorial(s) 1.	Cross-gabled Composition shingles	_ [∠] ·		3		
Roof secondary	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	_ Z	2	J		
Windows (types materia	als, etc.) Metal 2- and 3-light	awning	2			
trinaens (types, materia	13, 000.7					
Distinguishing Archite	ectural Features (exterior or interior orname	ents) Metal window	awnings and s	shutters or	n most windows; c	ross-
gable in roof is	s on northeast addition with	slight roof overha	ng; east sid	e porch end	closed	
•	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	·				gable
roof in northeas	st corner of property; concre	te block and chain	-link fence	around prop	perty	
DHR U	JSE ONLY C	OFFICIAL EVALUAT	ION	D	HR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NI	R listing: Tyes Tho T	Tinsufficient info	Date	Init	
INIT LIST DATE	KEEPER – Determined eligible:		_madificient inio			
Owner Objection	NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b	—, —	nal Register Bullet			

Site #8 BD06299

DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: No. o. Chimney Material(s): 1	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. 3.	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.	
Main Entrance (stylistic details) North-facing panel door on east-side enclosed porch under roof extension	
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None observed; original porch enclosed	
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous	
Narrative Description of Resource This Masonry Vernacular residence was originally rectangular with an atta	ched
utility room on the south side. The c. 1965 north extension and the c. 1970 northeast addition have	
this house its current irregular form.	
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Fo	rm Completed
DECEADOH METHODO (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)	
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps	
□FL State Archives/photo collection □ city directory □ occupant/owner interview □ plat maps	
☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey	y (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS) □historic photos □interior inspection □HABS/HAER record	search
▼other methods (describe) Historic aerial photography	
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)	
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Annears to most the exitoria for National Degister listing individually 2	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes Ino Insufficient information Insufficient information Insufficient information	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? ———————————————————————————————————	South
Florida. It lacks historic significance and integrity.	<u> </u>
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & developme	
1 5	
2 6	
DOCUMENTATION	
DOCOMBILITION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents	
1) Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research	
' Document description File or accession #'s	
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research	
Document description File or accession #'s	
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Affiliation Janus Research	
Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com	·


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06300		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	3		


Site Name(s) (address if none) 729 NW 20th Avenue	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) ■ building Ownership: □ private-profit □ private-nonprofit ■ private-individual	Structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object ☐ private-nonspecific ☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ Native American ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
LO	CATION & MAPPING
Charact Name to an Object to a Charact Name	Charles Time
Address: 729 NW 20th	Avenue Sum Direction
Cross Streets (nearest/between) <u>SW corner of NW 20th</u>	
	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	n City Limits? ⊠yes □no □unknown County <u>Broward</u>
Township <u>50s</u> Range <u>42E</u> Section <u>4</u> ½	4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 18 0400	Landgrant
Subdivision Name	Landgrant Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 3 0	[9]7] Northing [2] 8 9 0 5 5 8
	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
	HISTORY
Construction Year: 1960	ear listed or earlier
	Cabin) From (year): 1960 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves: □yes ▼no □unknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: 2000s	From (year): To (year): Original address Nature Windows and doors replaced
Additions: Tyes In Tunknown Date:	Nature
Architect (last name first): unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown)
OWNERShip History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand	ce? □yes ⊠no □unknown Describe
	DESCRIPTION
Ctula Maganya Varnagular	
Evitorior Fabric(s) 1 Studge	Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) 1 Flat	2
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up	2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2 3
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung	-sash and 2-light fixed; fixed windows appear to be 2000s
replacements	
	ents) Carport in southeast corner with metal supports; front
porch under roof extension with scrolled ire	on supports and raised concrete pad
Ancillary Footures / Outhwildings (constant with the	the first term of the first te
porch; chain-link fence around property	dscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved walkway from road to front
poten, chain-tink tence around property	
DHR USE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
	R listing: Syes One Sinsufficient info Date Init
KEEPER – Determined eligible: □Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b	☐ yes ☐ no ☐ Date ☐ ☐ ☐ d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)

Site #8 _ BD06300


DESCRIPTION (continued)				
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1				
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Front entry porch under roof extension with raised concrete pad and scrolled iron supports				
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent X Good Gair Deteriorated Truinous				
Archaeological RemainsCheck if Archaeological Form Co	mpleted			
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)				
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ ultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)				
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE				
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Suppose to meet the criteria for National Register listing individual Register li	<u>1</u>			
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", et 1				
2 4 6				
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s				
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s				
RECORDER INFORMATION				
Recorder NameJanus Research				

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06301			
Field Date	9-26-2017			
Form Date	9-29-2017			
Recorder #	6			

Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boule	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	Survey # (DHR only)
	building □structure □district □site □object
Ownership:private-profitprivate-nonprofit _xiprivate	individual □private-nonspecific □city □county □state □federal □Native American □foreign □unknown
	LOCATION & MAPPING
<u>Street Number</u> <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Nan</u>	
Address: 632 NW 21st	Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest / between) W side of NW 2	
	TH USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	5 14 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE Irregular-name:
Tay Parcel # 5042 05 03 0390	
Subdivision Name	Landgrant Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ☑17 Easting [882930 Northing 2890370
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
ÿ . ·	
	HISTORY
Construction Year: 1952 🔽 approximately	year listed or earlier □ year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Co	ottage/Cabin) From (year); 1952 To (year);
Current Use Private Residence (House/Co	bttage/Cabin) From (year): To (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
	Original address
	1990s Nature Enclosed front porch with new door
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Date:	Nature
Architect (last name first): unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profe	ssion, etc.)
Is the Descurse Affected by a Local Preservation	Ordinance? □yes ☑no □unknown Describe
is the resource Affected by a Local Freservation	Ordinance: Tyes Milo Tunknown Describe
	DESCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Stucco	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) Roof Material(s) Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) Roof Material(s) Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 2. .e-hung-sash; most covered by shutters or awnings
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or intellabrick" on bottom half of north and each	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte brick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte brick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 2. 3. 2. 4. 2. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte brick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte brick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior on bottom half of north and eather and eather the struck on bottom half of north and eather the struck of the s	Exterior Plan Rectangular 3
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inte brick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interick" on bottom half of north and eatherick on east side of property, chain- DHR USE ONLY NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet crit	Exterior Plan Rectangular
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable on hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interick" on bottom half of north and ea Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, gate on east side of property, chain-	Exterior Plan Rectangular


Site #8 BD06301


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s) Structural System(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete	Block 2		
Main Entrance (stylistic details)East-fa	cing 1990s panel door wit	h decorative square patter	n on enclosed entry porch;
two steps up to door Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types)	oc atc.) None observed		
Forch Descriptions (types, locations, roof type	s, etc.)		
Condition (overall resource condition): Dexce			nd few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	DECEADOH METHO	NC (-1111-(141-)	
	RESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐ Historic 		□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manu	script # if relevant, use continuation shee	it it needed)	
	OPINION OF RESOU	DOE SIGNIFICANCE	
	OPINION OF RESOU.	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Appears to meet the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether Florida. It lacks historic signals	Register listing as part of a distrier significant or not; use separate sheet if	ct? □yes ☑no □insuffic	cient information cient information a common style in South
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see Nat			community planning & development", etc.)
2			
	DOCUME	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with 1) Document type Field maps Document description	M	aintaining organization	
2) Document type _Field notes			
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research		Affiliation Janus Research	
Recorder Contact Information 1107 M (address / phone / fax / e-mail)			s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06302			
Field Date	9-26-2017			
Form Date	9-29-2017			
Recorder #	5			

Site Name(s) (address if none			Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	95 at Broward Boulevard I		S urvey # (DHR only)
		structure district site	
Ownership:private-profit _	_private-nonprofit Exprivate-individual	private-nonspecific city county	state federal Native American foreign unknown
	LO	CATION & MAPPING	
Street Number	<u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Type	Suffix Direction
Address: 616		Road	
		Ct and NW 6th St/Sistrunk Bl	
			own CountyBroward
			INE Irregular-name:
Tay Parcel # 5042 05	03 0340	Landgrant	INC Inegular-flame
Subdivision Name	J3 0240	Block	Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [16 ⊠ 17 E asting 5 8 2 9	2 4 Northing 2 8 9 0 3 1 0	
Other Coordinates: X:	Y:	Coordinate System & D	Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g.	, park)		
		HISTORY	
Construction Year: 196	0 🗖 approximately 🗖 v	ear listed or earlier year listed	or later
Original Use Private F	Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): 1960	To (vear):
Current Use Private F	Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year):	To (year): 2017
Other Use		From (year):	To (year):
	□unknown Date:	Original address	·
Alterations:			s replaced
Additions: ☐yes ☒no	□unknown Date:	Nature	
Architect (last name first): un	known	Builder (last name first)	: unknown
Ownership History (especia	ly original owner, dates, profession, etc.		
Is the Resource Affected h	y a Local Preservation Ordinano	e2 Dyos Vno Dunknown Des	cribe
is the Resource Affected b	y a Local i reservation ordinanc	de: Lyes And Lunknown Desi	CIDC
		DESCRIPTION	
Stylo Masonry Vernac	ular	Evterior Dian Regtangular	Number of Stories1
Fxterior Fabric(s) 1 Stud	ago	2	3
Roof Type(s) 1. Gab:	le	2.	3
Roof Material(s) 1. Com	position shingles	_ 2	3
Roof secondary struc	S. (dormers etc.) 1	2.	
Windows (types, materials, etc.	.) Metal 2-light fixed a	nd 2-light sliding; appear t	to be 1990s replacements
•	Il Features (exterior or interior orname	nts) <u>Faux shutters on south</u>	west windows; security bars on front
door			
Ancillary Foatures / Outhu	ildings (record outbuildings recipilated		adad) Chaire Diale Sarana arrang hard
			eded.) Chain-link fence around back
		scape features; use continuation sheet if nee property; paved walkway fro	
yard; paved drivewa	y in southwest corner of	property; paved walkway fro	om sidewalk to front door
	y in southwest corner of		
DHR USE NR List Date SH	ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY	property; paved walkway fro	DHR USE ONLY
DHR USE NR List Date SH KE	ONLY	DFFICIAL EVALUATION R listing: yes no insufficient yes no	DHR USE ONLY info Date Init


Site #8 BD06302


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1.		2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block	ock 2	3.	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous	2		
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Blo Main Entrance (stylistic details) Southwest-f			y bars and concrete stoop
		The with over right, because	, surs and concrete seep
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.	.) None observed		
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellen	⊠good □fair □de	teriorated	
Narrative Description of Resource			nd few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
			_
R	ESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
▼FMSF record search (sites/surveys)	□library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection	□ city directory	occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)	□ newspaper files□ historic photos	☐ neighbor interview☐ interior inspection	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
■ other methods (describe) Historic aer		Hillenoi ilispection	HIADS/HAER record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript		et if needed)	
OP	INION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg	ister listing individually?	□yes ⊠ no □insuffic	cient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg			cient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether sign		needed) This building is of	a common style in South
Florida. It lacks historic signification	cance and integrity.		
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National	Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for catego	ries: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	ommunity planning & development", etc.)
1	_ 3	5. <u></u>	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
A consider Decompositation Net Filed with the	Cha Ella III III III III		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Document type Field maps	Site File - including field notes, a	analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo- aintaining organization <u>Janus Research</u>	ortant documents
1) Document description		File or accession #'s	
2) Document typeField notes			
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
Decorder Name Tanua Bassash			
Recorder Name <u>Janus Research</u> Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. W		_ Affiliation Janus Research	s@ianus-research.com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	20., 1ampa 11 330	. , (013, 033 0200 , Janua	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

PHOTOGRAPH





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06303			
Field Date	9-26-2017			
Form Date	9-29-2017			
Recorder #	4			

	fnone) 614 NW 22nd Road				ple Listing (DHR only) _	
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard				ey # (DHR only)	
	egory (please check one) building				Notice American Discussion	- Lundon accom
Ownershipprivate-pri	ofit private-nonprofit private-individual	private-nonspecificcity [e lederal _	inative Americanioreign	u nknown
	LO	CATION & MAP	PING			
Street Numb			Street Type	Suffix	<u>Direction</u>	
Address: 614	NW 22nd					
	between) NE side btwn NW 6th					
USGS 7.5 Map Name	FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH	U SGS Date _1	1983_ Plat or	Other Map		
	es) Fort Lauderdale					
Township 50s F	Range 42E Section 5	4 section: □INW □SW	USE UNE	- Irregular-ı	name:	
Tax Parcel # 5042	05 03 0250	Lan	agrant			
JUDA Coordinatos: 70	05 03 0250 ne □16 ⊠17 Easting 5 8 2 9	BIC			L 0l	
Other Coordinates: Y	:Y:Y	Coordinate S	System & Datu	ım		
Name of Public Tract		Coordinate S	bystem & Datu			
	(o.g., party					
		HISTORY				
On and and the M	1054			1-1		
Construction Year:	1954 ⊠approximately □y	/ear listed or earlier	year listed or l	later		
Original Use Privat	te Residence (House/Cottage/	(Cabin) From (year):	1954	_ 10 (year):		
Other Use Private	te Residence (House/Cottage/				2017	
Moves.	no 🗖 unknown Date:	Original address		_ TO (year).	•	
Alterations: xyes	Ino Dunknown Date: 1980s	Nature Some win	dows and do	oors repla	iced	
Additions: □yes 🗷				_		
	: unknown	Builder (la	ıst name first): <u>u</u>	nknown		
	pecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.					
Is the Resource Affect	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinan	ce? □yes ⊠no □unkn	nown Describ	e		
		DESCRIPTION				
	macular					
Exterior Fabric(s) 1	Stucco			3		
Roof Type(S) 1	Gable Composition shingles			3		
Poof socondary	Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Z		ა		
Windows (types material	ls, etc.) <u>Metal 6/6 and 8/8 sin</u>	ugle-hung-sash: some	appear to	be 1980s	replacements	
(types, material	5, etc.)	igic italig babil, bome	арреат со	DC 1700B	repracements	
Distinguishing Archite	ctural Features (exterior or interior ornam	ents) Textured stuce	o exterior;	; plain st	ucco window surrou	ınds and
	n southwest side; secondary					
Ancillary Features / O	utbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	dscape features; use continuation	n sheet if needed.	.) Raised o	concrete pad at ent	rance;
chain-link fence	around property; paved dri	veway along northwes	st edge of p	property		
DHR U	ISE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATI	ON		DHR USE ONLY	
				D-1:		
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N		Jirisuiticient info	Date _	Init	
				Data		
☐Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b	□yes □no o □c □d (see <i>Nation</i> o	nal Register Bulle	_		

Site #8 _ BD06303

	DESCRIPTION	ON (continued)	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): 1. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block Main Entrance (stylistic details) Northwest-file	2 ock 2		
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None observed		
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent Narrative Description of Resource			d few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			_ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RI	ESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historic aer: Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript 	☐library research ☐city directory ☐newspaper files ☐historic photos ial photography	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search
OP	INION OF RESOUI	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether sign Florida. It lacks historic significations	ister listing as part of a distric ificant or not; use separate sheet if	ct? □yes ☑no □insuffic	ient information ient information a common style in South
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National</i> 1.			ommunity planning & development", etc.)
2	4	6	
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the 1) Document type Field maps Document description	M a	nintaining organization	
2) Document type _Field notes Document description			
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. W. (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		Affiliation Janus Research	@janus-research.com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original ☐ Update



Site Name(s) (address if none) 524 NW 21st Terrace

Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06304
Field Date	9-26-2017
Form Date	9-29-2017
Recorder #	8

Multiple Listing (DHR only)

Survey # (DHR only)

O .	gory (please check one) ⊠build fit □private-nonprofit ⊠private-indiv				e American 🔲 foreign 🔲 unknown
		LOCATION & MA			
	NW 21st between) E side btwn NW 6t		nd NW 5th St		
USGS 7.5 Map Name	FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH	USGS Dat	e <u>1983</u> Plat or	Other Map	
	s) Fort Lauderdale lange 42E S ection 5				
Tax Parcel # _5042	05 07 0050		_andgrant		·
Subdivision Name R.	05 07 0050 iver Garden ne □16 図17 Easting 5 8	olololo Newbire olo	Block	L	ot
Other Coordinates: X:	e.g., park) Y: e.g., park)	Coordina	te System & Datur	m	
Name of Fubile Tract (c.g., park)				
		HISTORY			
Original Use Privat	approximately e Residence (House/Cotte	age/Cabin) From (yea	ar):1959	To (year):	
Other Use Private	e Residence (House/Cott		ar): ar):		
Moves: □yes 🗷	no □unknown Date:	Original address			
Alterations: ☐yes ☐ Additions: ☐yes ☐	no □unknown Date: no □unknown Date: c 1	Nature Shed in	in southeast c	orner of prop	ertv
Architect (last name first)	unknown	Builder	r (last name first): <u>un</u>	ıknown	
Ownership History (esp	pecially original owner, dates, profession	n, etc.)			
Is the Resource Affect	ed by a Local Preservation Ord	inance? □yes ⊠no □u	unknown Describe	9	
		DESCRIPTIO	ON		
Style Masonry Ver	nacular	Exterior Plan Recta	angular		Number of Stories1
	Stucco Gable				
Roof Material(s) 1	Composition shingles	2		3	
	trucs. (dormers etc.) 1.				
vvii iuows (types, materiais	s, etc.) <u>Metal 2/2 single-</u>	ung-sasn; tripie win	dow on west si	rde	
Distinguishing Architect	ctural Features (exterior or interior o	rnaments) <u>Metal awning</u>	s on most wind	dows; vinyl s	siding at gable ends
•	utbuildings (record outbuildings, majo	-			
planters on W sic	de; driveway paved with	concrete squares; cha	ain-link fence	around prope	erty; c. 1965 shed in
<u>52 0011101</u>					
DHR U	SE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUA	ATION	DH	R USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria		☐insufficient info		Init
Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a	□ yes □ no □ d (see <i>Na</i>	tional Register Bulle	Date etin 15, p. 2)	


Site #8 _ BD06304

DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_Chimney Material(s): 1	
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None observed	
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Second Gair Deteriorated Truinous	shed in the southeast
Archaeological Remains	□Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ occupant/owner interview ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview 	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This building is of a Florida. The residence is in the River Garden neighborhood, which is not associated	tinformation common style in South with a significant
developer. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, a Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community of the community of the communi	munity planning & development", etc.)
DOCUMENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research 1) Document description File or accession #'s	
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s	
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder NameJanus Research AffiliationJanus Research Recorder Contact Information1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@j (address/phone/fax/e-mail)	anus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

PHOTOGRAPH **SKETCH MAP** 8BD6304



☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06305
Field Date	9-26-2017
Form Date	9-29-2017
Recorder #	9

	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard I	
National Register Category (please check one)	
Ownership:private-profitprivate-nonprofit xiprivate-individual	□ private-nonspecific □ city □ county □ state □ federal □ Native American □ foreign □ unknown
LO	CATION & MAPPING
Street Number <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 516 NW 21st	Terrace
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 6th S	
City / Town (within 2 miles) Fort Londondollo	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tay Parcel # 5042 05 07 0070	4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Subdivision Namo Piver Garden	Landgrant LotLotLot
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 図17 Easting 5 8 2 9	316 Northing 21819101110
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
· 3·1· /	
	HISTORY
Construction Year: <u>1963</u> ⊠ approximately □y	year licted or earlier. Dyear licted or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	(Cabin) From (year): 1963 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	(Cabin) From (year): To (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves:yesnounknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: 2000s	Nature Door replaced; carport enclosed; W side
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Date:	Nature
Architect (last name first); unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown
	Dander (last halle hist).
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand	DESCRIPTION
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand Style Masonry Vernacular	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1Stucco	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Duilt-up 3. Plain stucco window and door surrounds; stone veneer added
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornamin the 2000s on bottom half of west side and	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stories 2. Stories 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornamin the 2000s on bottom half of west side and Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land)	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Duilt-up 3. Plain stucco window and door surrounds; stone veneer added
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornamin the 2000s on bottom half of west side and	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stories 2. Stories 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornamin the 2000s on bottom half of west side and Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land)	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stories 2. Stories 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Hip Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornamin the 2000s on bottom half of west side and Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land)	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stories 2. Stories 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1Stucco Roof Type(s) 1Hip Roof Material(s) 1Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 Windows (types, materials, etc.)Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname in the 2000s on bottom half of west side and ancorner of property	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stone 2. Cents) Plain stucco window and door surrounds; stone veneer added denclosed carport in southwest corner story use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved driveway in southwest
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc. Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1Stucco Roof Type(s) 1Hip Roof Material(s) 1Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 Windows (types, materials, etc.)Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname in the 2000s on bottom half of west side and Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land corner of property	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stories 2. Stories 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1Stucco Roof Type(s) 1Hip Roof Material(s) 1Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 Windows (types, materials, etc.)Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname in the 2000s on bottom half of west side and ancient of property DHR USE ONLY	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1Stucco Roof Type(s) 1Hip Roof Material(s) 1Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 Windows (types, materials, etc.)Boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname in the 2000s on bottom half of west side and ancorner of property DHR USE ONLY	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Stone 2. Cents) Plain stucco window and door surrounds; stone veneer added denclosed carport in southwest corner story use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved driveway in southwest


Site #8 _BD06305

DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 2000s panel door with oval light and concrete stoop; secondary panel for with fanlight on enclosed carport Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None observed	oor
Condition (overall resource condition): Condition (overall resource condition): Condition Cond	
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Comp	—— pleted
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)	
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☐ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)	
ODINION OF DECOUDE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This building is of a common style in South Florida. The residence is in the River Garden neighborhood, which is not associated with a significant developer. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills. Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1.	
DOCUMENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps	
Recorder NameJanus Research AffiliationJanus Research Recorder Contact Information	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06306		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	10		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 448 NW 21st Terrace Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard I	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Interchange Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)	
Street Number Direction Street Name Address: 448 NW 21st Cross Streets (nearest / between) E side of intersection USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale Ir Township 50s Range 42E Section 5 % Tax Parcel # 5042 05 07 0110 Subdivision Name River Garden UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 16 17 Easting 5 8 2 9	Coordinate System & Datum
	HISTORY
Original Use Current Use Other Use Moves:	ear listed or earlier
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinano	ce? □yes ☑no □unknown Describe
	DESCRIPTION
Roof Type(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. Wood siding 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. -sash with iron bars; west side windows are boarded up
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname gable end on west side	ents) Plain stucco window surrounds on west side; wood siding at
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land southwest corners; walkways along north and	discape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Two driveways at northwest and south sides
DHR USE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N KEEPER – Determined eligible: NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b	R listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init. Date Init. Date date date date date date date date d


Site #8 BD06306

	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): Structural System(s): 1Concrete	1	2	
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete	<u>block</u> 2	3	·
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuor			
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Main Entrance (stylistic details) Two north			oors, all have congrete
stoops; some appear replaced	in racing paner doors an	a two south facing paner at	sols, all have concrete
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof type	s.etc.) None observed		
Condition (overall resource condition): \square exce			
Narrative Description of ResourceThi	s Masonry Vernacular qua	adraplex has a simple form	and few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
Archaeological Remains			Deneck if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	ODS (check all that apply)	
MEMSE record coarch (cites/curveys)	□library recearch	Dhuilding parmits	□ Canharn mans
▼FMSF record search (sites/surveys)□FL State Archives/photo collection	□library research □city directory	□ building permits□ occupant/owner interview	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps
⊠ property appraiser / tax records	□newspaper files	☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)	☐ historic photos	☐ interior inspection	HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) Historic		Militerior inspection	MINDS/II/LER record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manus		eet if needed)	
(g(g			
	ODINION OF DECOL	IDGE SIGNIEIGANGE	
	OPINION OF RESUL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National	Register listing individually?	☐yes ※ no ☐insuff	icient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National			icient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether	r significant or not; use separate sheet	if needed) This building is of	a common style in South
Florida. The quadraplex is in t	he River Garden neighbo	rhood, which is not associa	ated with a significant
developer. The neighborhood lac			
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Significance (see Nationa)			
1	3	5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	ENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with	the Site File - including field notes,	analysis notes, photos, plans and other imp	portant documents
1) Document type Field maps	N	Maintaining organization Janus Research	
Document description			
2) Document type Field notes			
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER I	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research		Affiliation	
Recorder Contact Information 1107 N (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	. ward St., Tampa FL 336	507 / (813) 636-8200 / janu	s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06307
Field Date	9-26-2017
Form Date	10-2-2017
Recorder #	11

Site Name(s) (address if none) 444 NW 2				Itiple Listing (DHR only)	
Survey Project Name I-95 at Brown				vey # (DHR only)	
National Register Category (please check					
Ownership: □private-profit □private-nonpro	it ⊠ private-individual □ private-nonsp	ecific city county	statefederal	■Native American ■foreign	jn ∐ unknown
	LOCATION	& MAPPING			
Street Number <u>Direction</u>	Street Name	Street Typ	oe Suf	fix Direction	
Address: 444 NW	21st	Terra	ace		
Cross Streets (nearest / between) E side					
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDER:	DALE NORTH US	SGS Date <u>1983</u> P	Plat or Other Map	p	
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauder					
Township <u>50s</u> Range <u>42E</u> S	Section $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}_5$ $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}_4$ section: \square	√W □SW □SE	■NE Irregula	r-name:	
Tax Parcel # 5042 05 07 0111		Landgrant _			
Tax Parcel # 5042 05 07 0111 Subdivision Name River Garden UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 図17	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Block		Lot	
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 🖾17	Easting 5 8 2 9 3 1 North	ing 2 8 9 0 0 3 0	<u> </u>		
Other Coordinates: X:		coordinate System &	Datum		
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)					
	HIST	CORY			
		OKI			
Construction Year: 1956	proximately year listed or e	arlier □year list€	ed or later		
Original Use Private Residence	(House/Cottage/Cabin) F	rom (year):1956	6 To (yea	r):1990s	
Current Use Duplex		rom (year):1990			
Other Use		rom (year):	To (yea	r):	
Moves:	Date: Original a	address			
Alterations: yes no □unknown	Date: 1980s Nature	Doors/windows 1	replaced, cor	nverted duplex	
Additions:	Date: <u>c. 1990</u> Nature	NE partially er	nclosed porch	n	
Architect (last name first): unknown			st): <u>unknown</u>		
Ownership History (especially original owner	, dates, profession, etc.)				
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Pre	eservation Ordinance? Twos. 5	Ino Dunknown De			
is the resource Affected by a Local Fre	Servation Ordinance: Lyes E	JIIO LIGITATIOWII DE			
	DESCR	IPTION			
Style Masonry Vernacular	Evtorior Dla	n Leshaned		Number of Storic	NC 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco					
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat	2 2 Shed		3 3		
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up	2. 51104		3 3		
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.					
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1				sash appear to be	1980s
replacements					
Distinguishing Architectural Features (ex	kterior or interior ornaments)Textu:	red stucco exter	rior; plain s	stucco banding and	window
surrounds on west side; parti	ally enclosed porch under	northeast shed	roof extens:	ion	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record			eeded.) Paved o	driveway on northw	est
corner of property; chain-lin	k fence and hedges around	property			
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL E	VALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
ND List Data CUDO Assessed	to most critorio for ND listing.		nt info D-1-		
NR List Date SHPO – Appears KEEPER – Deteri	to meet criteria for NR listing: yes mined eligible: yes			e Init	·
Owner Objection NR Criteria for Ev		(see <i>National Registe</i>			
		(200 Mational Hogisto	20	,	


Site #8 BD06307


	DESCRIPT	ION (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Mater	ial(s): 1	2	
Structural System(s): 1 Cond	rete block 2	2 3.	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Cont	inuous 2.		
Foundation Material(s): 1. Conc			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Wes	t-facing 1980s panel door v	with fanlight; two secondary	entrances on north side,
panel doors with 1/1 singl			
		ortheast corner of building ur	nder shed roof extension;
partially enclosed and scr	reened in; c. 1990 addition	<u> </u>	
Condition (overall resource condition):	Jevcellent Magnet Offsir C	Ideteriorated Pruincus	
		luplex was originally a single	e-family residence. The
		residence was converted into	
alterations were made duri			
Archaeological Remains			_ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	DECEADOU METU	IODS (L. L. H.)	
	RESEARCH ME I F	HODS (check all that apply)	
▼FMSF record search (sites/surve)	eys) 🔲 library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection		□ occupant/owner interview	□plat maps
■ property appraiser / tax records		☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)		☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) _ History			
Bibliographic References (give FMSF	manuscript # if relevant, use continuation s	sheet if needed)	
	OPINION OF RESO	URCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Nat	ional Register listing individually?	□yes ⊠ no □insuffic	cient information
Appears to meet the criteria for Nati			cient information
		eet if needed) This building is of	
		ood, which is not associated	
		eral alterations, demolitions	
		egories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	
1	3 4.	5	
Z	4		
	DOCUM	ENTATION	
A			
Accessible Documentation Not File Document type Field maps	d with the Site File - including field note	es, analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo	ortant documents
1) Document description		Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s	
		Maintaining organization Janus Research	
D ocument description			
	RECORDER	INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research	<u></u>	Affiliation Tarres Bassace	
		Affiliation Janus Research 3607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	s@ianus-research com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	o. II. nara se., rampa FII s	200. / (013, 030 0200 / Janus	Lebearen. Com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



Site Name(s) (address if none) 442 NW 21st Terrace

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06308
Field Date 9-26-2017
Form Date 9-29-2017
Recorder # 12

Multiple Listing (DHR only) ___

						_ Survey i	# (DHR only)
National Register Ca							
Ownership: □private-p	rofit private-nonprof	it 🗷 private-individual 🗌	private-nonspecif	ic □city □county	□state □fe	ederal 🔲 Na	itive American ☐foreign ☐unknown
		LOC	ATION &	MAPPING			
Street Num	ber <u>Direction</u>			Street Ty		Suffix Dire	ection
Address: 442	NW	21st		Terra	ace		
Cross Streets (nearest	/between) E side	btwn NW 5th St	and NW 4th	St			
					Plat or Othe	er Map	
City / Town (within 3 mi	les) Fort Lauder	dale In (ity Limits? 🗖	ves Ino Innk	nown Cor	intv F	Broward
							ne:
Toy Dorool # 5040	Namye 42E	5 74 3	ection. Livi	Landgrant		zyulai-Hai	iic
Cubdivision Name	05 07 0130			Lanuyrani _			Lot
JULIA Coordinates 7	River Garden	Fasting Floral ala	Manthia a	DIUCK	<u> </u>		Lot
Other Coordinates: Zo	ne 🗀 16 💌 17	Easing [5] 8] 2] 9] 3	Northing] 2 8 9 0 0 2	<u> </u>		
				ordinate System &	& Datum _		
Name of Public Tract	(e.g., park)						
			HISTO	RY			
Construction Year: _							
Original Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/Ca	abin) From	n (year):195	3 To	(year):	
Current Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/Ca					
Other Use				n (year):	To	(year):	
	no unknown	Date:	Original add	dress			
Alterations:		Date:1980s	Nature <u>c</u>	arport, porch	, doors,	some wi	ndows
Additions: ☐yes ☑		Date:					
Ownership History (e	specially original owner	, dates, profession, etc.) _					
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Pre	servation Ordinance?	? □yes ⊠nd	□unknown D	escribe		
			DESCRIE	PTION			
01.1							N I COLL
							Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1.	Stucco		2			3	
Roof Type(s) 1.	Hip		2. <u>Flat</u>			3	
)		3	
		1			2		
					most wind	lows not	visible due to closed
		ar to be 1980s re					
							"brick" and geometric
pattern on west	side and carpo	ort supports; ca	rport and e	nclosed porch	have fla	at roof	
Ancillary Features / (Outhuildings (record	outhuildings major landsc	ane features: use	continuation sheet if r	needed) Par	ved driv	reway in northwest
,	•		•				eway in northwest
corner of prope	rty; paved wall		•				eway in northwest ; chain-link fence
,	rty; paved wall		•				
corner of prope	rty; paved wall		•				
corner of propes	rty; paved wall	sway leads from (•	west and sout		of house	
corner of propes	JSE ONLY	sway leads from (FICIAL EVA	west and sout	h sides o	of house	; chain-link fence
corner of proper around back yard	JSE ONLY	OF to meet criteria for NR I	FICIAL EVA	ALUATION	h sides o	of house	; chain-link fence
corner of propes	JSE ONLY SHPO – Appears KEEPER – Deterr	OF to meet criteria for NR I	FICIAL EVA	ALUATION	h sides o	Date	; chain-link fence

Site #8 BD06308


	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Mater Structural System(s): 1Conc. Foundation Type(s): 1Cont	ial(s): 1	2 3.	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Conc.	rete Block 2. t-facing 1980s panel door with		original porch under flat
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, ro	of types, etc.) None observed; ori		rner was been enclosed in
Narrative Description of Resource _ 1980s, the carport was extwere replaced.	excellent ⊠good □fair □de This Masonry Vernacular res ended west, the porch was en	sidence has a simple form an aclosed, doors were replaced	, and some of the windows
Archaeological Remains			_ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	ODS (check all that apply)	
 ☑ FMSF record search (sites/surve) ☐ FL State Archives/photo collectio ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Histo Bibliographic References (give FMSF 	on	building permits cupant/owner interview neighbor interview interior inspection	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Explanation of Evaluation (required, Florida. The residence is	ional Register listing individually? ional Register listing as part of a distr whether significant or not; use separate sheet in in the River Garden neighbor d lacks integrity with severs	ict? yes Ino insuffice if needed) This building is of the hood, which is not associated.	ed with a significant
	ee <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for catego	ories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "co	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
1) Document type Field maps	d with the Site File - including field notes,	Maintaining organization Janus Research	
	RECORDER II	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 11 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ch 07 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 336	Affiliation <u>Janus Research</u> 507 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06309		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	13		

	fnone) 428 NW 21st Terrace			_ Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard I			_ Survey # (DHR only)
	egory (please check one) building			ederal Native American Iforeign unknown
Ownership. Dprivate-pr	· · · · · ·		-	ederal inative American ioreign driknown
		CATION & MAPP		
Address: Street Numb	Direction Street Name NW 21st		Street Type Ferrace	Suffix Direction
	/ between) E side btwn NW 5th St		rerrace	
			Plat or Othe	er Map
City / Town (within 3 mil	es) <u>Fort Lauderdale</u> [r	n City Limits? ■ yes □ no	□unknown Cou	untyBroward
Township _50s I	Range 42E Section 5 1/2	section: NW SW	□SE □NE Irre	egular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042	05 07 0160	Lando	grant	
Subdivision NameF	river Garden	Bloc	<u>k</u>	Lot
Other Coordinates: Zo	ne ∐16 🗷1/ Easting [5 8 2 9]	3 1 Northing 2 8 8 9	9 7 0 votom 8 Dotum	
Name of Public Tract	.: Y: (e.g., park)	Coordinate Sy	Stem & Datum _	
TWATTE OF FUNDIC FIREL	(c.g., park)			
		HISTORY		
Construction Voor	1955 Vanprovimately Dy	oar listed or earlier	oar listed or later	
Original Use Priva	1955 \square approximately \square y te Residence (House/Cottage/	cabin) From (vear).	1955 To	(vear)
Current Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year):	To	(vear): 2017
Other Use				(year):
	no 🗖 unknown Date:	Original address		
Alterations: xyes	no □unknown Date: 1990s	NatureDoors rep	laced	
Additions: Syes Architect (Leabness See	no unknown Date: 1970s	Nature North side	e storage room	m with flat roof
	pecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.			wn
Ownership History (es	pecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.	/ 		
Is the Resource Affec	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinand	e? □yes ⊠no □unkno	wn Describe	
		DESCRIPTION		
Style Masonry Ver	macular	Exterior Plan L-shaped	·	Number of Stories1
Poof Type(s) 1.	Stucco	_ Z	3	3 3
Roof Material(s) 1	Composition shingles	2. Fiac 2 Built-up		3
Roof secondary	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		2	
	ls, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung			
0 0				d south sides; faux shutters;
1970s storage ro	oom on north side is attached	to the house via it	s flat roof	
Ancillary Features / C	utbuildings (record outbuildings major land	scape features: use continuation	sheet if needed) In f	formal driveway in northwest
,	ty; paved walkway from front		51100t ii 1100d0d.) <u>- 1111</u>	202
DHR L	JSE ONLY C	OFFICIAL EVALUATIO	N	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date				
INV TISE DATE	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NI KEEPER – Determined eligible:	R ilsting: □yes □no □in □yes □no	ISUITICIETIL ITIIO	Date Init Date
Owner Objection	NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b	—, —	l Register Bulletin 15	


Site #8 BD06309


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimnov: No. o Chimnov Material(s):	1	2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): Structural System(s): 1. Concrete in	1	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous	2	5.	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete B			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-faci			
Main Littrance (stylistic details)west-lact	ing 1990s paner door wit	in concrete stoop	
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types,	otal None observed		
Forch Descriptions (types, locations, root types,	etc.) _None observed		
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excell	ent 🗖 good 🗖 fair 🗖 de	teriorated	
Narrative Description of ResourceThis			nd few alterations. The
storage room on the north side			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Archaeological Remains			_ □Check if Archaeological Form Completed
			_
	RESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
TEMOS accorded to the factor of the second o	- Planamana a a a a la	□ b 9.10 a	Combons on an
▼FMSF record search (sites/surveys)	□ library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection	☐ city directory	□ occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records	☐newspaper files	☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)	☐historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) Historic a			
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manusc	ript # if relevant, use continuation shee	et if needed)	
	PINION OF RESOL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National R			ient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National R			ient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether	significant or not; use separate sheet it	needed) This building is of	a common style in South
Florida. The residence is in the	e River Garden neighborh	nood, which is not associat	ed with a significant
developer. The neighborhood lack	s integrity with severa	al alterations, demolitions	, and in-fills.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see Nation			
1	3	5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
	DOCUME	NIAIION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with t	ho Sito Filo including field notes	analysis nates, photos, plans and other impo	artant documents
Document type Field maps	The Street life - including lield notes,	laintaining organization Janus Research	ortant documents
1) Document description		File or accession #'s	
2) Document type _Field notes Document description			
Document description		File of accession #"S	
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
	————RECONDEN II		
Recorder NameJanus Research		Affiliation Janus Research	
Recorder Contact Information1107 N.	Ward St., Tampa FL 336	07 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	@janus-research.com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)			

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06310
Field Date 9-26-2017
Form Date 9-29-2017
Recorder # 14

	none) 424 NW 21st Terrace			le Listing (DHR only)
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange			y # (DHR only)
	gory (please check one) 🗷 building 🔲 structure			
Ownership: Uprivate-profit	fit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspe	cific city county c	_state ∐tederai ∟ır	Native American Inforeign Inknown
	LOCATION 6	& MAPPING		
Street Number	<u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Type		Direction
Address: 424	NW 21st	Terra	.ce	
	pelween) E side btwn NW 5th St and NW 4th			
	FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH US			
	s) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? [
Township 50s Kd	ange 42E Section 5 ¼ section: □N	W ∐SW ∐SE i	□NE Irregular-na	ame:
Cubdidate Name Pi	05 07 0170 ver Garden	Lanogram		1 4
SUDDIVISION NAME AT	e □16 ⊠17 Easting <mark>582935 N</mark> orthi	D IUUK	 ก	_ L 0l
Other Coordinates: X:	е 🗀 10 🖾 17 - Easting [э] ө] э] э] э Тионий Y: С	oordinate System &	J Datum	
Name of Public Tract (e	e.g., park)	Julullate Dystem &	Datum	
Traine or r abite mass ,				
	HIST	ORY		
2 Landley Voor 1	CCA Transfer Ducar listed or on	" Trace lists	1 lates	
	.964 Sapproximately year listed or ea			
	e Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) Fr	om (year): 1964 om (year): 1980s	To (year):	1980s
Other Use Quadrag		om (year):1980s om (year):		
	no □unknown Date: Original a		10 (year)	
Alterations: Syes Inc	9	Porch removed /	windows, door	replaced
Additions:		West additions	made house rec	tanqular
	unknown	Builder (last name firs	st): unknown	
Ownership History (espe	ecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)			
Is the Resource Affecte	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	no u nknown De	scribe	
	——————————————————————————————————————			
	DESCRI			
Style Masonry Vern	nacular Exterior Plan	Rectangular		Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. st	tucco 2. Wood si	iding	3	
Roof Type(s) 1Ga	able 2		3	
Roof Material(s) 1. <u>cc</u>	Composition shingles 2		3	
Roof secondary su	irucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Z	2.	
Windows (types, materials,	etc.) Metal 1/1 and 2/2 single-hung-sa	sh; appear to be	e 1980s replace	ements
Distinguishing Architect	tural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) <u>wood s</u>	iding under gab		west addition made house
0 0	tural reactives (extends of intends of naments) <u>wood s</u> ts conversion into a quadraplex	Tulling under 5000	Te ena, 15005	West addition made ness
10000119	CD COMVCIDION			
Ancillary Features / Out	tbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; us	se continuation sheet if ne	eeded.) Paved par	rking for 3-4 cars on the
west side of the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DHR US	SE ONLY OFFICIAL EV	/ALUATION		DHR USE ONLY
	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes			Init
	KEEPER – Determined eligible:	ino (see <i>National Registei</i>	Date	
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b □c □d	ICAA Matianal Dagicta		


Site #8 BD06310

	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Materi	al(c)· 1	2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Materi Structural System(s): 1. Concr	cete block 2	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Conti	niioiis 2	5.	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concr			
		ng 1980s panel doors with c	oncrete stoops; northwest
door has 1/1 single hung-sa			
		iginal porch on north side r	removed in the 1970s
			
Condition (overall resource condition):			
		adraplex was originally a si	
irregular form to its curre		ilding had a west addition t	nat changed its original
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
Archaeological Kemains			_ Leneck ii Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METH	ODS (check all that apply)	
MCE record coarch (cited/curve	us) Dibronurocooreb	Dhuilding normite	Conhorn mans
☑FMSF record search (sites/surve ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐FL Stat		□ building permits□ occupant/owner interview	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps
✓ property appraiser / tax records	□ newspaper files	☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□ cultural resource survey (CRAS)		☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
■ other methods (describe) Histor		Hitterior inspection	TIMES/TIME RECORD Scarcif
		eet if needed)	
		,	
	ODINION OF DESOI	URCE SIGNIFICANCE	
	Of INION OF RESOL	IKCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Nati			eient information
Appears to meet the criteria for Nati			ient information
		if needed) This building is of	
		orhood, which is not associa	
		cal alterations, demolitions	
		jories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "co	
1		5 6	
	DOCUME	ENTATION	
Accessible Decumentation Not Files	d with the Cite Cile to be dealth a field and	and the state of t	ortent de como coto
Document type Field maps	J With the Site File - including field notes	, analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo Maintaining organization Janus Research	ortant documents
1) Document description		File or accession #'s	
Decument time Field not on			
Dodamont description		**************************************	
	RECORDER I	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research	h	Affiliation Janus Research	
			@ianus-research.com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)		, (110 0100) Januar	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06311		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	9-29-2017		
Recorder #	15		


Site Name(s) (address if none) 420 NW 21st Terrace	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard Inter	
National Register Category (please check one) Subuilding Str	cture
	ION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 420 NW 21st	Terrace
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 5th St and	
	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map simits? ■ yes □ no □ unknown County Broward
	n: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tay Parcel # 5042 05 07 0190	I andgrant
Subdivision Name River Garden	Landgrant Lot Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 2 9 3 6	Northing 2 8 8 9 9 4 0
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
	HISTORY
Construction Year: <u>1962</u> ■ approximately □ year lis	od or earlier Dyear listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin	From (vear): 1962 To (vear)
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin	From (year): To (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves: ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Date: (riginal address
	Some windows replaced
Additions: Nounknown Date: N	ature
Architect (last name first): unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
Is the Descurred Affected by a Local Proceduation Ordinance?	yes ⊠ no □unknown Describe
is the Resource Affected by a Local Freservation Ordinance:	yes Milo Dunkilowii Describe
Di	SCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular Evte	ior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
	3
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2.	'lat 3
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2.	Built-up 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash	; some windows boarded up; double window right of front
door; west side windows appear to be 2000s repla	
	Carport on west side has flat roof and concrete block
screen west wall	
Ancillary Footures / Outbuildings (the second section of the second seco
paved half-circle driveway; chain-link fence aro	atures; use continuation sheet if needed.) Planters at carport west wall;
paved nair-circle driveway; chain-iink lence aro	nd back yard
DUD LISE ONLY	IAL EVALUATION DUDUSE ONLY
DHR USE ONLY OFFIC	AL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listin	:yesnoinsufficient info Date Init
KEEPER – Determined eligible:	□yes □no Date
□Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b □c	☐d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)

Site #8 _ BD06311


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. <u>Conti</u> Foundation Material(s): 1. <u>Concr</u>	al(s): 1		
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roo	f types, etc.) Back porch on north	n side with concrete stoop	
Narrative Description of Resource _	excellent ⊠good □fair □det This Masonry Vernacular resi E extension on the west side.	idence features a concrete	block screen on the
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/survey) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Histor Bibliographic References (give FMSF) 	ys)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOUL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Explanation of Evaluation (required, w Florida. The residence is i	onal Register listing individually? onal Register listing as part of a district the her significant or not; use separate sheet if not the River Garden neighborh lacks integrity with severa	ct? yes Xno linsufficenceded) This building is of cod, which is not associat	ed with a significant
	e <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categor	ries: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	community planning & development", etc.)
2	4		
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
1) Document type Field maps	l with the Site File - including field notes, a Magnetic File - including field notes, a	aintaining organization Janus Research	ortant documents
	Ma		
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 110 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	n O7 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 3360		s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original □Update



Site Name(s) (address if none) 416 NW 21st Terrace

Survey Project Name <u>I-95</u> at Broward Boulevard Interchange

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06312		
Field Date	9-26-2017		
Form Date	10-2-2017		
Recorder #	16		

Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____

Survey # (DHR only)

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

National Register Category (please check one) ⊠building ☐ structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object Ownership: ☐ private-profit ☐ private-nonprofit ☑ private-individual ☐ private-nonspecific ☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ Native American ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
Cross Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
HISTORY
Construction Year: 1962
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes unknown Describe
DESCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Stucco 3. Wood siding Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. 3. Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. 3. Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash; most covered by metal awnings
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Stone veneer on west side; front door has small roof overhang; wood siding at gable end
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Shed in northeast corner of property has wood siding and 5V crimped metal gable roof; entry concrete stoop and paved walkway leading to sidewalk
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:

Site #8 BD06312


	DESCRIPT	ION (continued)	
Chimnov: No. o Chimnov Mator	ial(s). 1	2	
Structural Systom(s): 1 Cong	rate block	2 3.	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Cont	invois	J.	
Foundation Material(s): 1Concrete Block 2 2 Main Entrance (stylistic details) _ West-facing panel door with iron screen security door and concrete stoop; two south-			
facing secondary entrances			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None observed			
Toren Descriptions (types, locations, to	or types, etc.)		
Condition (overall resource condition):	Texcellent ⊠good □fair □	deteriorated	
Narrative Description of ResourceThis Masonry Vernacular residence has a simple form. It was originally			
rectangular, but the 1970s southeast addition gave it its current L-shape.			
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
J			
	RESEARCH METH	IODS (check all that apply)	
TEMOS was and a south /site a/source		Fig. 1. a. 21 albana and a same 24 a	
▼FMSF record search (sites/surve		□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection		occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records		☐ neighbor interview	□ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)		☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) Histo			
Bibliographic References (give FMSF	manuscript # if relevant, use continuation s	heet if needed)	
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE			
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐			
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? ☐yes ☐insufficient information ☐insufficient ☐in			
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This building is of a common style in South			
		orhood, which is not associat	
		eral alterations, demolitions	
Area(s) of Historical Significance (s		egories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	
1	3	5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUM	ENTATION	
	DOCUM	ENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not File	d with the Site File - including field note	es, analysis notes, photos, plans and other imp	ortant documents
Document type Field maps	a with the Site File including held hote	Maintaining organization Janus Research	ortant documents
1) Document description		File or accession #'s	
Document time Field not as		Maintaining organization _Janus Research	
D ocument description		The or accession # 3	
RECORDER INFORMATION			
ecorder Name Janus Research Affiliation Janus Research			
	07 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 3	3607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	s@janus-research.com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)			

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

PHOTOGRAPH





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06313
Field Date	9-26-2017
Form Date	10-2-2017
Recorder #	17


	if none) 412 NW 21st Terrace			Multiple L	isting (DHR only) _	
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard				(DHR only)	
	tegory (please check one) building				–	
Ownersnip:private-p	rofit private-nonprofit private-individual	☐private-nonspecific ☐city	 county state	federalNati	ve American L foreign	 unknown
	LO	CATION & MAP	PING			
Street Num			Street Type	Suffix Direct	tion	
Address: 412	NW 21st		Terrace			
	/between) E side btwn NW 5th S					
	e FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH					
	les) Fort Lauderdale					
Township <u>50s</u>	Range 42E Section 5	4 section: □NW □SW	/ DSE DNE	Irregular-name	9:	
Tax Parcel # 5042	05 07 0183 River Garden	Lar	ndgrant			
Subdivision NameI	River Garden	Bl	OCK	L	.ot	
UTIVI Coordinates: Zo	one 🗀 16 💌 17 🕒 Easting [5] 8[2] 9	3 7 Northing 2 8 8	9 9 1 0			
Viner Coordinates: 7	(: Y:	Coordinate S	System & Datum			
Maine of Public Tract	: (e.g., park)					
		HISTORY				
Construction Year:		year listed or earlier	lyear listed or lat	ter		
Original Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	/Cabin) From (year):	1962	To (year):		
Current Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	/Cabin) From (year):		To (year):	2017	
Other Use		From (year):		To (year):		
	¶no □unknown Date:	Original address				
Alterations: Xyes		NatureSome wir.	idows altered			
Additions: Jyes Architect (lest name fire	t): unknown Date:t	Nature	act name first):			
Ownershin History (as	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.	D ulluel (la	ast fiame ilist). <u>uttr</u>	anown		
Ownership mistory (c.	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.	·/				
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Preservation Ordinand	ce? ∏ves ⊠no ∏unkr	nown Describe			
		-				
		DESCRIPTION	l l			
Style Masonry Ve	rnacular	Exterior Plan Rectand	gular		Number of Stories	1
	Stucco					
	Gable					
Roof Material(s) 1.	Composition shingles	2. Built-up		3.		
	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.					
Windows (types, materia	als, etc.) <u>Metal 2/2 single-hung</u>	g-sash, 1-light fixe	d, and multi	-paned jalou	usie; some wind	ows
were altered in	the 1970s to accommodate AC	units				
Distinguishing Archite	ectural Features (exterior or interior orname	ents) <u>Carport used a</u>	s porch unde	r flat roof	extension; woo	od
siding at gable	end					
•	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	dscape features; use continuation	on sheet if needed.)_	Asphalt-pav	ed half-circle	
driveway						
DHR U	JSE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUAT	ION	DH	IR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N	IR listing: Typs Tno T	Tinsufficient info	Date	Init	
TVIX LIST DUTC	KEEPER – Determined eligible:	yes □no □	In Sumoient Inio	Date		
Owner Objection	NR Criteria for Evaluation:		nal Register Bulletii			
*						

	DESCRIPTIO	ON (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Materia Structural System(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-	te Block 2		
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof flat roof with simple square	7.	s converted into front por	rch on west side; under
Condition (overall resource condition): Narrative Description of Resource features a flat roof carport	This Masonry Vernacular resi	dence has a simple form ar	
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/survey: ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historia Bibliographic References (give FMSF methods) 	s)	☐ building permits ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ neighbor interview ☐ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOUR	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Natio Appears to meet the criteria for Natio Explanation of Evaluation (required, wh Florida. The residence is in developer. The neighborhood	nal Register listing as part of a distric ether significant or not; use separate sheet if n the River Garden neighborho	tl? ☐yes ☑no ☐insufficenceded) _This building is of bood, which is not associat	ed with a significant
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see 1	National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categori 3	ies: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "co	ommunity planning & development", etc.)
2	4		
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
	with the Site File - including field notes, an Ma	intaining organizationJanus Research	ortant documents
	Ma		
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1103 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)			@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06314		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-2-2017		
Recorder #	5.0		

	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard	
National Register Category (please check one)	
OWNErShip: Invate-profit Inprivate-nonprofit Invate-individuale-in	dual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown
	LOCATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 536 NW 21st	Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 5th	
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0290	I anderant
Suhdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 3	Landgrant Lot Lot
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	<u> </u>
	HISTODY
	HISTORY
Construction Year: <u>1950</u> ■ approximately	□year listed or earlier □year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottag	ge/Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottag	ge/Cabin) From (year): To (year):2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves:yes _x_nounknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: Syes Ino Innknown Date: 2000	Nature Windows, doors, porch supports replaced
Additions: ☐yes ☑no ☐unknown Date: Architect (last name first): unknown	NatureBuilder (last name first): unknown
ATCHILECT (Iast name IIIst), utikitowit	DITHOP HAS DAMENISH THE COMP
	etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession,	
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession,	etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal	ance? yes no unknown Describe DESCRIPTION
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin. Style Masonry Vernacular	etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	etc.)
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordina StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin. Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 2. 3. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin. Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin. StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, ls the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orm	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordin. StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orm surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof Secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orm surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major of the control	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orn surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major side of front porch; gravel driveway frames	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof Secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orm surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major of the profession).	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orn surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major side of front porch; gravel driveway frames	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orn surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major side of front porch; gravel driveway frames	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinal Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hureplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orn surrounds; 2000s porch supports are rectain Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major side of front porch; gravel driveway frame property	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.


Site #8 _ BD06314


	DESCRIPTION	DN (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s) Structural System(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-fa raised concrete pad Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof typ supports and a raised concrete	pus 2	h rectangular light under side under roof overhang	entry porch roof overhang;
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐exc Narrative Description of Resource			nd few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			□Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) _Historic Bibliographic References (give FMSF manifestation) 	☐library research☐city directory☐newspaper files☐historic photosaerial photography	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	ODINION OF DECOM	DOE CLONIELO A NOE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Nationa Appears to meet the criteria for Nationa Explanation of Evaluation (required, wheth Florida. It is in the Dorsey Fneighborhood lacks integrity whether the property of the criterian integrity whether the criteria for National Appears to meet the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether the criteria for National Explanation of Evaluation of Evalua	I Register listing as part of a distriction as part of a distriction of significant or not; use separate sheet if Riverbend neighborhood, which is a significant or neighborhood, which is a significant or neighborhood.	□yes ☑no □insuffict? □yes ☑no □insuffineeded) This residence is continued in a historic African-	American neighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see Na. 1	ational Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categor	ies: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	community planning & development", etc.)
2	4 DOCUMEN		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed wit 1) Document type _Field maps Document description 2) Document type _Field notes Document description	th the Site File - including field notes, a Ma F Ma	nalysis notes, photos, plans and other imp aintaining organization Janus Research file or accession #'s Janus Research	
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	N. Ward St., Tampa FL 3360		s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original □Update



Site Name(s) (address if none) 532 NW 21st Avenue

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06315		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-2-2017		
Recorder #	49		

__ Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____

	I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Cate	egory (please check one) structure district site object
	ofit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown
	LOCATION & MADDING
Street Numb	LOCATION & MAPPING er Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
	NIII 21 at
Cross Straats (nogreet)	between) E side btwn NW 5th St and NW 6th St
	FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 mile	es) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? Syes no unknown County Broward
	Range 42E Section 4 1/4 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE Irregular-name:
Toy Darcol # 5040	Adinge 42B Section 4 74 Section. Live Low Low Linegular-halle.
Subdivision Namo	organ Pinarband Plack Lat
IITM Coordinatos: 70	04 30 0300 Landgrant orsey Riverbend Block Lot ne □16 図17 Easting 583145 Northing 2890186
Other Coordinates: Y	: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Dublic Tract	(e.g., park)
Marile of Fublic Tract	(c.y., park)
	HISTORY
Construction Year:	1950 ☑ approximately ☐ year listed or earlier ☐ year listed or later
	te Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year):
	te Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): To (year): 2017
	From (year): To (year):
	no Date: Original address
Alterations: xyes	no Date: 1980s Nature Windows/door replaced, stucco band added
Additions:	
	: unknown Builder (last name first): unknown
()wnershin History (es	pecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Ownership History (cs	pecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinance?
	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☑no ☐unknown Describe
Is the Resource Affect	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinance?
Is the Resource Affect Style Masonry Ver	DESCRIPTION That the state of
Is the Resource Affect Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1	DESCRIPTION Thacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Stucco 2. 3
Is the Resource Affect Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1.	DESCRIPTION Thacular Stucco 2. Gable 2. Stock Stock 3. Stoc
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1	DESCRIPTION Thacular Stucco 2. Gable 2. Composition shingles 2. Show Indianate Indian
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s	DESCRIPTION The structure of Stories of Stories of Structure of Stories o
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s	DESCRIPTION Thacular Stucco 2. Gable 2. Composition shingles 2. Show Indianate Indian
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, material	DESCRIPTION Thacular Stucco Gable Composition shingles 2. Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash; appear to be 1980s replacements
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, material)	DESCRIPTION Thacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 Stucco 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia	DESCRIPTION Thacular Stucco Gable Composition shingles 2. Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash; appear to be 1980s replacements
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a	DESCRIPTION Stucco 2 3 3 Gable 2 3 Composition shingles 2 3 Strucs (dormers etc.) 1. 2 St, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash; appear to be 1980s replacements Cotural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Decorative stucco banding on west side goes around tops of and on porch supports; 1980s northeast addition under gable roof extension
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles 2
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O sidewalk; paveme	DESCRIPTION Stucco 2 3 3 Gable 2 3 Composition shingles 2 3 Strucs (dormers etc.) 1. 2 St, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sash; appear to be 1980s replacements Cotural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Decorative stucco banding on west side goes around tops of and on porch supports; 1980s northeast addition under gable roof extension
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles 2
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O sidewalk; paveme	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles 2
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O sidewalk; paveme around property	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles 2
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof Secondary Swindows (types, material Distinguishing Archite Windows, door, a Ancillary Features / Osidewalk; paveme around property	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles Describe Descri
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Roof Type(s) 1 Roof Material(s) 1 Roof secondary s Windows (types, materia Distinguishing Archite windows, door, a Ancillary Features / O sidewalk; paveme around property	DESCRIPTION The control of the cont
Style Masonry Ver Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Roof Type(s) 1. Roof Material(s) 1. Roof Secondary Swindows (types, material Distinguishing Archite Windows, door, a Ancillary Features / Osidewalk; paveme around property	DESCRIPTION Composition shingles Describe Descri


Site #8 BD06315


DESCRIPTION (continued)			
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 1980s panel door with fanlight and screen door under front porch			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) West front porch has square stucco supports with decorative banding and a concrete stoop; under gable roof extension			
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent X good fair deteriorated ruinous			
Archaeological RemainsCheck if Archaeological Form Completed			
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)			
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ Ilibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☐ plat maps ☐ public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)			
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE			
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Tyes Ino insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common style in South Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills. Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1.			
DOCUMENTATION			
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research			
RECORDER INFORMATION			
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com			

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06316		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-2-2017		
Recorder #	4.8		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 528 NW 21st Avenue		Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name _I-95 at Broward Boulevard I National Register Category (please check one)		
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual		
	CATION & MAPPING	
Street Number <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Type	Suffix Direction
Address: 528 NW 21st Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 5th St	Avenue	
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH		Other Man
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale Ir		
Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 V		
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0310	Landgrant	
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0310 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 3 1	Block	Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 図17 Easting 5 8 3 1	4 5 Northing 2 8 9 0 1 7 0	
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum	1
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		
	HISTORY	
Construction Year: <u>1950</u> ■ approximately □ year	ear listed or earlier	ter
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): 1950	To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year):	To (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): Original address Nature Windows/doors replace	To (year):
Moves: yesnounknown Date:	Original address	
Alterations: ☑yes ☐no ☐unknown Date: <u>1980s</u> Additions: ☑yes ☐no ☐unknown Date: <u>c. 2014</u>	Nature Southeast covered po	orch addition
Architect (last name first): unknown	Ruilder (last name first): unl	known
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Dander (last name insty.	
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinano		
	DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular	Exterior Plan L-shaped	Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	_ 2	3
Roof Type(s) 1. Cross-gabled Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	2	3
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2. Sheet metal:5V Crimp	3
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung	-sash; some boarded up; appear	to be 1980s replacements
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname	nts) <u>Molded foam "brick" on bot</u>	tom half of west side; c. 2014
back porch under metal cross gable roof		
Ancillary Foatures / Outhuildings (second outhuildings are leaded	copp foatures use continuation shoot if product	David driveryor in monthly at
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land corner of property; paved walkway from front		
or property, paved warnway from front	peren co bracharn, charmerin	Tends around property
DHR USE ONLY C	FFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NI	R listing:yesnoinsufficient info	Date Init
KEEPER – Determined eligible:	□yes □no	Date
□Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b		

Site #8 BD06316

	DESCRIP	PTION (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. <u>co</u> Foundation Material(s): 1. <u>co</u>	ntinuous 2. ncrete Block 2.	23	
	s, roof types, etc.) Entry porch on 2014 porch under southeast	west side with concrete stoop metal cross-gable roof	and simple supports under
Narrative Description of Resource		deteriorated druinous residence has a simple form a under a metal cross-gable roc	
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH MET	HODS (check all that apply)	
	urveys)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RES	OURCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Explanation of Evaluation (require Florida. It is in the Do	rsey Riverbend neighborhood		-American neighborhood. The
	e (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for c	categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "	
	DOCUI	MENTATION	
Document type Field maps Document description Document type Field notes	Filed with the Site File - including field n	notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other imp Maintaining organization File or accession #'s Maintaining organization Janus Research	
	RECORDE	R INFORMATION	
Recorder NameJanus Researce Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		Affiliation Janus Research 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janu	us@janus-research.com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06317		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-2-2017		
Recorder #	47		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 524 NW 21st Avenue Survey Project Name 1-95 at Broward Roulevard II	Multiple Listing (DHR only) nterchange Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)	□structure □district □site □object □private-nonspecific □city □county □state □federal □Native American □foreign □unknown
Street Number Address: 524 Cross Streets (nearest / between) USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 ¼ Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0320 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ▼17 Easting 5 8 3 1	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map City Limits? yes no unknown ounty Broward section: NW SW IF NE Irregular-name: Landgrant Block Vorthing 8 9 0 1 5 5 Coordinate System & Datum
	HISTORY
Current Use Other Use Moves: yes \(\)no \(\)unknown \(\)Date: \(\)1990s Additions: \(\)yes \(\)no \(\)unknown \(\)Date: \(\)2978 Architect (last name first): \(\)unknown	ear listed or earlier
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance	e? yes no unknown Describe
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2 3
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 and 2/2 sing Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname)	replacements Textured stucco exterior; decorative vents on north and ows; c. 1978 northeast addition under shed roof
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major lands front porch to extend porch area; chain-link	scape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Concrete paving around original fence around property
DHR USE ONLY O	FFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
KEEPER – Determined eligible:	R listing:yesnoinsufficient info Date Init yesno Date cd (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)


Site #8 _ BD06317


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): 1. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete blook Foundation Type(s): 1. Concrete Blook Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Blook Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing door Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc	2	ry porch roof extension with side has been extended with under southeast roof extended	th concrete stoop; original th additional paving,
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellen Narrative Description of Resource	asonry Vernacular res		nd a c. 1978 shed roof
Archaeological Remains			_ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
		DDS (check all that apply)	
R	ESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historic aer 		□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript	# if relevant, use continuation shee	t if needed)	
OP	INION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg Appears to meet the criteria for National Reg Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether sig Florida. It is in the Dorsey Rive: neighborhood lacks integrity with	ister listing as part of a distri ificant or not; use separate sheet if rbend neighborhood, wh	ct? ☐yes ☑no ☐insuffic needed) <u>This residence is o</u> ich is a historic African	American neighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National</i> 1	Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categor	ries: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "co	
2	_ 4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the 1) Document type Field maps Document description	M	aintaining organization _Janus Research	
2) Document type Field notes			
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research		Affiliation Janus Research	
Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. W (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		_	@janus-research.com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD03618
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-2-2017
Recorder #	46

Site Name(s) (address if none) 520 NW 21st Avenue Survey Project Name 1-95 at Broward Boulevard I	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)	Structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object ☐ private-nonspecific ☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ Native American ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
Street Number Direction Street Name Address: 520 NW 21st Cross Streets (nearest / between) E side btwn NW 5th Streets / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale Irrownship 50s Range 42E Section 4 % Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0330 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 16 17 Easting 5 8 3 1	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	HISTORY
Current Use Other Use Moves:	Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year): 2017 Cabin) From (year): 2017
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinano	ce?
	DESCRIPTION
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Malerial(s) 1. Composition shingles	Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2.
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname	ents) Plain stucco window/door surrounds and banding on west west side; decorative vents on north/south sides
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land sidewalk	discape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved walkway from front porch to
DHR USE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N KEEPER – Determined eligible: NR Criteria for Evaluation: □a □b	R listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init. Date Init. Date date date date date date date date d

Site #8 _ BD03618


DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing panel door under entry porch with raised concrete pad
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under west roof extension with square supports and raised concrete pad
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Security General resource Condition Cond
Archaeological RemainsCheck if Archaeological Form Complete
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? This residence is of a common style in South register. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1 5 5
2
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06319
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-2-2017
Recorder #	45


Site Name(s) (address if none) 516 NW 21st Avenue	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) ⊠building Ownership: ☐private-profit ☐private-nonprofit ☑private-individual	Structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object ☐ private-nonspecific ☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ Native American ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
LO	CATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 516 NW 21st	Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 5th S	
	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	n City Limits? yes no unknown County Broward
Township <u>50s</u> Range <u>42E</u> Section <u>4</u> ½	4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0340	Landgrant Lot
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone 116 121/ Easting 5 8 3 1	[5]0] N orthing[2]8]9[0]1[2]5]
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
The second second	HISTORY
1050 Elementary	
Construction Year: 1950 Sapproximately Springer (House Cottage)	
Original USe Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year): 2017
Other Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): To (year): 2017 From (year): To (year):
Moves: □yes ⊠no □unknown Date:	From (year): To (year): Original address Nature Doors and windows replaced
Alterations: Syes Ino Industrial Date: 2000s	Mature Doors and windows replaced
Additions: Syes Ino Indianown Date: 2000s	Nature Large east side addition with flat roof
Architect (last name first): unknown	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinano	ce? Jyes Ino Junknown Describe
	DESCRIPTION
	Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	2 3
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	2. Built-up 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1-light fixed a	nd 2-light sliding; appear to be 2000s replacements
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior organic	ents) Rafter tails at west porch roof extension; decorative vents
	ens) Raiter tails at west porch roof extension; decorative vents apports; c1965 east side addition has flat roof
OII HOLUH/DOUGH DIGGD, DOLOLII	pports, cross case side dadreron and rive
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	scane features: use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved driveway in northwest
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	dscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved driveway in northwest porch to sidewalk; chain-link fence around property
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land corner of property; paved walkway from entry	y porch to sidewalk; chain-link fence around property
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land corner of property; paved walkway from entry DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land corner of property; paved walkway from entry DHR USE ONLY	y porch to sidewalk; chain-link fence around property


Site #8 _ BD06319


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Foundation Material(s): 1Concre Main Entrance (stylistic details)West- concrete stoop Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof concrete stoops	te Block 2	door under west entry porc	ch roof extension and
Condition (overall resource condition): Narrative Description of Resource addition on the east side mo	Chis Masonry Vernacular resi	idence has a simple form.	
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
-	RESEARCH METHO		
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historia Bibliographic References (give FMSF methods) 	S)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Nation Appears to meet the criteria for Nation Explanation of Evaluation (required, wh Florida. It is in the Dorsey neighborhood lacks integrity	nal Register listing as part of a districe ther significant or not; use separate sheet if Riverbend neighborhood, wh	ct? ☐yes ☒no ☐insuffi needed) <u>This residence is c</u> ich is a historic African-	-American neighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see			
1	3 4	5 6	
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
Document description	vith the Site File - including field notes, a Ma F	aintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s	oortant documents
	Mi		
	RECORDER IN	IFORMATION	
Recorder NameJanus Research Recorder Contact Information1107 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)			s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06320
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-2-2017
Recorder # 44

	ne) 512 NW 21st Avenue				Iltiple Listing (DHR only)
	95 at Broward Boulevard I				rvey # (DHR only)
	ry (please check one) building				□Native American □foreign □unknown
Ownershipprivate-profit			-	Lieuerai	
		CATION & MAP			
Street Number			Street Type	<u>Suf</u>	ffix Direction
Address: 512 Cross Stroots (pagest / bat	veen) E side btwn NW 5th St	and NW 6th Ct	Avenue		
	ORT LAUDERDALE NORTH			Other Ma	n
City / Town (within 3 miles)	Fort Lauderdale r	City Limits? ⊠ ves □n	o □unknown	County	Broward
					ır-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04	30 0350	Lar	ndgrant		
Subdivision Name Dors	sey Riverbend	BI	ock		Lot
U TM Coordinates: Z one	□16 区 17 E asting 5 8 3 1	4 6 N orthing 2 8 9	0 1 0 9		Lot
Other Coordinates: X:	Y:	Coordinate S	System & Datur	n	
Name of Public Tract (e.g	., park)				
		HISTORY			
Construction Year: 19	50 ⊠ approximately □y	ear listed or earlier	year listed or la	iter	
Original Use Private	Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year):	1950	To (yea	nr):
Other Use Private	Residence (House/Cottage/				Ir):2017 Ir):
Moves:	□unknown Date:	Original address		TO (yea	··/·
Alterations: Syes Ono	Tunknown Date: 2010s	Nature	and doors re	eplaced	
Additions:	□unknown Date: <u>c. 1975</u>	Nature Northeas	t flat roof	additi	on
	nknown			known	
Ownership History (especi	ally original owner, dates, profession, etc.				
Is the Descurse Affected	by a Local Preservation Ordinand	202 Duos Mas Dunkr	Doscribo		
is the Resource Allected	by a Local Freservation Ordinanc	e: Lyes Milo Lunki	IOWIT DESCRIBE	·	
		DESCRIPTION			
Style Masonry Verna	cular	Exterior Plan Rectang	gular		Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. stu	icco	2		3	
Roof Type(s) 1. Gal	ole	2. Flat		3	
Roof Material(s) 1. Con	position roll	2. Built-up		3	
	CS. (dormers etc.) 1 c.)Metal 2-light casemen				
vv iriuows (types, materials, e	C.) Metal 2-light casemen	t; appear to be 201	us repraceme	:IILB	
Distinguishing Architectur	al Features (exterior or interior orname	nts) Metal window a	wning left of	of front	t door; c. 1975 northeast
0 0	built-up roof; scrolled i				
Ancillary Egaturos / Outh					
•		•			paved walkway on south side
,	ulldings (record outbuildings, major land yet of sidewalk, and on par	•			
•		•			
•		•			
of house, from entr	ry to sidewalk, and on par	t of driveway; chai	n-link fenc		d property
,	ry to sidewalk, and on par	•	n-link fenc		
DHR USE NR List Date S	ONLY ONLY HPO – Appears to meet criteria for NI	OFFICIAL EVALUAT	n-link fenc	e aroun	d property
DHR USE NR List Date K	ONLY	DFFICIAL EVALUAT R listing: yes no yes no	n-link fenc	e aroun Date	DHR USE ONLY

Site #8 _ BD06320


	DESCRIPT	ION (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. <u>Con-</u> Foundation Material(s): 1. <u>Con-</u>	crial(s): 1		
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, concrete stoop	roof types, etc.) Entry porch under	west roof extension with so	crolled iron supports and
Narrative Description of Resource	□excellent ☑good □fair □c This Masonry Vernacular re addition in the northeast c	sidence has a simple form.	
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METH	ODS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/sun ☐FL State Archives/photo collect ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS ☑other methods (describe)Hist Bibliographic References (give FMS 	weys)	☐ building permits ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ neighbor interview ☐ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESO	URCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Na Explanation of Evaluation (required Florida. It is in the Dors	ational Register listing individually? ational Register listing as part of a dis , whether significant or not; use separate shee sey Riverbend neighborhood, re ity with several alterations	trict? □yes ☒no □insuffict tifneeded) <u>This residence is o</u> which is a historic African-	American neighborhood. The
	see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for cate	gories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	community planning & development", etc.)
Z			
	DOCUMI	ENTATION	
1) Document type Field maps	ed with the Site File - including field notes	Maintaining organization	ortant documents
	RECORDER I	INFORMATION	
Recorder NameJanus Resear Recorder Contact Information1 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ch 107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33		s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06321
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-2-2017
Recorder #	43


Survey Project Name	none) 508 NW 21st Avenue			ultiple Listing (DHR only)			
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard I			ırvey # (DHR only)			
	gory (please check one) building						
Ownership:private-pro	iit p rivate-nonprofit x private-individual	private-nonspecific licity lic	ounty <u>l</u> state <u>l</u> redera	I ☐Native American ☐foreign ☐unknown			
	LO	CATION & MAPPI	NG				
Street Number		<u>St</u>	<u>eet Type</u> <u>S</u>	uffix Direction			
Address: 508	NW 21st		venue				
	between) E side btwn NW 5th St						
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map							
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? I							
Township 50s R	ange 42E Section 4 4	section: LINW LISW L	JSE ∐NE Irregul	ar-name:			
Tax Parcel # 5042 C	04 30 0360	Landg	rant	Lat			
JULIA Coordinates: 7 on	orsey kiverbend	Morthing 2 9 9 0	0 0 5	Lot			
Other Coordinates: X:	6 [] [[] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Coordinate Svs	tom & Datum				
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	Coordinate Sys	tem & Datum				
Traine of Fabric Hact (
		HISTORY					
Oncolor II V	050 5		- Patado - 1				
Construction Year:1	_950 approximately y	ear listed or earlier	ar listed or later	o.,)			
Original Use Privat	e Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year):	1950 IO (ye	ar):			
Other Use Private	e Residence (House/Cottage/		To (ye				
Moves.	no 🔲 unknown Date:	Original address	10 (ye	ai)			
Alterations: ⊠yes ☐r	oo Dunknown Date: 1980s	NatureWindows re	placed, security	y screen added			
Additions: ⊠yes □r	no Dunknown Date: Various	Nature SW corner,	N carport/porch	n, E side			
	unknown	Builder (last n	ame first): unknown				
Architect (last name first): unknown Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)							
	ecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.						
Ownership History (esp)					
Ownership History (esp	ecially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)					
Ownership History (esp		e? □yes ⊠no □unknow					
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affecte	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinand	DESCRIPTION	n Describe				
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affecte Style Masonry Vern	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinano	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular	n Describe	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affecte Style Masonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1.s	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance nacular stucco	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2.	n Describe	Number of Stories 1			
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1.s Roof Type(s) 1.c	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance nacular Stucco cross-gabled	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat	n Describe 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esplication) Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1. S Roof Type(s) 1. C Roof Material(s) 1. C	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance nacular stucco cross-gabled composition roll	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up	n Describe 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Number of Stories 1			
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affectors Style Masonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1. S Roof Type(s) 1. C Roof Material(s) 1. C Roof secondary st	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance nacular Stucco cross-gabled	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up	n Describe	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esp Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1. S Roof Type(s) 1. C Roof Material(s) 1. C Roof secondary st Windows (types, materials	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance nacular Stucco Cross-gabled Composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up	3	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affector StyleMasonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1s Roof Type(s) 1s Roof Material(s) 1s Roof secondary si Windows (types, materials light awning on esponsor) Distinguishing Architectors	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1. s, etc.) Metal 1/1, 3-light awaither side; some appear to tural Features (exterior or interior orname	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ming, and tripartite value 1990s replacements ints) Some metal windo	3	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affector StyleMasonry Vern Exterior Fabric(s) 1s Roof Type(s) 1s Roof Material(s) 1s Roof secondary si Windows (types, materials light awning on esponsor) Distinguishing Architectors	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1. s, etc.) Metal 1/1, 3-light away either side; some appear to	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ming, and tripartite value 1990s replacements ints) Some metal windo	3	Number of Stories 1			
Ownership History (esplication) Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary Secondary Silight awning on export and secondary silight awning Architectory Carport and Secondary Silight awning Architectory Carport and Secondary Silight awning Architectory Carport and Secondary Silight Avning Architectory Carport Avning Architectory Carport Avning	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance accular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite value be 1990s replacements sents) Some metal window report has simple supp	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5			
Ownership History (esplication) Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary structure of the s	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be depended to the control of the control o	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements onts) Some metal window arport has simple suppossesses features; use continuation states.	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5			
Ownership History (esplication) Is the Resource Affector Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary structure of the s	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance accular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements onts) Some metal window arport has simple suppossesses features; use continuation states.	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service a	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements onts) Some metal window arport has simple suppossesses features; use continuation states.	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service and porch under flat roof; can be detailed by a Local Preservation Ordinance and porch under service a	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements onts) Some metal window arport has simple suppossesses features; use continuation states.	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary Style Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Mindows (types, materials light awning on exportant porch; carport and Ancillary Features / Ouinformal driveway	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ming, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements ents) Some metal windourport has simple supp scape features; use continuation she planters	3	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary Style Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Mindows (types, materials light awning on exportant porch; carport and Ancillary Features / Ouinformal driveway	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ning, and tripartite to be 1990s replacements onts) Some metal window arport has simple suppossesses features; use continuation states.	3	Number of Stories1 ght fixed center and 5- wall separating carport and			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verne Exterior Fabric(s) 1.5 Roof Type(s) 1.5 Roof Material(s) 1.5 Roof Secondary Si Windows (types, materials light awning on e Distinguishing Architect porch; carport and informal driveway DHR US	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ming, and tripartite value 1990s replacements ints) Some metal windourport has simple supp scape features; use continuation star planters	3	Number of Stories1			
Ownership History (esponsor) Is the Resource Affected Style Masonry Verner Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Secondary Style Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Material(s) 1. Ceconsor Mindows (types, materials light awning on exportant porch; carport and Ancillary Features / Ouinformal driveway	ed by a Local Preservation Ordinance acular stucco cross-gabled composition roll trucs. (dormers etc.) 1	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ming, and tripartite value 1990s replacements ints) Some metal windourport has simple supp scape features; use continuation star planters	an Describe	Number of Stories1ght fixed center and 5- wall separating carport and -link fence around yard;			


Site #8 BD06321

	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)					
Chimnov: No. o Chimnov Material	(c)· 1	2					
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material Structural System(s): 1. Concre	te block	2					
Foundation Type(s): 1. Contin	110115	3					
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concre							
			der entry porch				
Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing panel door with 1990s security screen door under entry porch							
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) _Entry porch on west side under flat roof with rectangular stucco							
support; raised concrete pad; extended north c. 1978							
		<u> </u>					
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous							
Narrative Description of ResourceThis Masonry Vernacular residence has sustained substantial alterations. The southwest room was the first addition completed c. 1970. The carport addition and porch extension were							
completed c. 1978. The large			d porch extension were				
			Check if Archaeological Form Completed				
Arteriaeological Nemains			Check ii / ii chia cological i offii completed				
	RESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)					
₩CE record coarch (cited/curvey)) Dibrary recearch	□ building permits	Canharn mana				
☑ FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ FL State Archives/photo collection		☐ building permits ☐ occupant/owner interview	☐Sanborn maps ☐plat maps				
✓ property appraiser / tax records	□ newspaper files	□ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)				
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)	□historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search				
▼other methods (describe) _ Histori			LINES/INCENTIOSOIG SOCIOII				
Bibliographic References (give FMSF ma		et if needed)					
	·						
	OPINION OF RESOU	DCE SIGNIFICANCE					
	Of INION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE					
Appears to meet the criteria for Nation	nal Register listing individually?	□yes ⊠ no □insuff	ficient information				
Appears to meet the criteria for Nation			ficient information				
Explanation of Evaluation (required, who							
Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The							
neighborhood lacks integrity							
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1							
1							
2							
	DOCUME	NTATION					
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research							
1) Document description	IVI	File or accession #'s					
	M						
Document description		File of accession # S					
RECORDER INFORMATION							
December Name							
Recorder Name <u>Janus Research</u> Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com							
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	N. warα St., Tampa FL 336	0/ / (813) 636-8200 / janu	s@janus-research.com				

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06322
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-2-2017
Recorder # 42


Site Name(s) (address if none) 5					Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 a					# (DHR only)
National Register Category (ple					
Ownership:private-profitprivate	ate-nonprofit X private-individual	private-nonspecific city	countystate	e L rederal L INa	itive American ☐foreign ☐unknown
	LO	CATION & MAI	PPING		
Street Number	<u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>		Street Type	Suffix Dire	ection_
Address: 504	NW 21st		Avenue		
Cross Streets (nearest / between)					
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT	LAUDERDALE NORTH	U SGS Date	<u>1983</u> Plat or	Other Map	
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort					
Township <u>50s</u> Range <u>4</u>	2E Section 4 1/4	section: □NW □SV	N □SE □NE	E Irregular-nan	ne:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0)370	La	andgrant		Lot
Subdivision Name Dorsey F	liverbend	E	3lock		Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone 116	E asting [5] 8[3] 1	4 6 Northing 2 8 9	9 0 0 7 8		
			System & Datu	m	
Name of Public Tract (e.g., par	k)				
		HISTORY			
Construction Year: 1950	■ approximately □ ye	ear listed or earlier	Jyear listed or I	ater	
Original Use Private Resi					
Current Use Private Resi	dence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year)):	To (year):	2017
Other Use		From (year)):		
Moves: □yes 🗷 no □un	known Date:	Original address_			
Alterations:	known Date: 1990s	NatureDoor_re	placed		
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐un		Nature			
Architect (last name first): unknow				nknown	
Ownership History (especially originally ori	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.)				
Is the Resource Affected by a I	Local Preservation Ordinanc	e? ∐yes ⊠no ∐un	known Describe	e	
		DESCRIPTIO	N		
Style Masonry Vernacular	<u>r</u>	Exterior Plan L-shap	ped		Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco		_ 2		3	
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable		_ 2		3	
Roof Material(s) 1. Composi	tion shingles	_ 2		3	
	ormers etc.) 1.		2		
Windows (types, materials, etc.)	Boarded up, not visible	<u>-e </u>			
Distinguishing Architectural Fo	aturos (exterior or interior ornamo	nto) Dogovatiro re	nta on north	and gouth	gidag undar gabla anda.
Distinguishing Architectural Fersicrolled iron front por	-				sides under gable ends;
scrotted from from por	ch supports, farter t	alls on enery porc	ii 1001 exter	151011	
Ancillary Features / Outbuilding	OS (record outbuildings major land-	scape features: use continuat	ion sheet if needed) Informal d	irt driveway in
northwest corner of pro					
merenwebe dermer er pre	poroji pavoa marimaj	IIOM IIOMO POTOM C	,o pragnarity	01104111 1411111	Tonde areana property
DUD UCE ON	IV - C	CEICIAL EVALUA	TION		LID LICE ONLY
DHR USE ON	LY	FFICIAL EVALUAT	IION	D	HR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO -	- Appears to meet criteria for NF	R listing: □yes □no 「	insufficient info	Date	Init
		<u> </u>			
	R – Determined eligible:	□yes □no		Date	
	R – Determined eligible: eria for Evaluation: 🔲 a 🔲 b		onal Register Bulle		


Site #8 _ BD06322

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under roof extension on west side with scrolled iron supports and concrete stoop; rafter tails on roof extension visible
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Sqood Fair deteriorated Truinous
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) ☐
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common style in South Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1 5 5.
2
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Janus Research Affiliation Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06323		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-3-2017		
Recorder #	41		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 500 NW 21st Aver		Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boule		
National Register Category (please check one)		
Ownership: □private-profit □private-nonprofit ☑private-	ndividual Uprivate-nonspecific Ucity Ucou	ounty □state □federal □Native American □foreign □unkn
	LOCATION & MAPPIN	NG
Street Number <u>Direction</u> Street Nam		eet Type Suffix Direction
Address: 500 NW 21st		renue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) NE corner of N		
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NOR	гн USGS Date 1983	3 Plat or Other Map
		unknown County Broward
Township _50s Range _42E Section	4 M section: □NW □SW □	JSE □NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0380	Landgra	ant
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	Block	Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting ☐	Northing 2 8 9 0 0	ant Lot
Other Coordinates: X: Y: _	Coordinate Syste	em & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		
	HISTORY	
	mstoki	
Construction Year: 1950 ■ approximately	□ year listed or earlier □ year	ır listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Co	sttage/Cabin) From (year):	1950 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Co	httage/Cabin) From (year):	To (year):2017
Other Use	From (year):	To (year):
Moves:yes ⊠nounknown Date:	Original address	
	70/1990s Nature Windows rep	
	. 1970 Nature Large east	
Architect (last name first): unknown		
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profe	ssion, etc.)	
Is the Descurse Affected by a Local Proceduction (Ordinance? Dues Mas Dunkneum	Doggribo
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation (Jidinance: Lyes Zino Lidiknowii	I Describe
	DESCRIPTION	
Chilo Maganan Mannagulan		Number of Ctarios
		Number of Stories1
Poof Typo(s) 1 cable		3
Poof Material(s) 1 Composition shingles	2. Fiat 2 Ruilt-up	3 3
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		2.
		ced c. 1970 to match windows on the large
addition		
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inter	ior ornaments) Decorative vents	on north/south sides of original house;
metal window awnings; second gable on		
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	major landscape features; use continuation she	eetifneeded.) Stone-paved driveway on south
side in front of c. 1970 carport; cha	in-link fence around back yar	cd
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
		ufficient info Date Init
KEEPER – Determined eligible		Date
Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation:]a □b □c □d (see <i>National Re</i>	<i>Pegister Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)


Site #8 _ BD06323

	DESCRIPTIO	ON (continued)	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): 1	2 2		
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) _ supports and concrete stoop	Entry porch under w	est side roof extension wit	h decorative iron
Condition (overall resource condition): Narrative Description of Resource This Mass that includes a carport. The addition it its current irregular form. Archaeological Remains	onry Vernacular resi	dence has a large c. 1970 a the square footage of the	
RES	EARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐		□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if	relevant, use continuation sheet	ir needed)	
OPIN	ION OF RESOUR	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Registe Appears to meet the criteria for National Registe Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significated florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbee neighborhood lacks integrity with se	r listing individually? r listing as part of a distric int or not; use separate sheet if ind nd neighborhood, wh:	yes ⊠no □insufficie †? □yes ☑no □insufficie needed) This residence is of the is a historic African-Ar	
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Regulation 1)	<i>ister Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categori	es: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "con	
1	3 4.	5	
	DOCUMEN		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Sit 1) Document type Field maps Document description	e File - including field notes, a	nalysis notes, photos, plans and other import intaining organizationJanus Research	
2) Document typeField notes	M a	intaining organization	
Document description	F	le or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		Affiliation Janus Research 7 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@	janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06324
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 40


Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Street Type Street Type Suffix Direction Avenue NW 5th St USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map imits? Yes no unknown County Broward INW SW SE NE Irregular-name: Landgrant Block Lot Northing 2 8 9 0 0 1 6 Coordinate System & Datum
HISTORY
ed or earlier year listed or later From (year): 1950 To (year): 2017 From (year): To (year): 2017 From (year): To (year): 2017 From (year): Mindows/doors replaced Builder (last name first): unknown
yes ☑no ☐unknown Describe
Number of Stories 1
Plain stucco banding on west side
Patting Sedector Building on west side Patting Sedector Building Sedector B
Total dada dada dada dada dada dada dada d

	DESCRIPTIC	ON (continued)	
Chimnov: No. o. Chimnov Material(s): 1		2	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): 1 Structural System(s): 1Concrete block	2	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous			
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 20			ry porch
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)supports	Entry porch under w	est side roof extension w	ith simple square wood
Condition (Manad Officer Odat	oriorated Druingue	
Condition (overall resource condition): Dexcellent Narrative Description of Resource This Maso:			nd fow alterations
Mariative Description of Resource	mry vermacular resi	dence has a simple form an	id lew alterations.
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESI	EARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
▼FMSF record search (sites/surveys) □	library research	□ building permits	☐Sanborn maps
	city directory	occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
	newspaper files	□ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
	historic photos	□ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) Historic aerial			
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if re	elevant, use continuation sheet	if needed)	
OPIN	ION OF RESOUR	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
		TOL STOT (III TOTIL VOL	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register			cient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register			cient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant			
Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverber			
neighborhood lacks integrity with sev Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Regis</i>			
1			
2.	4.		
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
According Decommentation Not Filed with the Cite	. Filo		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site	e FIIE - including field notes, a M a	nalysis notes, photos, plans and other implintaining organization <u>Janus Research</u>	ortant documents
1) Document description	•••	ile or accession #'s	
2) Document type _Field notes			
2) Document description			
Bocament description	'	ile of decession # 3	
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Decorder Name Tanus Baranah		Affiliation Town	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward		Affiliation Janus Research	geignug rogografi gem
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ъс., ташра FL 3360	// (013/ 030-8200 / Janus	bwjanus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06325
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 39

Site Name(s) (address if none) 440 NW 21st Avenue Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard I	nterchange	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) ⊠building Ownership: ☐private-profit ☐private-nonprofit ☑private-individual	structure district site object	
Address: 440 Direction Street Name Address: 440 NW 21st Cross Streets (nearest / between) E side btwn NW 3rd Ct USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 Va Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0770 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 16 17 Easting 5 8 3 1 Other Coordinates: X: Y: Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other City Limits? Yes Ino Innknown Counts section: NW ISW ISE NE Irre Landgrant Block 48 Northing 2890000 Coordinate System & Datum	nty Broward gular-name: Lot
	HISTORY	
Alterations: yes □no □unknown Date: 1970s	Cabin) From (year): 1950 To Cabin) From (year): To From (year): To Original address Windows/doors replaced Nature Windows/doors replaced Nature Builder (last name first): unknow	(year): (year):
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinano	•	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition roll Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	233.	·
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hungbe 1970s replacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname)	-sash; window right of front door a	altered for AC unit; appear to
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land northwest corner of property; chain-link fem		ormal gravel driveway in
DHR USE ONLY C	OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
KEEPER – Determined eligible:	s —, — —	Date Init Date p. 2)

Site #8 _ BD06325


DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. 3. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 1970s panel door with rectangular light and decorative pattern under entry porch with concrete stoop Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under northwest roof extension with simple square supports back porch under northeast roof extension with square supports	
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Second Gair deteriorated Tuinous	
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Comp	—— pleted
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)	
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys)	
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Legister listing individually? Legister listing individually. Legister listing individu	
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1	
2	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s	
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s	
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

PHOTOGRAPH





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06326		
Field Date	9-27-2017		
Form Date	10-3-2017		
Recorder #	3.8		

Site Name(s) (address if none) 436 NW 21st Avenue	Multiple Listing (DHR only) survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)	Survey # (DRR Offly) □ structure □ district □ site □ object □ private-nonspecific □ city □ county □ state □ federal □ Native American □ foreign □ unknown
Street Number Address: 436 Cross Streets (nearest / between) USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 V4 Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0780 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 🗵 17 Lauderdale In Lauderdale	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map City Limits? Yes no nunknown County Broward section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: Landgrant Block Lot Northing Northing Datum Coordinate System & Datum
	HISTORY
Current Use Other Use Moves: yes Ino unknown Date: Alterations: yes no unknown Date: 1990s/2000 Additions: yes no unknown Date: c. 1965 Architect (last name first): unknown	Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year): 2017 Cabin) From (year): 2017
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance	e?
	DESCRIPTION
Roof Type(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition roll Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 2.
	Decorative vents on north/south sides; hurricane shutters;
	scape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved driveway in southwest iveway and sidewalk; chain-link fence around property
DHR USE ONLY O	FFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR KEEPER – Determined eligible:	R listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init. Init.


Site #8 _ BD06326

	DESCRIPTION	DN (continued)	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concret Main Entrance (stylistic details) West- concrete stoop Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof on west side of original po	nuous 2. ete Block 2. facing 1990s panel door at types, etc.) Original enclosed in supports	enclosed original porch us in 2000s; new entry porch have concrete block base;	nder new entry porch with under small roof extension
	This Masonry Vernacular resi corner was completed c. 1965 made under a new west side n	idence has a simple form a 5. In the early 2000s, the	
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/survey ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Histor Bibliographic References (give FMSF n 	rs)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
neighborhood lacks integrity	onal Register listing as part of a district the hether significant or not; use separate sheet if a Riverbend neighborhood, where with several alterations,	ct? yes no insuff needed) This residence is a ich is a historic African demolitions, and in-fills	-American neighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see 1			community planning & development", etc.)
2	4	6	
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
Document description	with the Site File - including field notes, a	analysis notes, photos, plans and other implaintaining organization	
	Ma		
	RECORDER IN	TURMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 110 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)			s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06327
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	37

				Iltiple Listing (DHR only)
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard Idegory (please check one)			rvey # (DHR only)
				■Native American ■foreign ■unknown
— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Total private-nonprofit private-individual	private-nonspecificcitycounty	Listate Liteueral	
	LO	CATION & MAPPING	7 T	
Street Num		Street T		ffix Direction
Address: 432	NW 21st	Aven	ue	
	/between) E side btwn NW 3rd C		D	
	FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH			
	les) Fort Lauderdale			
Township <u>50s</u>	Range 42E Section 4 9	4 section: □NW □SW □SE	∴ LINE Irregula	ar-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042	04 30 0790	Landgrant		Lot
Subdivision NameI	Dorsey Riverbend	Block _		L ot
Other Coordinates: 20	one □16 図17 Easting 5 8 3 1	Northing 2 8 8 9 9 6	8 Dotum	
	(: Y:		& Dalum	
Maine of Public 17act	(e.g., park)			
		HISTORY		
		moroni		
Construction Year:	1950 ⊠ approximately □y	year listed or earlier ☐ year lis	sted or later	_
Original Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	(Cabin) From (year): 19	50 To (yea	ar):
	te Residence (House/Cottage/			
Other Use		From (year):	To (yea	ar):
	Ino □unknown Date:	Original address		
Alterations:	no □unknown Date:	Nature		
Additions: Syes		Nature East side enc		
	t): unknown		first): <u>unknown</u>	
Ownership History (es	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc)		
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Preservation Ordinan	ce? Tyes Mno Tunknown [Describe	
is the Resource Airce	tica by a Local i reservation oralian	ce: Tyes And Dunknown)C3CHbC	
		DESCRIPTION		
Style Magonry Ve	rnacular	Evterior Plan Rectangular		Number of Stories1
	Stucco			
Roof Type(s) 1.				
	Composition shingles	2 Built-up	3 3	
	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.			
	als, etc.) Metal 4-light awning;	fabric window awnings on		use
Distinguishing Archite	ectural Features (exterior or interior ornam	ents) <u>c. 1975 addition und</u>	der flat roof	; decorative vents on
north/south side	es; molded stucco "brick" bar	nding on west side		
Ancillary Features / C	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	dscape features; use continuation sheet if	needed.) <u>Hedges</u>	line front yard and stone-
paved walkway fi	com entry to sidewalk; aspha	lt-paved driveway in nort	hwest corner	of property
DHR I	JSE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N			e Init
Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b	□yes □no □c □d (see <i>National Regis</i>		e
	TOR CITIED A TOLE VALUATION TO TAX TOLE)	sier bullellft 15.0.7	1


Site #8 _ BD06327

	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Cont Foundation Material(s): 1. Cond Main Entrance (stylistic details) West entry porch	rial(s): 1	tangular light and decorati	ve square design under
		sidence has a simple form. T	The large addition on the
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METH	ODS (check all that apply)	
☑FMSF record search (sites/surv ☐FL State Archives/photo collecti ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS ☑other methods (describe) ☐Histo Bibliographic References (give FMS	reys)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐Sanborn maps ☐plat maps ☐Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	URCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Explanation of Evaluation (required, Florida. It is in the Dors	tional Register listing individually? tional Register listing as part of a dist whether significant or not; use separate sheet sey Riverbend neighborhood, waty with several alterations,	rict? □yes ▼no □insuffic if needed) This residence is o which is a historic African-	American neighborhood. The
	see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categ		
1		5 6	
	DOCUME	ENTATION	
Document type Field maps Document description Document type Field notes	ed with the Site File - including field notes I	Maintaining organization File or accession #'s Maintaining organization Janus Research Janus Research	
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER I	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Resear Recorder Contact Information 1. (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ch 107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 330		s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06328
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 36

Survey Project Name 1-95 at Broward Boulevan	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) Subuildi	ngstructuredistrictsiteobject dualprivate-nonspecificcitycountystatefederalNative Americanforeignunknown
	LOCATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 428 NW 21st	Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 3r	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale	
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0800	Landgrant
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	Landgrant Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting [5] 8]	3 1 5 1 Northing 2 8 8 9 9 5 3
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	
	HISTORY
	HISTORY
Construction Year: 1950 approximately	□year listed or earlier □year listed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cotta	ge/Cabin) From (year): 1950 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cotta	ge/Cabin) From (year): To (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves:yes _Nounknown Date:	Uriginal address 2010s Nature Door replaced / windows replaced
	Natura
Architect (last name first): unknown Date	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession	etc.)
	,
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordi	nance? □yes ☑no □unknown Describe
,	
,	·
	DESCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 2.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 2.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 4. 2. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side: Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major)	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side:	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side: Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major)	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side: Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major around back yard; paved driveway in north	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side: Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major around back yard; paved driveway in north	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2-light case Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior or decorative vents on north and south side. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major around back yard; paved driveway in north	Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.


Site #8 _ BD06328

DESCRIPTION (continued)				
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1	<u> </u>			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) _Entry porch under west side roof extension with rectangular stucco supports and concrete stoop; back porch under northeast roof extension				
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Image: Im				
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Cor	npleted			
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)				
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ sanborn maps ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ newspaper files ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DE or interview) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)				
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE				
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Tyes Ino insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common style in South Plorida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alternations, demolitions, and in-fills.				
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc 1 5 5.				
2 4 6				
DOCUMENTATION				
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Document description Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s				
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s				
RECORDER INFORMATION				
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)				

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06329
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	35

Currow Droinet Namo T-95 at Broward Boulevard Int	Multiple Listing (DHR only) erchange Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) Duilding	
	private-nonspecific
	ATION & MAPPING
Street Number Direction Street Name	Street Type Suffix Direction Avenue
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 3rd Ct a	and NW 5th St
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
	ity Limits? Broward County Broward Ection: NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0810	Landgrant
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 5 8 3 1 5	Landgrant Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone 116 MI/ Easting 5 8 3 1 5	
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
	HISTORY
Construction Year: 1950 Napproximately Search Contract Co	
Current Ise Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cal	bin) From (year): 1950 To (year): 2017 bin) From (year): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves: □yes ☑no □unknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: 1980s Additions: yes no unknown Date: 1980s Date: 1980s	Nature Decorative and hurricane shutters Nature Shed, detached enclosure / attached it
	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) $_$	
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	yes ⊠no □unknown Describe
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	□yes ⊠no □unknown Describe DESCRIPTION
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular	□yes ☑no □unknown Describe DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 3. 3.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3 2. Hip 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments, attaching original house with c. 1965 addition	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments attaching original house with c. 1965 addition Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsca	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments attaching original house with c. 1965 addition Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsca	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments attaching original house with c. 1965 addition Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsca of property; paved driveway in northwest corne	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) attaching original house with c. 1965 addition Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsca of property; paved driveway in northwest corne yard	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sawindows have 1980s decorative shutters Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) attaching original house with c. 1965 addition Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsca of property; paved driveway in northwest corne yard	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1 2. Stone 3. 2. Hip 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.

Site #8 BD06329

	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimnou No. o Chimnou Materia	al(a): 1	2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Materia Structural System(s): 1. Concr	11(5). 1.	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Conti		J.	
Foundation Material(s): 1. <u>Concr</u>			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) West			earsh with gangrate steen
Main Entrance (stylistic details) <u>west</u>	-racing paner door with geom	metric design under entry p	oren with concrete stoop
Porch Descriptions (types legations rea	f types, etc.)Entry_porch_under	west side roof extension w	ith sarolled iron supports
and concrete stoop	types, etc.) Energy poren under	west side 1001 extension w	ith scrotted from supports
and concrete stoop			
Condition (overall resource condition):	excellent N anod D fair D de	teriorated □ruinous	
	This Masonry Vernacular res		The c. 1965 addition with a
	detached. Shortly after, c.		
addition was enclosed under			
			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
			
	RESEARCH METHO	DDS (check all that apply)	
ETEMOS and an analytic transfer		- 1 9 Por an anna 9	
■ FMSF record search (sites/survey)		□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection		occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records	□ newspaper files	neighbor interview	□ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)	□ historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐HABS/HAER record search
Soldingraphic Deferences (who EMSE	manuscript # if relevant, use continuation shee		
bibliographic References (give FMSF)	nanuscript # if relevant, use continuation snee	et if needed)	
	OPINION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
A			
Appears to meet the criteria for Natio			cient information
	onal Register listing as part of a distri		cient information
	hether significant or not; use separate sheet if		
	y Riverbend neighborhood, wh		
	y with several alterations,		
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see			
1	3 4.		
2	4		
	DOCUME	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed	with the Site File - including field notes,	analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo	ortant documents
1) Document type Field maps	M	laintaining organization	
Document description		File or accession #'s	
2) Document type Field notes	M	laintaining organization Janus Research	
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research	1	Affiliation Tanua Bassarah	
	1 07 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 336	_ Affiliation Janus Research	gaianus_research com
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	, A. Mara De., Tampa FH 330	01 / (013) 030-0200 / Janus	owjanus-research.com


Required Attachments


- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

PHOTOGRAPH



SKETCH MAP





☑ Original ☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06330
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	3.4


Site Name(s) (address if none) 420 NW 21st Avenue Survey Project Name 1-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange	Multiple Listing (DHR only) Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) ⊠building ☐structure ☐district Ownership: ☐private-profit ☐private-nonprofit ☐private-individual ☐private-nonspecific ☐city	site object
Street Number Direction Street Name	PPING Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 420 NW 21st Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW 3rd Ct and NW 5th St LIGHT STREET STR	
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NORTH USGS Date City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? ■ yes □	no unknown County Broward
Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 1/4 section: NW Strax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0820 La Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	andgrant
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 217 Easting 5 8 3 1 5 3 Northing 2 8 Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	8 9 9 2 4 System & Datum
HISTORY	
Other Use From (year Moves: □yes ⋈ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	1950 To (year):
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☑no ☐un	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular	Number of Stories1_
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Flat	3
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	3
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 6/6 single-hung-sash; appear to b	e 1990s replacements; hurricane shutters
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continua northwest corner of property; stone-paved front porch; gravel chain-link fence	
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUA	
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☐yes ☐no ☐NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b ☐c ☐d (see Nation)	Date

Site #8 BD06330


	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimnous No. o Chimnou Material(c): 1		2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1 Structural System(s): 1 Concrete block	.)	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous	· 2·	J	
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 1			rch with stone-payed stoop
Wall Entrance (stylistic actuals) — Hebe Taesing I	3300 panel acci wie	ar over right and oner, pe	Ten with poone parea poop
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)	Stone-paved entry p	orch under west side roof e	extension with scrolled
iron supports			
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent	⊠ good □fair □det	eriorated □ruinous	
Narrative Description of ResourceThis Maso			
addition in the northeast corner and	d the northwest carp	oort were completed c. 1955,	, shortly after the house
was first constructed.			
Archaeological Remains			☐Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RES	FARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
KIII S	LAKCII MLIIIO	DS (check an that apply)	
	□library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
	☐city directory	□occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
	☐newspaper files	☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
	☐ historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
■other methods (describe) Historic aerial			
$\textbf{B} ibliographic \ References \ (\textit{give FMSF manuscript \# if}$	relevant, use continuation sheet	if needed)	
OPIN	NION OF RESOUL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Annears to most the criteria for National Degists	or licting individually?		and to farme attent
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register Appears to meet the criteria for National Register		,	ent information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether signification)			ent information
Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbe			
neighborhood lacks integrity with se			merrean nergimerneed. inc
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Reg			mmunity planning & development", etc.)
1			
2	4	6	
	DOCUMEN.	THE LINE AND THE PROPERTY OF T	
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Sit	E File - including field notes a	nalucis notes inhotes plans and other imper	tant decuments
Document type Field maps	.c i lic - including held holes, a	nintaining organization Janus Research	lant documents
1) Document description	F	ile or accession #'s	
Decument type Field notes			
2) Document description			
	•		
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Docardor Namo Tanua Bassasah		Affiliation Tanas Bassassa	
Recorder NameJanus Research Recorder Contact Information1107 N. Ward		Affiliation Janus Research	eianus rosoarsh som
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	. Sc., Tampa FL 3360	77 / (013) 030-0200 / Janus	s Janus - Tesear Cil. COIII

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06331
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	33

Site Name(s) (address if none) 416 1			Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	roward Boulevard Interchange		Survey # (DHR only)
	heck one) 🗷 building 🗆 structure 🗀 distric		
Ownership:private-profitprivate-no	onprofit x private-individual p rivate-nonspecific 2	city <u>county</u> state <u>rede</u>	erai Linative American Liforeign Liunknown
	LOCATION & MA	APPING	
Street Number <u>Direct</u>		Street Type	Suffix Direction
Address: 416		Avenue	
	side btwn NW 3rd Ct and NW 5th St		
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAU	DERDALE NORTH USGS Da	te 1983 Plat or Other I	Wap
	derdale In City Limits? ■ yes		
Township 50s Range 42E	Section 4 1/4 section: NW	SW LISE LINE IFFEG	uiar-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0830	- And	Lanugrani	Lot
JULIA Coordinates: 7 ono 116 121	orbend 17 Easting 5 8 3 1 5 1 Northing 2 8		Lot
Other Coordinates: X:	Y: Coordina	ate System & Datum	
Name of Public Tract (e.g. park)	1 0001dil12	ite system a batam	
	HISTORY		
Construction Voca 1050	approximately ————————————————————————————————————	Dyoar listed or later	
Original Use Private Pegidon	☐ approximately ☐ year listed or earlier ☐ (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (ye) ☐ (ye)	year listed or later	warl.
Current Use Private Residence	ce (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (ye	ar): 1950 To ()	year): 2017
Other Use		ar): To ()	
Moves: Tyes kino Tunknow	n Date: Original address	ui)	,
Alterations: xyes □no □unknow		ws and doors replac	ed
Additions: Syes One Ounknow		roof addition on no	rtheast corner
	B uilde		
Ownership History (especially original of	owner, dates, profession, etc.)		
Latha Danama Affartadha a Lara			
is the Resource Affected by a Loca	I Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☑no ☐	unknown Describe	
	DESCRIPTION	ON	
Ctylo Magonry Vernagular	Exterior Plan L-sh	aned	Number of Stories
	2		
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	2Shed	3.	
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition	n shingles 2.	3.	
	s etc.) 1.	2	
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Meta	al 1/1 single-hung-sash; double win		corner addition; appear to be
1990s replacements			
0 0	es (exterior or interior ornaments) <u>Decorative</u>	vent on south side;	1990s northeast corner
addition under shed roof e	xtension		
Anaillany Factures / Outhuildings (unting about the and all \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	ecord outbuildings, major landscape features; use contin t corner of property; uncovered ba		
to front porch in northwes	corner of property; uncovered ba	ck patio in northea	st corner
DUD LICE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUE	ATION	DUD HEE ONLY
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALU	ATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date SHPO – App	ears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no	☐insufficient info □	Date Init
KEEPER – D	ears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no Determined eligible: yes no		Date Init Date


Site #8 BD06331

	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. <u>Cons</u> Foundation Material(s): 1. <u>Cons</u>	crial(s): 1		
	roof types, etc.) Entry porch under cop; uncovered back patio in r		ith rectangular stucco
Narrative Description of Resource	□excellent ⊠good □fair □de This Masonry Vernacular res theast corner was an extension	sidence has a simple form a	
Archaeological Remains			Check if Archaeological Form Completed
		ODS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/sun ☐FL State Archives/photo collect ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS ☑other methods (describe) _ Hist Bibliographic References (give FMS 	weys)	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	JRCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for Na Explanation of Evaluation (required Florida. It is in the Dors	ational Register listing individually? ational Register listing as part of a distr I, whether significant or not; use separate sheet sey Riverbend neighborhood, w ity with several alterations,	rict?	American neighborhood. The
	see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for category	ories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "o	
	DOCUME	ENTATION	
Document typeField maps Document description 2) Document typeField notes Pield notes	ed with the Site File - including field notes, N	analysis notes, photos, plans and other imp Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s Maintaining organization Janus Research	
	RECORDER I	NFORMATION	
Recorder NameJanus Resear Recorder Contact Information1 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	ch 107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 336	Affiliation	s@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06332
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	32

Survey Project Name T-95 at	NW 21st Avenue				ple Listing (DHR only) _	
	Broward Boulevard Int				ey # (DHR only)	
National Register Category (please Ownership: □private-profit □private					Native American Inforeign	Hunknown
private-profit in private				Licuciai		unknown
		ATION & MAP		0.5	51 11	
	rection Street Name 21st		Street Type Avenue	Suffix	Direction	
Cross Streets (nearest / between) E		and NW 5th St				
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LI				Other Map		
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort L						
Township <u>50s</u> Range <u>42</u> E	Section4	ection: NW SW	□SE □NE	Irregular-	name:	
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 08. Subdivision Name Dorsey Ri	40	Lan	dgrant			
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riv	verbend	Bloomer State	OCK		Lot	
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 I Other Coordinates: X:	۸۰ د وېراااگا <u>یا ما ۱۱ و</u>	onrdinate S	System & Datum	n		
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		Coordinate S	bysicin a Datan			
		HISTORY				
Construction Year: 1950	⊠ approximately □vear	listed or earlier	vear listed or la	iter		
Original Use Private Reside	ence (House/Cottage/Cal	pin) From (year):	1950	To (year)	· ·	
Current Use Private Reside	ence (House/Cottage/Cal	pin) From (year):_		To (year)	2017	
Other Use		From (vear):		To (year)	· ·	
	own Date:	Original address	3			
Alterations:		Nature windows/	doors replace			
Architect (last name first): unknown	JWII DateC1970	Builder (la	st name first): uni	known		
Ownership History (especially original	al owner, dates, profession, etc.) _	Danasi ha				
	cal Procognition Ordinanco?	Tives Vino Tunkn	nown Describe			
Is the Resource Affected by a Lo	cai Freservation Ordinance:	Llycs Mile Lunki				
Is the Resource Affected by a Lo						
		DESCRIPTION				
Style Masonry Vernacular	E	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang	pular		N umber of Stories	1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	E	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2.	gular	3	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit:	ion shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2 2Flat 2. Built-up	gular	3 3 3	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn	ion shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up	gular 2	3 3 3	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit:	ion shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up	gular 2	3 3 3	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me	ion shingles ners etc.) 1 etal 2/2 single-hung-sa	Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered	gular 2 by shutters	3 3 3 3	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Featu	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. tal 2/2 single-hung-sa	Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven	gular2 by shuttersts on north/	3 _ 3 _ 3 	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. tal 2/2 single-hung-sa	Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven	gular2 by shuttersts on north/	3 _ 3 _ 3 	Number of Stories	
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Featu	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa UTES (exterior or interior ornaments) de addition has flat r	Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven	2 by shutters ts on north/ ve balustrac	33333	Number of Stories	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Feature windows; c. 1970 east side.	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments), de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca	ixterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven oof; low decorati pe features; use continuation	2by shutters ts on north/ve balustracon sheet if needed.)	33333	Number of Stories	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Feature windows; c. 1970 east side Ancillary Features / Outbuildings	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments), de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca	ixterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven oof; low decorati pe features; use continuation	2by shutters ts on north/ve balustracon sheet if needed.)	33333	Number of Stories	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Feature windows; c. 1970 east side Ancillary Features / Outbuildings	ion shingles ners etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments), de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca	ixterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven oof; low decorati pe features; use continuation	2by shutters ts on north/ve balustracon sheet if needed.)	33333	Number of Stories	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Featu windows; c. 1970 east sid Ancillary Features / Outbuildings and back patio paved in s	ion shingles mers etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments) de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca stone c. 2013; chain-l	xterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven coof; low decorati pe features; use continuation ink fence around	yular 2by shutters ts on north/ ve balustrace n sheet if needed.) back yard	33333	Number of Stories des; shutters on matry porch	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Feature windows; c. 1970 east side Ancillary Features / Outbuildings	ion shingles mers etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments) de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca stone c. 2013; chain-l	ixterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven oof; low decorati pe features; use continuation	yular 2by shutters ts on north/ ve balustrace n sheet if needed.) back yard	33333	Number of Stories	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Featu windows; c. 1970 east sid Ancillary Features / Outbuildings and back patio paved in s	ion shingles mers etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments) de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca stone c. 2013; chain-l	xterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven coof; low decorati pe features; use continuation ink fence around	yular 2by shutters ts on north/ ve balustrace n sheet if needed.) back yard ON	33333	Number of Stories des; shutters on matry porch	ost
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composit: Roof secondary strucs. (dorn Windows (types, materials, etc.) Me Distinguishing Architectural Featurent Mindows; c. 1970 east side Ancillary Features / Outbuildings and back patio paved in structural features of the structural features o	ion shingles mers etc.) 1. etal 2/2 single-hung-sa ures (exterior or interior ornaments) de addition has flat r (record outbuildings, major landsca stone c. 2013; chain-l	xterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. Flat 2. Built-up ash; most covered Decorative ven coof; low decorati pe features; use continuation ink fence around FICIAL EVALUATI sting: yes no	yular 2by shutters ts on north/ ve balustrace n sheet if needed.) back yard ON	3 3 3 3 3 3 Date _ Date _ Date _	Number of Stories des; shutters on metry porch , walkway, north s	ost

Site #8 BD06332


DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): 1	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing 1990s panel door with decorative light and decorative iron	n screen under
entry porch with concrete stoop Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under northwest roof extension with square we low decorative balustrade, and concrete stoop	
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Image Image	ations. The
Archaeological Remains Check if Arch.	aeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐Ibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn of the control occupant/owner interview ☐ Description of the control occupant/owner interview ☐ Public La 	nds Survey (DEP) AER record search
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common separate. This is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills.	ighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning 1 3 5 5	& development", etc.)
2	
DOCUMENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s	
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s	
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-rese (address/phone/fax/e-mail)	arch.com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06333
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	31

Site Name(s) (address if none) 408 NW 21st Aver.		Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boule		Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)		lobject statelfederalNative Americanlforeignlunknown
Ownershipprivate-profitprivate-floriprofit Elprivate-	ndividualprivate-nonspecificcitycounty _	
	LOCATION & MAPPING	
Street Number <u>Direction</u> Street Nam	<u>e</u> <u>Street Typ</u>	<u>Suffix Direction</u>
Address: 408 NW 21st	Avenu	e
Cross Streets (nearest/between) E side btwn NW		
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE NOR		
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale		
Township 50s Range 42E Section	4 Kection: LINW LISW LISE	□NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0850	Landgrant	Lot
JULIA Coordinates: 7000 114 117 Facting 15		LOI
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting ☐	Coordinate System 8	 Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		Datam
Traine of Fubility Tract (e.g., party)		
	HISTORY	
1050		
Construction Year: 1950 approximately Original Line Project of Project Construction (November 2017)	□ year listed or earlier □ year liste	ed or later
Original Use Private Residence (House/Co	ttage/Cabin) From (year): 1950	0 10 (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Co		To (year):
	Original address	10 (year)
Alterations: Syes no unknown Date:	Ongmanaduress	pars and awnings added
Additions: Xyes Ino Inknown Date:	1980s Nature Carport on nort	thwest corner
		st): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profe		
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation (Ordinance? □yes ☑no □unknown De	escribe
	DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular		Number of Stories1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	2	3
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	2	3
ROOF Material(S) I. Composition shingles	2	3
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		2
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 2/2 singl	z-nung-sasn	
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or inter	or ornaments) Decorative vents on n	worth/south sides, 1990s window iron
security bars and metal awnings; scro		
becarre, sais and model amings, solo	Tod IIon polon Sappolos, Isons	
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings,	major landscape features; use continuation sheet if no	eeded.) Asphalt-paved driveway in
northwest corner of property; stone-pa		
DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
	eria for NR listing: □yes □no □insufficien	
KEEPER – Determined eligible		Date
Owner Objection NR Criteria for Evaluation:]a □b □c □d (see <i>National Registe</i> .	r Builetin 15, D. 2)

Site #8 _ BD06333


DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: No. o Chimney Material(s): 1. 2. 3. Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3. Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West-facing panel door with decorative light under entry porch with concrete stoop
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under west side roof extension with scrolled iron supports and concrete stoop
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Sqood Fair deteriorated ruinous
Archaeological RemainsCheck if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ Ilibrary research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps ☐ cuty directory ☐ cuty directory ☐ cuty directory ☐ cuty directory ☐ cuty appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? —yes — no — insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) —This residence is of a common style in South Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills. Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06334
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 30


		Itiple Listing (DHR only)
		vey # (DHR only)
	Category (please check one) ⊠building □structure □district □site □object	
Ownership:private-pro	-profit □private-nonprofit ☑private-individual □private-nonspecific □city □county □state □federal	inative Americaniroreignunknown
	LOCATION & MAPPING	
Street Numb		fix Direction
	NW 21st Avenue	
	est/between) E side btwn NW 3rd Ct and NW 5th St	
	mefort_LauderdaleIn City Limits?	
	Range 42E Section 4 1/4 section: DNW DSW DSE DNE Irregular	
Tax Parcel # 5042	2 04 30 0860 Landgrant	i-name.
Subdivision Name D	2 04 30 0860 Landgrant Dorsey Riverbend Block	Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zoi	Zone ☐16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 3 1 5 4 Northing 2 8 8 9 8 6 3	
Other Coordinates: X	X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum	
Name of Public Tract	ct (e.g., park)	
	THORADY.	
	HISTORY	
Construction Year:	1951 ■ approximately □ year listed or earlier □ year listed or later	
Original Use Privat	vate Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1951 To (year)	r):
Current Use Privat	vate Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): To (year	r):2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year	r):
	▼no ☐unknown Date: Original address	
Alterations: xyes		
Additions: xyes		
	irst): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown	
Ownership History (es	(especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
Is the Resource Affect	ected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	
15 the Prosedice 7 theory	·	
	DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Ver	Ternacular Exterior Plan Irregular	Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1.	1. Stucco 2. 3.	
Roof Type(s) 1	1. Gable 2. Flat 3.	
Roof Material(s) 1	1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up 3.	
Roof secondary s	y strucs. (dormers etc.) 1 2	
	rials, etc.) Metal 2/2 single-hung-sash and 1-light fixed; fixed windows	on either side of front
	replacements; some windows boarded up	
	itectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) <u>Porch and extensions/additions had extensions/additions had extensions (extended twice (east and north, c1965/1970); c1975 NI</u>	
supports are arc.	rches; NE room extended twice (east and north, c1965/1970); c1975 Ni	E/SE additions
Ancillary Features / O	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Paved	driveways on north side and
•	er of property; stone pavers on west side of porch	
DHR LI	USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date		e Init
Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible:	2
	Tark Griteria for Evaluation. Da Do Do Do Gee <i>ivational negister bulletin 19</i> , p. 2)	


Site #8 _ BD06334

	DESCRIPTIO	ON (continued)	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Cont. Foundation Material(s): 1. Conc. Main Entrance (stylistic details) West	ial(s): 1	n oval light under entry po	orch with arches under flat
Narrative Description of Resource _		style. The porch was extended to 1970. Finally, c. 1975	
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surve) ☐FL State Archives/photo collectio ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Histo Bibliographic References (give FMSF 	on	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOUR	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Explanation of Evaluation (required, Florida. It is in the Dorse neighborhood lacks integrit	ional Register listing individually? ional Register listing as part of a distric whether significant or not; use separate sheet if use a reverse of the result of the resu	yes ⊠no □insuffic i? □yes ⊠no □insuffic needed) <u>This residence is or</u> ich is a historic African- demolitions, and in-fills.	American neighborhood. The
	ee <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categori		
1	3 4.	5 6.	
	DOCUMEN	NIAIION	
1) Document type Field maps	d with the Site File - including field notes, an Ma	intaining organization	ortant documents
	Ma F		
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Researc Recorder Contact Information 11 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	h 07 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 3360		@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06335
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 29


	019 NW 3rd Court				Itiple Listing (DHR only)	
Survey Project Name I-95 at					vey # (DHR only)	
National Register Category (plea Ownership: private-profit private-profit					□Native American □fercian	- Dunknous
Омпетѕпр. Прпуате-ргопт Прпуа	ate-nonpront X private-individual	private-nonspecific city	countys	iale 🔲 lederal	Native Americanioreigi	ı L ulikilowii
	LOC	ATION & MAP				
	<u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>		Street Type	Suf	fix Direction	
Address: 2019	NW 3rd					
Cross Streets (nearest / between)				or Other Me		
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort						
Township 50s Range 42						
Tay Parcel # 5042 04 30 0	2E 3ECHOH 4 74 St	sciioii. □ivvv Lar	ndarant	INC III eyula	1-Hallie	
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 0 Subdivision Name Dorsey R	iverbend	Lai	ingrani Inck		I ot	
UTM Coordinates: Zone □16	17 E asting 5 8 3 1 5	4 N orthing 2 8 8	9845		L ot	
Other Coordinates: X:	Y:	Coordinate S	System & Da	atum		
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park	k)		<i>,</i>			
. 371						
		HISTORY				
Construction Year: 1955	☑ annrovimatoly □ voa	listed or parlier	Lynar listad a	or lator		
Original Use Private Resid	dence (House/Cottage/Ca	bin) From (vear).	1 955	To (vea	r)·	
Current Use Private Resid	dence (House/Cottage/Ca	bin) From (year):		To (yea	r)· 2017	
Other Use		From (vear):		To (yea	r):	
Moves: □yes ▼no □unk	known Date:	Original address				
Alterations:		Nature Some wir	ndows repl	aced		
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unk	known Date:	Nature				
Architagt (11						
Alchitect (last name first): unknow	m .	Builder (la	ast name first):	unknown		
Ownership History (especially orig	vn ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _	Builder (la	ast name first):	unknown		
Ownership History (especially orig	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _	Builder (12	ast name first):	unknown		
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Linear transfer of the control of	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _	Builder (12	ast name first):	unknown		
Ownership History (especially orig	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Builder (la	ast name first): nown Descr	unknown		
Ownership History (especially original Is the Resource Affected by a Linear Lin	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _ _ocal Preservation Ordinance?	Builder (la	nown Descr	unknown ribe		
Ownership History (especially original Is the Resource Affected by a Linear Style Masonry Vernacular	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Builder (la □yes ☑no □unkı DESCRIPTION (xterior Plan Rectang	ast name first): nown Desci	unknown ribe	Number of Stories	8 _1_
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Builder (la □yes ☑no □unkı DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2.	ast name first): nown Desci	ribe 3	Number of Stories	5 _1_
Ownership History (especially original Is the Resource Affected by a Linear Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.)	Builder (la □yes ☑no □unkı DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2.	ast name first): nown Desci	<u>unknown</u> ribe 3 3 3	Number of Stories	5 _1_
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _ Local Preservation Ordinance?	Builder (la yes ⊠no □unki DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectand 2. 2. 2. 2.	nown Descr gular	3	Number of Stories	5 _1_
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc.) _ Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Description of the strength of the streng	Builder (la yes ⊠no □unkı DESCRIPTION (xterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2.	nown Descr gular	3	Number of Stories	5 _ 1
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi	cocal Preservation Ordinance? tion shingles primers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-s.	Builder (la □yes ☑no □unkı DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a	nown Descriptions of the second secon	3	Number of Stories	5 _ 1
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) In fixed and 4-light awnim Distinguishing Architectural Feat	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Tion shingles Tion shingles Lion shingles Tion	Builder (la	nown Description Description 2wning; tr.ts	ribe33ipartite l	Number of Stories	S _ 1
Ownership History (especially original lands) Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) In fixed and 4-light awniminates.	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Tion shingles Tion shingles Lion shingles Tion	Builder (la	nown Description Description 2wning; tr.ts	ribe33ipartite l	Number of Stories	S _ 1
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.	cal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Dimers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-s. Ig on either side; some Calures (exterior or interior ornaments ch supports; southwest	Builder (la	nown Description Description 2	unknown ribe	Number of Stories	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.) Mindows (cocal Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Dimers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sing on either side; some satures (exterior or interior ornaments such supports; southwest	Builder (la yes Ino Innk DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a 1990s replacement Molded stucco carport with atta	nown Description D	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with control and banding of lity room	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Dimers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sing on either side; some satures (exterior or interior ornaments such supports; southwest	Builder (la yes Ino Innk DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a 1990s replacement Molded stucco carport with atta	nown Description D	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with control and banding of lity room	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.) Mindows (cocal Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Dimers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sing on either side; some satures (exterior or interior ornaments such supports; southwest	Builder (la yes Ino Innk DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a 1990s replacement Molded stucco carport with atta	nown Description D	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with control and banding of lity room	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.) Mindows (cocal Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Dimers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sing on either side; some satures (exterior or interior ornaments such supports; southwest	Builder (la yes Ino Innk DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a 1990s replacement Molded stucco carport with atta	nown Description D	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with control and banding of lity room	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition Roof Secondary Strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.) Mindo	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Lormers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sig on either side; some Latures (exterior or interior ornaments ch supports; southwest Classification of the supports of the support of the	Builder (la	nown Description Description Description Description 2wning; trusts "brick calached west on sheet if need his fence a	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with concepts and banding of lity room lly asphalt- and stoperty	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original lands) Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof secondary strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) National lands and 4-light awnim Distinguishing Architectural Feaside; scrolled iron por Ancillary Features / Outbuilding	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Lormers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sig on either side; some Latures (exterior or interior ornaments ch supports; southwest Classification of the supports of the support of the	Builder (la yes Ino Innk DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectang 2. 2. 2. 2. ash and 4-light a 1990s replacement Molded stucco carport with atta	nown Description Description Description Description 2wning; trusts "brick calached west on sheet if need his fence a	ipartite l rport supress shed/util	Number of Stories eft of door with control and banding of lity room	S _ 1entraln south
Ownership History (especially original Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof Secondary Strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Note of the side; scrolled iron por Ancillary Features / Outbuilding paved driveway; paved with the side of the side of the structure of the side of the	tion shingles tion shingles tries etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sig on either side; some atures (exterior or interior ornaments ch supports; southwest Is (record outbuildings, major landscalkway from entry to signal of the side of the side of the side of the supports of the support of the sup	Builder (la	nown Desci nown Desci nown Desci nown Desci gular 2wning; tr. ts "brick ca ached west on sheet if need onk fence a	ipartite l rport suppresshed/util ed.) Partial	Number of Stories eft of door with concepts and banding of lity room lly asphalt- and stoperty	entral n south
Ownership History (especially original Is the Resource Affected by a Line Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composi Roof Secondary Strucs. (do Windows (types, materials, etc.) Mindows (types, etc.) Mind	cocal Preservation Ordinance? Local Preservation Ordinance? Lion shingles Lormers etc.) 1. Metal 2/2 single-hung-sig on either side; some Latures (exterior or interior ornaments ch supports; southwest Classification of the supports of the support of the	Builder (la Jyes Mo	nown Description D	ipartite l rport supresshed/util ed.) Partial round proj	Number of Stories eft of door with concepts and banding of lity room lly asphalt- and stoperty DHR USE ONLY	entral n south


Site #8 BD06335

DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: Noo_Chimney Material(s): 1
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch with raised concrete pad under south side roof extension with scrolled iron supports
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Sqood Fair deteriorated Truinous
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ sanborn maps ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps ☐ public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search ☑ other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common style in South Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbend neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills. Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1.
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document description
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06336			
Field Date	9-27-2017			
Form Date	10-3-2017			
Recorder #	25			


Site Name(s) (address if none) 2					e Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name I-95 a				•	/ # (DHR only)
National Register Category (ple					Native American ☐foreign ☐unknow
Ownership. Dprivate-profit Dpriva	ate-nonprolit X private-individual	private-nonspecificcity	County Listate	lederal	valive American Liforeign Lunknow
	LO	CATION & MA	PPING		
	<u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>		Street Type	Suffix D	<u>Direction</u>
Address: 2016	NW 3rd		Court		
Cross Streets (nearest / between)					
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT	LAUDERDALE SOUTH	U SGS Date		Other Map _	
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort					
Township 50s Range 4	2E Section 4	4 section: LINW LIS	N ∐SE ∐NE	Irregular-na	ame:
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 1	.060	La	anagrant		Let
JUDA Coordinates: 7 ons 1 14	V17 Facting E 0 2 1	[7] Morthing [2] 9	310CK		Lot
Other Coordinates: X:	۸۰ ۲ ۵۵۵۱۱۱۷	[/]±] Norming[2]○[Coordinate	System & Datur	m	
Name of Public Tract (e.g., par	' ·k)	Coordinate	System & Datui		
	''y				
		HISTORY			
0		and Patentine P	7	-1	
Construction Year: 1965	_ ⊠ approximately □	year listed or earlier [year listed or la	ater	
Original Use Private Resi	dence (House/Cottage,	(Cabin) From (year):1965 	To (year):_	
Current Use Private Resi Other Use	dence (House/Collage,	From (year):):	To (year):_	2017
Moves: Tyes In Tun	nknown Date:	Original address)	TO (year)	
Alterations: yes no un	known Date:	Nature			
Additions: yes no un	iknown Date:	Nature			
Architect (last name first): unknow	wn	Builder	(last name first): un	ıknown	
Ownership History (especially originally ori	ginal owner, dates, profession, etc	.)			
Is the Resource Affected by a	Local Preservation Ordinan	ce? □yes ⊠ no □un	known Describe	9	
		DESCRIPTIO	N		
0.1					N
Style Masonry Vernacula:	<u>r</u>	_ Exterior Plan Irregi	ılar		Number of Stories1
Poof Typo(s) 1. Studeo				3	
Poof Material(s) 1 Compagi	tion ghingles			3	
	ormers etc.) 1.		2	J	
Windows (types, materials, etc.)					
(types, materials, etc.)					
Distinguishing Architectural Fe	atures (exterior or interior ornam	ents)Two car garag	ge in northea	st corner;	molded stucco "brick"
garage corners, door su	rround, and banding	in northwest corner	r; concrete s	creens at	porch
			ion sheet if needed.)	Paved hal	f-circle driveway; pool
in back yard; chain-lin	ık fence around back	yard			
DHR USE ON	LY	OFFICIAL EVALUA [*]	TION		DHR USE ONLY
ND List Date CUDO	Annoare to most criteria for N	ID licting: Dyon Dno	Dincufficient info	Data	Init
	 Appears to meet criteria for N R – Determined eligible: 	ik iisting: □yes □no □yes □no			Init
	eria for Evaluation: 🔲 a 🔲 l	—, —	onal Register Bulle		
			Jano	, o, p. 2)	


Site #8 BD06336

	DESCRIPTI	ON (continued)	
Chimney: No o Chimney Materia	al(s)· 1	2	
Chimney: No. o Chimney Materia Structural System(s): 1. Concr	ete block 2	2	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Conti	nuous 2.		
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concr			
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Nort			" door surround and flanked
by porch concrete screens			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roo		recessed under main roof w	ith concrete screens on
either side of the front do	or and a concrete stoop		
Condition (overall resource condition):	evcellent Magned Offsir Od	eteriorated □ruinous	
Narrative Description of Resource _			-car garage on the east
side and a concrete screene			
Archaeological Remains			_ □Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	DESEADOH METH		
	RESEARCH METHO	ODS (check all that apply)	
▼FMSF record search (sites/survey)	ys) □library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
☐FL State Archives/photo collection		□occupant/owner interview	□ plat maps
☑ property appraiser / tax records	□ newspaper files	☐ neighbor interview	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
□cultural resource survey (CRAS)	☐historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐ HABS/HAER record search
▼other methods (describe) Histor			
Bibliographic References (give FMSF r	nanuscript # if relevant, use continuation she	eet if needed)	
	OPINION OF RESOL	IRCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to most the criteria for Nation	anal Dagistar listing individually?		olout information
Appears to meet the criteria for Nation Appears to meet the criteria for Nation			cient information cient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, w			
			American neighborhood. The
neighborhood lacks integrit			
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see	e National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categ	ories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "c	community planning & development", etc.)
1	3	5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	ENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed	with the Site File - including field notes,	, analysis notes, photos, plans and other impo	ortant documents
1) Document type Field maps	ľ	Maintaining organization Janus Research	
		File or accession #'s	
	P		
Document description		File or accession #'s	
	RECORDER I	NFORMATION	
December Name			
Recorder Name Janus Research		Affiliation Janus Research	
Recorder Contact Information	/ N. Ward St., Tampa FL 336	ou/ / (813) 636-8200 / janus	₃wjanus-research.com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06337
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 26

Site Name(s) (address if none) 2008 NW 3rd Court	N	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name _I-95 at Broward Boulevard I National Register Category (please check one) _X\text{building}		Survey # (DHR only)
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual		ral □Native American □foreign □unknown
LO	CATION & MAPPING	
Street Number <u>Direction</u> Street Name		Suffix Direction
Address: 2008 NW 3rd	Court	
Cross Streets (nearest/between) S side btwn NW 20th USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH		Man
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale		
Township 50s Range 42E Section 4 %		
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 1050	Landgrant	aldi-fidific.
Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend	Block	Lot
Tax Parcel # 5042 04 30 1050 Subdivision Name Dorsey Riverbend UTM Coordinates: Zone □16 ☑17 Easting 5 8 3 1	9 6 N orthing 2 8 8 9 7 9 4	
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum	
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		
	HISTORY	
Construction Year: 1962	year listed or earlier — Dyear listed or later	
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/		ear):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/	Cabin) From (year): To (year)	ear): 2017
Other Use	From (year): To (year)	ear):
Moves:yes _no _unknown Date:	Original address	·
Alterations: yes □no □unknown Date: 1980s	NatureWindows/doors replaced	
Additions: Tyes No Tunknown Date:	Nature	
Architect (last name first): unknown Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.	Builder (last name first): unknown	
Ownership Firstory (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinand	ce? □yes ⊠no □unknown Describe	
	DESCRIPTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular	Exterior Plan L-shaped	Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco		
Roof Type(s) 1. Cross-gabled	2 3	
Roof Material(s) 1. Tile unspecified Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	2 3	
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	22	
Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 6/6 and 8/8 sin	gle-nung-sasn; 6/6 are double window	s on either side of the
front door; appear to be 1980s replacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior orname	ents) Scored stucco at garage corners	s double door entry with two
steps was installed in the 1980s		, acazie acei ener, mien ene
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	dscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) <u>Paved</u>	d half-circle driveway; pool
in back yard with lanai; iron fence around l	back yard	
DUD LICE ONLY	DEFICIAL EVALUATION	DUD LICE ONLY
DHR USE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
	R listing:yesnoinsufficient info	DHR USE ONLY ate Init ate


Site #8 _ BD06337

	DESCRIPTION	ON (continued)		
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block Main Entrance (stylistic details) North-facing	2 2			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)	None observed			
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent Narrative Description of Resource			l few alterations.	
Archaeological Remains			☐Check if Archaeological Form Completed	
RES	SEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)		
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys)☐FL State Archives/photo collection☑property appraiser / tax records	□ library research □ city directory □ newspaper files □ historic photos 1 photography	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search	
OPIN	NION OF RESOUR	RCE SIGNIFICANCE		
Appears to meet the criteria for National Regist Appears to meet the criteria for National Regist Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether signification for the Dorsey Riverbern neighborhood lacks integrity with second	er listing as part of a district ant or not; use separate sheet if a and neighborhood, wh:	t? □yes ☑no □insufficieneeded) This residence is of ich is a historic African-A		
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Reg	gister Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categori	es: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "con		
1	3 4	5 6.		
DOCUMENTATION				
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Si 1) Document type Field maps Document description	M a	intaining organization		
2) Document type Field notes	M a	intaining organization		
Document description	F	ile or accession #'s		
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION		
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. War (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		Affiliation <u>Janus Research</u> 7 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@	ejanus-research.com	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07


S ite #8	BD06338			
Field Date	9-27-2017			
Form Date	10-3-2017			
Recorder #	27			


Site #8 BD06338

	DESCRIPTION	JIN (continued)	
Chimney: No o Chimney Material(s): 1		2	
Chimney: No.	2.	3.	
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous	2.		
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block			
Main Entrance (stylistic details)S-facing pane		tive squares under entry po	erch with concrete stoop;
E-facing second entry on NE addition			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) _	Entry porch on sout	th side with scrolled iron	supports and railing;
concrete stoop			-
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent		eriorated Druinous	
Narrative Description of ResourceThis Maso			car garage in the
southeast corner. The northeast addi			
			- <u></u>
Archaeological Remains			☐ Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RES	EARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
	□library research	□ building permits	☐ Sanborn maps
	city directory	☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ neighbor interview	□ plat maps
1 1 2 11	□newspaper files □historic photos	☐ interior inspection	☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
■other methods (describe) Historic aerial		Шителог изресцогі	MIADOMAEN record scarcii
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if		t if needed)	
OPIN	IION OF RESOUL	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National Registe		_,	ent information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Registe Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether signification)			ent information
Florida. It is in the Dorsey Riverbe			
neighborhood lacks integrity with se			
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Reg.			
1		5	
2	4	6	
	DOCUMEN	NTATION	
	DOCOME		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Sit	e File - including field notes, a	nalysis notes, photos, plans and other impor	rtant documents
1) Document type Field maps	Ma	aintaining organization Janus Research	
Document description			
2) Document type Field notes			
Document description	I	·lle or accession #'s	
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION	
Decorder Neme Town 5			
Recorder NameJanus Research Recorder Contact Information1107 N. Ward		Affiliation Janus Research	Pianus rosarsh sam
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)	. Б., ташра FL 3360	01 / (013) 030-8200 / Janus	gjanus-research.Com

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE





☑ Original
☐ Update



Site Name(s) (address if none) 1800-1803 W Broward Boulevard

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06339			
Field Date	9-27-2017			
Form Date	10-3-2017			
Recorder #	23			

__ Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange Survey # (DHR only)

National Register Category (please check one) ⊠building ☐ structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object Ownership: ☐ private-profit ☐ private-nonprofit ☑ private-individual ☐ private-nonspecific ☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ Native American ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
Cross Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
HISTORY
Construction Year: 1963
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?
DESCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan L-shaped Number of Stories 1 Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. 3. Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. Other 3. Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. 3. Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) 1980s commercial storefront window next to entry door in southeast corner Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Original bays on north side enclosed; east half of building has a barrel roof, west half has a flat roof; east side parapet hides most of barrel roof
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Chain-link fence around property; parking lot in southeast corner near entrance; adjacent non-historic two-story building in northeast corner
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:

Site #8 _ BD06339

	DESCRIPTION	ON (continued)		
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1 Structural System(s): 1 Concrete block Foundation Type(s): 1 Continuous Foundation Material(s): 1 Main Entrance (stylistic details) East-facing	2 :k 2			
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)	None observed			
Condition (overall resource condition): Condition Coverall resource condition	sonry Vernacular has	a simple form and few alte		
Archaeological Remains			☐Check if Archaeological Form Completed	
RE	SEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)		
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historic aeris Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # 	☐ library research ☐ city directory ☐ newspaper files ☐ historic photos al photography	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	□ Sanborn maps □ plat maps □ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ HABS/HAER record search	
OPI	NION OF RESOUI	RCE SIGNIFICANCE		
Appears to meet the criteria for National Regis Appears to meet the criteria for National Regis Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether signif in South Florida. It is in the Rive neighborhood. The neighborhood lack	ter listing as part of a distriction of a distriction of the distriction of a distriction o	t? yes Ino insufficineeded) This commercial buil bood, which is a historic Af	rican-American	
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Re</i> 1	<i>egister Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categor	ies: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "co		
2	4	6		
DOCUMENTATION				
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the S 1) Document type Field maps Document description	Ma F	intaining organization Janus Research ile or accession #'s		
2) Document type _Field notes Document description				
	RECORDER IN			
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Wa (address / phone / fax / e-mail)		Affiliation Janus Research 7 / (813) 636-8200 / janus	@janus-research.com	


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06340
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	22


Curvey Droject Name I-95 at Broward Rouleward Interchange	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name _I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange	Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) ■ building □ structure □ district □ site □ objection ■ Structure □ objection ■ Objection ■ Structure □ objection ■ Object	
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state	e Ifederal INative American Intoreign Inunknown
LOCATION & MAPPING	
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type	Suffix Direction
Address: 709 SW 20th Terrace	
Cross Streets (nearest/between) West side, north of SW 8th Street	200
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH USGS Date 1983 Plat or	
City / Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In City Limits? ■ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown	
Township 50s Range 42E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE	Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # _5042 09 25 0090 Landgrant	1 _1
Subdivision Name	L 0t
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 16 17 Easting 5 8 3 2 0 6 Northing 2 8 8 8 4 1 9 Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datu	m
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	III
Name of Fubilic fract (e.g., park)	
HISTORY	
Construction Year: 1954 ☑ approximately ☐ year listed or earlier ☐ year listed or l	ater
Original Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year): 1954	To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin) From (year):	10 (year): 2017
Other Use From (year): Moves: Jes Ino Junknown Date: Original address	10 (year):
Moves:	th new windows/doors
Additions: New addition, SW backs and Mature New Additions.	
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown	sk porch
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
Composition File of Cooperating Congress Constitution of Cooperating Cooperati	
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Describe	9
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes unknown Describe	e
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?	e
DESCRIPTION	
DESCRIPTION Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat	Number of Stories1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up	Number of Stories1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.	Number of Stories1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up	Number of Stories1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements	Number of Stories1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Molded stucco "stacked st	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Molded stucco "stacked st porches, carport, and northwest addition have flat roofs; awning on north Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Molded stucco "stacked st porches, carport, and northwest addition have flat roofs; awning on north Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Molded stucco "stacked st porches, carport, and northwest addition have flat roofs; awning on north Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2	Number of Stories 1
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 3-light awning; double window in southeast coreplacements Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) Molded stucco "stacked st porches, carport, and northwest addition have flat roofs; awning on north Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. rner; appear to be 1980s cone" banding on east side; side extends carport
Style _Masonry Vernacular	Number of Stories 1 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. rner; appear to be 1980s cone" banding on east side; side extends carport Wood and chain-link fencing DHR USE ONLY
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. Flat Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Built-up Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2	Number of Stories 1


Site #8 BD06340

DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: No1_ Chimney Material(s): 1Concrete block 2Brick Structural System(s): 1Concrete block 2	3
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. Main Entrance (stylistic details) East-facing panel door under screened in entry porch	- -
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) East entry porch screened in; 1980s back both have flat roofs	porch in southwest corner;
Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous Narrative Description of Resource This Masonry Vernacular residence was originally I have given it its current irregular shape.	-shaped, but several additions
Archaeological Remains	☐Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply	
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interviee ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☑other methods (describe) ☐ Historic aerial photography Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed) ☐	□Sanborn maps w □plat maps □Public Lands Survey (DEP) □HABS/HAER record search
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANC	E
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? — yes — yes — xno — xno	n-American neighborhood. The
neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fi Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritated 1	ge", "community planning & development", etc.)
2 4 6	
DOCUMENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other pocument type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research 1) Document description File or accession #'s	arch
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s	
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / j	


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06341
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	21

	if none) 713 SW 20th Terrace			tiple Listing (DHR only)
	I-95 at Broward Boulevard			vey # (DHR only)
	egory (please check one) building			District Associates District Design
Ownershipprivate-pr	rofit private-nonprofit private-individual	private-nonspecificcitycoun	ity <u>l</u> state <u>l</u> lederal	
	LO	CATION & MAPPIN	G	
	ber <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Ter	Type Suff	<u>fix Direction</u>
Address: 713	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		race	
	/between) West side, north of			
USGS 7.5 Map Name	FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH	USGS Date 1983	Plat or Other Map)
	les) Fort Lauderdale			
Township 50s	Range 42E Section 9 1	4 section: LINW LISW LIS	E ∐NE Irregular	r-name:
Tax Parcel # 5042	09 25 0080	Landgran	τ	Lot
Subdivision Name	09 25 0080 one □16 図17 Easting 5 8 3 2	Morthing 3 9 9 9 3	0 0	L OI
Other Coordinates: 1	(: Y:Y:	Coordinate System	n & Datum	
Name of Public Tract	(e.g., park)	Coordinate System	Ta Datum	
	(o.g., party			
		HISTORY		
2	1050			
Construction Year:	1953 S approximately S	/ear listed or earlier ☐ year li	isted or later	۵.
Original Use Priva	te Residence (House/Cottage/	(Gabin) From (year): 1	953 To (year	[]:
Other Use	te Residence (House/Cottage/	From (year):		
Moves. Die E	no □unknown Date:	Original address	10 (year	·
Alterations: Syes	Juo Dinknown Date: 1980s	Nature Carport enclo	osed, opening a	altered
Additions: Syes [Ino Dunknown Date: 1980s	Nature Northwest end	closed addition	1
); unknown			
	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.			
Is the Resource Affect	cted by a Local Preservation Ordinand	ce? □yes ⊻ no □unknown	Describe	
		DESCRIPTION		
Style Magenry Ve	rnacular			Number of Stories
Fytorior Fabric(s) 1	Stucco	2 2 Exterior Plair iffegular 2	3	Number of Stories1
	Cross-gabled			
Roof Material(s) 1.	Composition shingles	2. Built-up		
	Strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		2.	
	als, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung	y-sash, 1-light fixed, ar	nd 5-light awni	ng; tripartite with
central awning a	and fixed; some 1980s replace	ements when openings alt	ered	
	ectural Features (exterior or interior orname			east side; enclosed
carport on north	n side; porch, carport, and n	northwest addition have	flat roof	
A	N. H H. Parray			
•	Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	-		ood fence around property
makes most of ho	ouse not visible; two fence	gates, one opens to pave	d driveway	
	IOE ONLY	05510141 5\44144 T		DUD HOE OWN
DHR L	JSE ONLY (OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for N	IR listing: Tyes Tno Tinsuffi	cient info Date	Init
Titt List Duto		g. Ljos Lilo Lilisulli	Jule Dule	
	KEEPER – Determined eligible:	□yes □no	Date	
1		OFFICIAL EVALUATION	cient info	DHR USE ONLY


Site #8 _ BD06341


DESCRIPTION (continued)	
Chimney: Noo_Chimney Material(s): 1	
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under flat roof overhand simple metal support	g south of enclosed carport with
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Image: Im	pe. In the 1980s many of the rs. Check if Archaeological Form Completed
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that	apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner ☑ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor intervie ☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ interior inspection ☐ Deferences (in FMSF) ☐ interior inspection ☐ interior ☐ ☐ interior ☐ interi	Public Lands Survey (DEP) n
Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)	
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFIC	ANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This resident or not in the Riverside Park neighborhood, which is a historic register of the register of the register of the residence of the register of the	□ insufficient information dence is of a common style in South African-American neighborhood. The
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "eth 1	nic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
2 4	6
DOCUMENTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plan 1) Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Ja Document description File or accession #'s	nus Research
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Ja Document description File or accession #'s	nus Research
RECORDER INFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Affiliation Janus Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-82 (address/phone/fax/e-mail)	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 BD06342
Field Date 9-27-2017
Form Date 10-3-2017
Recorder # 20

Site Name(s) (address if none)71			Multiple Listing (DHR only)
	Broward Boulevard Interchange		Survey # (DHR only)
	se check one) ⊠building □structure □ e-nonprofit ⊠private-individual □private-nonspec		oral Disting American District Dunknoun
Ownership:private-profitprivat	e-nonpront Z iprivate-individual D iprivate-nonspec	iliccitycountystatered	erai 🔲 Native American 🔲 Ioreign 🔲 uriknown
	LOCATION &		
	Direction Street Name	Street Type	Suffix Direction
Address: 717		Terrace	
	NW corner of SW 20th Ter and SW		Man
	LAUDERDALE SOUTH US(Lauderdale In City Limits?		
	E Section 9 1/4 section: N		
Tay Parcel # 5042 09 25 00		Jandarant	guiai-name
Subdivision Name	070	Ringrant	I ot
UTM Coordinates: Z one □16	■17 Easting 5 8 3 2 1 1 Northin	n 2 8 8 8 3 7 7	
Other Coordinates: X:	Y: Co	ordinate System & Datum	
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)		
	•		
	HISTO	JRY	
Construction Year: 1953	■ approximately	lier	
Original Use Private Resid	ence (House/Cottage/Cabin) Fro	im (vear). 1953 To (vear)
Current Use Private Resid	ence (House/Cottage/Cabin) Fro	m (year): To (vear): 2017
Other Use	Fro	ım (year):	year):
Moves: ☐yes ☒no ☐unki	nown Date: Original ad	ldress	
Alterations:			ors
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unkı	nown Date: Nature _		
Architect (last name first): unknown	n .	Builder (last name first): unknow	n
Ownership History (especially origin	nal owner, dates, profession, etc.)		
Is the Descurred Affected by a Li	ocal Preservation Ordinance? □yes ☑r	o Dunknown Doscribo	
is the Resource Affected by a Et	carrieservation ordinance: Dyes An	io <u>unknown</u> <u>Describe</u>	
	DESCRI	PTION	
Style Masonry Vernacular	Exterior Plan	Ishaped	Number of Stories
Exterior Fabric(s) 1 Studgo	2	3	Mulliber of Stories1
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip	2Flat		
Roof Material(s) 1. Composit	ion shingles 2. Built-u	p 3.	
Roof secondary strucs. (dor	mers etc.) 1	2	
Windows (types, materials, etc.)M	etal 1/1 single-hung-sash and 2-	light fixed; triple win	dow left of front door
	tures (exterior or interior ornaments)Texture		n stucco window surrounds;
carport on north side ha	as flat roof with simple metal su	upports	
Ancillary Footures / Outhwildings	7 (
	S (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use perty; chain-link fence around pa		ormal gravel driveway in
northeast corner or prop	erty; chain-link fence around pr	ropercy	
DHR USE ONL		ALLIATION	DUD LICE ONLY
DHK USE ONL	Y OFFICIAL EV	ALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
	.Y OFFICIAL EV Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes		DHR USE ONLY Date Init
NR List Date SHPO – A	Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☐yes – Determined eligible: ☐yes	□no □insufficient info	Date Init Date

Site #8 _ BD06342


DESCRIPTION (continued)		
Chimney: Noo_ Chimney Material(s): 1	ete stoop	
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch with concrete stoop under flat roof of carpor metal supports	t with simple	
Condition (overall resource condition): ☐excellent ☑good ☐fair ☐deteriorated ☐ruinous Narrative Description of Resource	itions.	
Archaeological Remains Check if Archa	eological Form Completed	
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)		
	nds Survey (DEP) ER record search	
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE		
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common state of the common sta		
neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills. Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning 8 1 5 5		
2 4 6		
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document typeField maps Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s		
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s		
RECORDER INFORMATION		
Recorder Name Janus Research Affiliation Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-resea (address/phone/fax/e-mail)	arch.com	

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06343
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	19

Survey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard In	Multiple Listing (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one)	
Ownersnip:iprivate-protitiprivate-nonprotit _x iprivate-individual	□ private-nonspecific □ city □ county □ state □ federal □ Native American □ foreign □ unknown
LOG	CATION & MAPPING
Street Number <u>Direction</u> <u>Street Name</u>	Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 1914 SW 8th	Street
Cross Streets (nearest/between) S side btwn SW 20th Te	
USGS 7.5 Map Name FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH	USGS Date 1983 Plat or Other Map
Toy Decol # 5040 00 05 0050	section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcer # 5042 09 25 0050	Lanuyranı Lot
IITM Coordinates: 7 one 116 X17 Fasting 5 8 3 2 3	Landgrant Lot Lot Lot
Other Coordinates: X: Y:	Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)	Goodmand O Jotom a Data
	HISTORY
Construction Year:1953_	ear licted or earlier Dyear listed or later
Original Se Private Residence (House/Cottage	Cabin) From (year): 1953 To (year):
Current Use Private Residence (House/Cottage/C	Cabin) From (year): To (year):
Other Use	From (year): To (year):
Moves: ☐yes ☑no ☐unknown Date:	Original address
Alterations: yes □no □unknown Date: 1990s	Nature Windows and doors replaced
Additions: ☐yes ☒no ☐unknown Date:	Nature
	Builder (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)	
1 3 1 3 0	
	a? Tives Vino Tunknown Describe
	e? Jyes Ino Junknown Describe
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3.
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-s	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3.
Style _Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) _Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 3. 2. Sash; double window right of front door appear to be 1990s
StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 2. Built-up 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style _Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) _Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 2. Built-up 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat room	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 2. Built-up 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat room. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsc	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Sash; double window right of front door appear to be 1990s Molded stucco "stacked stone" banding on north side; poof
Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-sreplacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat room. Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landsc	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hung-s replacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat rowall on north and west sides of entry porch;	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hung-s replacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat rowall on north and west sides of entry porch;	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hung-s replacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat ro Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landso wall on north and west sides of entry porch; fence	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 3. 3. 2. Flat 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
StyleMasonry Vernacular Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco Roof Type(s) 1. Gable Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Windows (types, materials, etc.)Metal 1/1 single-hung-s replacements; some boarded up Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornament carport, porch, and utility room have flat ro Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landso wall on north and west sides of entry porch; fence	DESCRIPTION Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1 2. 3. 2. Flat 3. 2. Built-up 3. 2. Sash; double window right of front door appear to be 1990s Molded stucco "stacked stone" banding on north side; boof Copper features; use continuation sheet if needed.) Molded stucco "stacked stone" low gravel driveway on northeast corner of property; chain-link FFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY

Site #8 _ BD06343


DESCRIPTION (continued)
Chimney: Noo_Chimney Material(s): 1
Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Entry porch under flat roof with simple metal supports; molded stucco "stacked stone" low wall on north and west sides
Condition (overall resource condition): Excellent Image: Im
Archaeological Remains Check if Archaeological Form Comple
RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? Lyes Ino insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed) This residence is of a common style in South Florida. It is in the Riverside Park neighborhood, which is a historic African-American neighborhood. The neighborhood lacks integrity with several alterations, demolitions, and in-fills.
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.) 1
2
DOCUMENTATION
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents 1) Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s
2) Document type Field notes Maintaining organization Janus Research Document description File or accession #'s
RECORDER INFORMATION
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)

Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE







☑ Original
☐ Update



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

S ite #8	BD06344
Field Date	9-27-2017
Form Date	10-3-2017
Recorder #	18

	fnone) 1910 SW 8th Street				Listing (DHR only) _	
	urvey Project Name I-95 at Broward Boulevard Interchange ational Register Category (please check one) Subuilding structure district site object				Survey # (DHR only)	
	egory (please check one) S olutiong cofit p rivate-individual				ative American Inforeign	Hunknown
Ownership: Dphvate-pr					ative Americanioreign	driknown
		CATION & MAP		0		
Address: Street Numb	Direction Street Name SW 8th		Street Type Street		rection	
	/between) S side btwn SW 20th T	Ter and Riverside D				
	FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH			Other Map		
	es) <u>Fort Lauderdale</u> In					
Township <u>50s</u> F	Range 42E Section 9 1/4	section: □NW □SW	√ □SE □NE	E Irregular-nai	me:	
Tax Parcel # 5042	09 25 0040	Lai	ndgrant			
Subdivision Name	ne □16 ⊠ 17 Easting 5 8 3 2	B Northing 21 01 0	lock		Lot	
Other Coordinates: X	(: Y: Y:	Coordinate	10 3 4 7 System & Datur	m		
Name of Public Tract	(e.g., park)	Coordinate	System & Data			
		HISTORY				
Construction Year	1955 ⊠ approximately □ye	ear listed or earlier	1vear listed or la	ater		
Original Use Triple		_ , ,	1955 <u>1955</u>			
	ex	From (year):	:	To (year):	2017	
Other Use		From (year):	:	_ To (year):		
	no _unknown Date:	<pre> Original address Nature Replaced</pre>				
Alterations:		Nature Replaced	a windows an			
Architect (last name first); unknown	Builder (ı	ast name first): ur	 nknown		
Ownership History (es	specially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)					
Is the Resource Affec	ted by a Local Preservation Ordinanc	e? □yes ⊠no □unk	nown Describe	e		
		DESCRIPTION	J			
Ch.l					Name have of Charles	
Style Masonry Ver	rnacular Stucco	EXTERIOR Plan Rectan	gular	2	number of Stories	1
Roof Type(s) 1	Gable	_ ^{2.}		3 3		
Roof Material(s) 1.	Composition shingles	2		3.		
Roof secondary :	strucs. (dormers etc.) 1.		2			
Windows (types, materia	ls, etc.) Metal 1/1 single-hung-	-sash; several doub	ole windows;	appear to	be 1980s replace	ments
Diation viabion Anabita	at well Foot was () in the line					
vents	ctural Features (exterior or interior orname	nts) <u>Molded stucco</u>	"stacked st	one" bandir	ng on north side;	attic_
Venes						
Ancillary Features / O	outbuildings (record outbuildings, major land	scape features; use continuation	on sheet if needed.)) Gravel pai	rking area in nor	theast
corner of proper	ty					
	105 011 1/	SECONAL EVALUATE	101		UD HOE ON Y	
DHR U	JSE ONLY O	FFICIAL EVALUAT	ION		HR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NF	R listing: □yes □no □	insufficient info	Date	Init	
	KEEPER – Determined eligible:	□yes □no		Date		
☐Owner Objection	NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☐a ☐b	□c □d (see <i>Nation</i>	nal Register Bulle	e <i>tin 15</i> , p. 2)		

Site #8 _ BD06344

	DESCRIPTION	JN (continued)	
Chimney: Noo Chimney Material(s): Structural System(s): 1. Concrete Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuou Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Main Entrance (stylistic details) One nort porch with concrete stoop Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types entrances	Block 2	ng, one east-facing; 1980s	panel doors under recessed
Condition (overall resource condition): Narrative Description of Resource This			few alterations.
Archaeological Remains			□Check if Archaeological Form Completed
	RESEARCH METHO	DS (check all that apply)	
 ☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐FL State Archives/photo collection ☑property appraiser / tax records ☐cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☑other methods (describe) ☐Historic and Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript) 	□ library research □ city directory □ newspaper files □ historic photos aerial photography	□ building permits □ occupant/owner interview □ neighbor interview □ interior inspection	☐ Sanborn maps ☐ plat maps ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ HABS/HAER record search
	OPINION OF RESOU	RCE SIGNIFICANCE	
Appears to meet the criteria for National I Appears to meet the criteria for National I Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether Florida. It is in the Riverside neighborhood lacks integrity with Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National I	Register listing as part of a district significant or not; use separate sheet if Park neighborhood, which several alterations,	ct?yesXnoinsuffi needed)This triplex is of th is a historic African-Am demolitions, and in-fills.	nerican neighborhood. The
1			
2	4	6	
	DOCUME	NTATION	
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with 1) Document type Field maps Document description 2) Document type Field notes Document description	M: F	aintaining organization Janus Research File or accession #'s aintaining organization Janus Research	
2554mone dosomption			
	RECORDER IN	NFORMATION	
Recorder Name Janus Research Recorder Contact Information 1107 N (address / phone / fax / e-mail)	. Ward St., Tampa FL 3360		s@janus-research.com


Required Attachments

- **1** USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- **②** LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- 3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE









☑Original ☐Update



RESOURCE GROUP FORM FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8	BD06347
Field Date_	9-27-2017
orm Date	10-3-2017
Recorder#	2.4

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group: Historic district (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites Archaeological district (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures Mixed district (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings) Building complex (NR category usually "building(s)"): multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association Designed historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.) Rural historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.) Linear resource (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.				
Resource Group Name salvation Army Complex				
LOCATION & MAPPING				
Street Number Address: 1901 W Broward City/Town (within 3 miles) Fort Lauderdale In Current City Limits? Syes □no □unknown County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Broward Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)				
1) Township _ 50S				
2) Name USGS Date Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location) Landgrant				
Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)Bounded by I-95 to the west, West Broward Boulevard_				
to the south, the North Fork of the New River to the east and north, and the North Fork Riverfront Park in the northeast corner.				
DHR USE ONLY OFFICIAL EVALUATION DHR USE ONLY NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init				
NR List Date SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yesnoinsufficient info				

RESOURCE GROUP FORM

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION						
Construction Year: 1957 Supproximarchitect/Designer(last name first): unknown	nately year listed or earl	ieryear listed or later Builder(last name first): _unki	nown			
Architect/Designer(last name first): unknown Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing 4 # of non-contributing 5 Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1925) 1. Twentieth C American 3.						
2						
Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed) See continuation sheet.						
RES	SEARCH METHODS	S (check all that apply)				
☑FMSF record search (sites/surveys)	□library research	□building permits	□Sanborn maps			
■FL State Archives/photo collection		□occupant/owner interview	□plat maps			
■property appraiser / tax records □cultural resource survey	□newspaper files□historic photos	☐neighbor interview☐interior inspection	□Public Lands Survey (DEP) □HABS/HAER record search			
▼other methods (specify) Historic aer	ial photography	·				
Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript	# if relevant) <u>See continua</u>	tion sheet.				
OP	INION OF RESOUR	CE SIGNIFICANCE				
Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient information yes no insufficient information yes no insufficient information Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.) See continuation						
sheet.						
Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National I	Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categorie	es: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "cor	mmunity planning & development", etc.)			
1 2.	_ 3 4.	5 6.				
DOCUMENTATION						
A 211 D 12 N 1 E2 1 21 1						
Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents Document type Field maps Maintaining organization Janus Research						
Document description						
2) Document type Field notes		ntaining organization				
Document description	Fil	e or accession #'s				
	RECORDER IN	FORMATION				
Recorder Name Janus Research		Affiliation Janus Research				
Recorder Contact Information 1107 N. Ward St., Tampa FL 33607 / (813) 636-8200 / janus@janus-research.com (address/phone/fax/e-mail)						

Required Attachments

- PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
- 2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
- **3** TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES (name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address)
- PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETSCAPE OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources) Photos may be archival B&W prints <u>OR</u> digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD <u>AND</u> in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

A. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF SITE

This resource group is comprised of seven concrete block Masonry Vernacular and two metal frame Industrial Vernacular style building located on an approximately 14.5-acre property at 1901 W Broward Boulevard in Section 4 of Township 50 South, Range 42 East on the Fort Lauderdale South (1962, photorevised 1983) United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map. The property is bounded by I-95 to the west, W Broward Boulevard to the south, the North Fork Riverfront Park in the northeast corner, and the North Fork of the New River to the east and north. Although the historic buildings were likely associated with demolished historic buildings west of the boundaries, much of the area around the intersection of W Broward Boulevard and the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649) was affected by the construction of I-95. Since the buildings in the area that may have been associated with the extant historic buildings were demolished for I-95, the current western boundary for the Salvation Army Complex follows I-95, which curves in a northwest-southeast direction.

Most of the property is paved, with landscaping around the buildings, and the edges of the property. Four of the Masonry Vernacular building are historic, and the other seven buildings on the complex are non-historic. Two buildings are located within the project APE, the c. 1967 Adult Rehabilitation Center and the c. 2000 Super Store. The Adult Rehabilitation Center is the largest building on the complex, and portions of the building serve as storage, auction area, and the donation drop off location.

The development of the Salvation Army Complex and the area around it has been gradual. The original use of the property is unknown, but the Salvation Army obtained the property in 1980 (Broward County 1980). A review of historic aerials shows that four of the Masonry Vernacular buildings are historic. The oldest building on the complex, Warehouse 1, can be seen in the 1958 aerial (Figure 1). By 1968, five more buildings were constructed: Warehouse 2, Warehouse 3, the Adult Rehabilitation Center, and two smaller buildings in the northwest and southeast corners of the property that were demolished in the 1990s (Figure 2). The 1958 and 1968 aerials also show a building next to the railroad that may have been a train station, but this building and three others that may have been associate with the Salvation Army Complex were demolished in the 1970s when I-95 was built. By 1988, Warehouses 4 and 5 and Offices 1 and 2 were constructed (Figure 3). A current aerial shows the addition to the Adult Rehabilitation Center, c. 1990, and the Super Store, c. 2000 (Figure 4).



Figure 1: 1958 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)



Figure 2: 1968 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)



Figure 3: 1988 aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347)




Figure 4: Current aerial of Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), property boundaries in red



Figure 5: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 1, c. 1957, looking North

Warehouse 1

Warehouse 1 is the oldest building on the complex having been constructed c. 1957 (Figure 5). This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular warehouse was built in two phases. The west half of the building was completed c. 1957, and shortly after in 1958 the east half of the building was completed. The building is constructed of concrete block painted white. There are nine bays with rolling garage doors on each of the north and south sides. The windows on the west end of the building have iron security bars, which make it impossible to see what style the windows are. There is a set of stairs with a small platform leading to a metal door on the west side. That is the only known entrance, because the east side of the building is not visible. There are flat roof overhangs on the north, east, and south sides of the east half of the building. The building has a flat built-up roof.



Figure 6: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 2, c. 1967, looking Northwest

Warehouse 2

This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular building sits just south of Warehouse 1. It was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block covered in white stucco (Figure 6). There are two bays with rolling garage doors on each of the north and south sides. The building has no windows, and there is no other visible entrance. The west end of the building is only one story tall with no windows or doors, and its purpose is unclear. The building has a flat, built-up roof.

Warehouse 3

This one and a half story Masonry Vernacular building sits just north of Warehouse 1. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block with a shed roof overhang on the north side. There are three loading bays on the north side. The main entrance is a west-facing panel door in the southwest corner. There are two double windows and three single windows on the west side. Iron security bars cover the windows. The south side of the building is blank, and the east side is not visible. The building has a flat, built-up roof.



Figure 7: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Adult Rehabilitation Center, c. 1967, looking Northeast

Adult Rehabilitation Center

This Masonry Vernacular building sits southeast of Warehouses 1 and 2, at the northwest corner of W Broward Boulevard and NW 18th Avenue. It was once a warehouse, but is currently used as the Salvation Army Adult Rehabilitation Center (Figure 7). This building was constructed c. 1967 of concrete block and is covered in brick painted grey. It has always been irregularly shaped, originally having four large connected massings. The two-story portion of the building in the northwest corner was likely the original office. It has evenly spaced one-over-one single-hung-sash windows on the east side of the second story. The west side has several single door entrances on the first floor and double windows on the second floor. There are only two windows on the south side of the second story. All the windows sit under flat roof overhangs.

The one-story, L-shaped massing south of the two-story office is currently used as the entrance to the Adult Rehabilitation Center. It has one-light fixed windows on the south side. A concrete screen wall encloses the uncovered patio. There is a large Salvation Army sign on the southern concrete screen wall. A single, south-facing commercial glass door with transom and side light serves as the only entrance from the building to the uncovered patio. The building's main entrance sits under a flat roof overhang supported by one large concrete block column. The south-facing commercial glass double door has storefront windows surrounding it. A secondary entrance sits under the same roof

overhang. It is a simpler east-facing commercial glass door with a transom and no side lights. There is landscaping around this portion of the building with shrubs and trees lining the south side, entrance, and uncovered patio.

The central and east portions of the building were originally warehouses with loading bays on the north and south sides. The central portion is five bays wide. The bays have been enclosed with what appears to be plywood painted grey to match the brick. There is a small roof overhang above the bays. The original north side bays are no longer visible due to a large addition completed c. 1990. The north and west sides of the addition are not visible. However, from NW 18th Avenue three single metal door entrances and one loading bay are visible on the east side of the addition. No windows are visible. There are two doors and a bay recessed in a raised loading dock on the east side of the original central portion of the building. The large north addition is currently used as the "as is" auction area.

The original east portion of the building was extended south c. 1980, so that its south side was in line with the south side of the central portion of the building. The extension is five bays wide like the central portion. On the east side of the extension, which is not in line with the original east side, has a metal double door entrance. The original east side is blank except for three one-light fixed windows. There are flat roof overhands along the south and east sides of the east portion. The north side continues to be used as a loading dock, as this is currently the donation drop off location. The raised loading dock is L-shaped along the north side of the east portion and the east side of the original central portion of the building. Due to low visibility it's unclear exactly how many bays are recessed in the raised loading dock, but there are at least two bays. One bay is east-facing, and the other is north-facing. The loading dock has a flat roof extension with four supports along the north side, and a ramp at the northeast corner.

All portions and additions of the building have flat, built-up roofs Most of the area around the Adult Rehabilitation Center has been paved for parking and loading. There have been several alterations and addition to this building. Most of the windows appear to have been replaced in the 1980s. The main south-facing entrance was likely altered to accommodate the 1980s commercial glass double doors and window wall. Many other doors also appear to be 1980s replacements. Despite substantial additions and surrounding development, the south front of the building retains much of its historic feel.



Figure 8: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Warehouse 4, c. 1985, looking West

Warehouse 4

This Industrial Vernacular building sits just north of the Adult Rehabilitation Center. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1985 with a metal steel frame covered in metal siding. The north and south sides are blank with no window or door openings. The west side of the building is not visible. The east side has a simple metal door in the southeast corner with a two-light sliding window to its right. There are also two large bays with rolling garage doors on the east side. The roof is side-gabled and covered in standing seam metal sheets.

Warehouse 5

This Masonry Vernacular building sits just west of Warehouse 1. It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1985 of concrete block covered in stucco. The north and south sides are blank with no window or door openings. The north side is longer than south side, so that the slanted west side is parallel to the I-95 ramp west of it. The west side of the building is not visible and backs up to a line of trees and I-95. The east side has two metal doors, two widows, and two loading bays with rolling garage doors. The loading bays are in the northeast and southeast corners. The windows are one-light fixed and covered in metal security bars. The building has a flat, built-up roof.



Figure 9: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Office 1, c. 1978, looking Northwest

Office 1

This Industrial Vernacular building sits just northwest of Warehouse 4 (Figure 9). It has a simple form and was constructed c. 1978 with a metal steel frame covered in metal siding. The northwest side is blank with no window or door openings. The northeast side has six five-light awning windows, three in the center and three on the left side. The southwest side is not visible. The entrance is a simple metal door on the southeast side, which sits under a canvas awning. There is a similar awning over the three windows. The three windows are five-light awning similar to those on the northeast side. The front-gabled roof is covered in 5V crimped metal sheets.

SITE NAME: Salvation Army Complex



Figure 10: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Office 2, c. 1985, looking West

Office 2

This two-story Masonry Vernacular building sits in the northwest corner of the property along the North Fork of the New River (Figure 10). It was constructed c. 1985 of concrete block and is covered in grey stucco. The building has an irregular form, and the west portion of the building was formerly a warehouse. The north and west sides of the building are not visible. The east portion of the building is only one-story tall, and contains both the main and secondary entrances recessed along its south side. The east side has four recessed bays with metal, one-light fixed windows at the top. The eastfacing main entrance is a metal door with a square light recessed in the southeast corner under a canvas awning. There are four south-facing thin vertical windows recessed in the same space. The south-facing secondary entrance is a simple metal door to the left of the main entrance. It is also recessed and has a canvas awning. There were originally seven bays along the south side of the two-story portion of the building. Only the bay in the southwest corner has remained altered. The one directly to the right of it was completely enclosed with plain stucco. Each of the remaining five bays to the right were enclosed with scored stucco and a row of five four-light awning windows at the top. Both portions of the building have a flat, built-up roof.

SITE NAME: Salvation Army Complex



Figure 11: Salvation Army Complex (8BD6347), Super Store, c. 2000, looking Northeast

Super Store

This Masonry Vernacular building sits just east of the Adult Rehabilitation Center, at the northeast corner of W Broward Boulevard and NW 18th Avenue. The Super Store was constructed c. 2000 of concrete blocked and covered in stucco. The building is generally rectangular; however, the southwest and southeast corners extend out slightly. There are storefront windows that run from just north of and around the southwest corner, along the south side, around the southeast corner, and ending just north of the southeast corner. There are metal awnings over the windows. The south-facing commercial glass double doors with transom and side lights sits amongst the storefront windows under a portico. A two-story entry portico is located centrally along the south side, and is taller than the rest of the building. Other than the storefront windows, the east and west sides are blank with no other openings. The north side has a simple metal door and a loading bay with rolling garage door in the northeast corner. There are stucco walls around the AC units on the north side. Most of the area around the Super Store is paved for parking. The building has a flat, built-up roof.

B. DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANCE

The Salvation Army was founded in London in 1865 by William Booth (Salvation Army 2014). He was dedicated to working with the poor and homeless. In 1879 the Salvation

SITE NAME: Salvation Army Complex

Army came to the United States starting in Philadelphia before quickly expanding into New York (Salvation Army 2014). The organization was instrumental in both World Wars in providing help to soldiers and civilians alike.

In Broward County, Ivy Stranahan helped establish the Salvation Army in 1926 and was one of its original board members (Salvation Army 2014). Today, the group owns several properties throughout Broward County. They acquired the property discussed here in 1980. The historic buildings on the property had served a different purpose before that is unclear. Despite the proximity to the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad (8BD4649), the building complex does not appear associated with the railroad.

The Industrial Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles are highly prevalent approaches to architectural design for industrial complexes in Florida and the Salvation Army Complex buildings are common examples of the styles from their period and place of construction. Of the nine buildings within the complex, four are historic. The area around the complex has been substantially altered through the 1970s construction of I-95 and the development along Broward Boulevard. Additionally, the four historic Masonry Vernacular buildings have sustained substantial alterations. Therefore, the Salvation Army Complex located at 1901 W Broward Boulevard is considered National Register—ineligible under Criteria A, B, C, or D, both individually and as part of a historic district.

C. HISTORY AND BIBLIOGRAPHY OF PAST WORK AT SITE

Broward County, Records, Taxes and Treasury Division

1980 Special Warranty Deed. Granted by William R. Robbins (a.k.a. W. R. Robbins) to The Salvation Army, Inc., a Georgia corporation. For the portions of Section 4 of Township 50 South, Range 42 East, which make up the Salvation Army Complex.

Florida Department of Transportation

2017 Aerial Photography. APLUS: Aerial Photo Look Up System. Photographs from 1973, 1984, 1993, 2000, 2009 and 2016 Collier County flights. Electronic documents, https://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/AerialPhotoLookUpSystem/, accessed October 3, 2017.

The Salvation Army, Broward County


Our History. Subpage of the Main Salvation Army website. Webpage accessed on October 4, 2017, at http://www.salvationarmyflorida.org/fortlauderdale/about-us/our-mission.

University of Florida, George A. Smathers Libraries

Aerial Photography: Florida Collection. Photographs from 1953 and 1963 Collier County flights. University of Florida Digital Collections. Electronic documents, http://ufdc.ufl.edu/aerials, accessed October 3, 2017.

PHOTOGRAPH










PHOTOGRAPH




PHOTOGRAPH









Appendix B | SHPO Letters for FMSF Survey Nos. 19752 and 20623

Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

Ms. Linda Anderson

Florida Division Office

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

545 John Knox Road, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32303

3400 W. Commercial Blvd. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

January 29, 2013

ANANTH PRASAD, P.E.
SECRETARY
BURE AU OF
BUR

Subject:

Request for Review

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS)

SR 9/I-95 from SR 848 (Stirling Road) to north of SR 816 (Oakland Park Boulevard)

Financial Management Number: 429804-1-22-01

ETDM Number: 13168 Broward County, Florida

Dear Ms. Anderson:

FDOT, District 4 is currently conducting a Project Development & Environment (PD&E) study for the proposed widening of SR-9/I-95 in Broward County, Florida. The limits of the project are from Stirling Road to north of Oakland Park Boulevard. The primary purpose of the project is to relieve congestion along the I-95 corridor by converting the existing High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes to tolled express lanes and adding one additional lane to the median, in each direction. All improvements will be constructed within current FDOT right of way (ROW). This CRAS was undertaken in order to locate and evaluate archaeological and historic resources within the Area of Potential Effect (APE) and to assess eligibility for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) according to criteria set forth in 36 CFR 60.4.

No newly or previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within the project APE. The historic resources survey resulted in the identification of four previously recorded historic resources and one newly recorded resource within the APE. The resources include one cemetery, one roadway section, one railroad, and two canals. Florida Master Site File (MSF) forms were not updated for three of the previously recorded resources, as they were found to be unchanged since their recordation. The MSF form for the Seaboard Air Line (CSX) Railroad was updated. Previously recorded segments of this resource have been determined to be eligible for listing on the NRHP. The segment that is the subject of this CRAS is also considered eligible for listing. The newly recorded resource, the North Woodlawn Cemetery, is also considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. A Determination of Eligibility (DOE) for the cemetery is included with this CRAS.

SR-9 (I-95) FM 429804.1 Cultural Resources Assessment Survey

A reconnaissance survey was also undertaken in order to identify any significant historic resources adjacent to the current I-95 ROW. The reconnaissance survey resulted in the identification of four previously recorded historic resources, one of which is currently listed (Link Trainer Building) and two of which are considered eligible for listing (CSX Railroad Station and the CSX Railroad Bridge). The Dania Canal Railroad Bridge was determined ineligible for listing in 1999; however, this resource should be reevaluated, as it is likely a contributing resource to a potential CSX Railroad linear historic district.

Please complete the signature block below and forward the additional report copy to SHPO. If you have questions regarding the subject project, please contact me at 954-777-4325, or Lynn Kelley at 954-777-4334.

Sincerely,

Ann Broadwell

Environmental Administrator

FDOT - District 4

Enclosures

Cc: Ray Hol

Ray Holzweiss- District Four

Roy Jackson - CEMO

Project File

SR-9 (I-95) FM 429804.1 Cultural Resources Assessment Survey

The FHWA finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Report complete and sufficient and **☆** approves / ___ does not approve the above recommendations and findings.

The FHWA requests the SHPO's opinion on the sufficiency of the attached report and the SHPO's opinion on the recommendations and findings contained in this cover letter and in the comment block below.

FHWA Comments:

SEE ATTACHED FHUA COMMONTS FOOT PESPONSES OF 3-20-73.
PUERSE ADDRESS COMMENTS OPIDION TO LINDA AMBERSON FIRMA.
P: 850-553-2226. E: link, anderson edst.gov. PLEASE CC:
LYUL KELLEY FLOT DY; MARK CLASCONS FAWA. 2 ROY JACKSON, FOUT COMO.

David C. Hawk

Acting Division Administrator Florida and Puerto Rico Divisions Federal Highway Administration

Date

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Report complete and sufficient and concurs with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/DHR Project File Number 2013-897

Robert Bendus

Director, and State Historic Preservation Officer

Florida Division of Historical Resources

Anderson, Linda (FHWA)

has the following comments:

From:

Anderson, Linda (FHWA)

Sent:

Wednesday, March 06, 2013 12:06 PM

To:

Kelley, Lynn (Lynn.Kelley@dot.state.fl.us)

Cc: Subject:

Jones, Ginny L. (Ginny.Jones@DOS.MyFlorida.com); 'Jackson, Roy' CRAS for SR 9/I-95 from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Blvd., FM # 42980412201

FHWA has reviewed the CRAS for SR 9/I-95 from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Blyd., FM # 42980412201, and

- 1. Does this CRAS clear drainage facilities? Please note pond on plan sheet 8 in area of high site potential. Is this an existing pond or a planned pond? If planned, was it tested for archaeological resources in a previous CRAS? If not, why wasn't it tested during this CRAS?
- 2. FHWA's understanding of this project is that the outer edges of outer lanes will not move; instead, lanes will be added in the median. If this is the case, why was shovel testing done outside of lanes, especially in areas where FDOT ROW appears to be too narrow for ponds?
- 3. Per page 69, no shovel testing was done in areas of low archaeological probability. Per Roy Jackson, low probability zones should have a 100m testing interval with judgment exercised as there are a number of acceptable reasons for not digging in low probability zones. Please test areas of low probability or provide a detailed explanation about why shovel testing is not appropriate in these areas.

FHWA is concerned about the thoroughness of archaeological field testing, but concurs with the NRHP-eligibility determinations for historic resources. FHWA will reserve its determination on the project until it receives a response to the above comments.

Meanwhile, the CRAS will be sent to SHPO via shuttle today for concurrent review. Please cc SHPO on FDOT responses to the above comments. SHPO needs to refrain from concurrence or lack of until FHWA has made its determination.

Thanks.

Linda Anderson Environmental Protection Specialist Federal Highway Administration 545 John Knox Rd., Ste. 200 Tallahassee, FL 32303 P: 850-553-2226

F: 850-942-8308

From:

Kelley, Lynn

To:

Anderson, Linda (FHWA); Fusconi, Vincent

Subject:

FW: response to FHWA comments: CRAS for SR 9/I-95 from Stirling Road to North of Oakland Park Blvd., FM #

42980412201

Date:

Thursday, March 21, 2013 3:45:50 PM

Attachments:

FHWA comments response edited noon 3 14.docx

Hi Linda,

(In red ink)
I was wondering if the attached responses had answered your concerns. Let me know – thanks!

From: Kelley, Lynn

Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 1:37 PM

To: Linda.Anderson@dot.gov

Cc: Fusconi, Vincent; Holzweiss, Ray; Broadwell, Ann L

Subject: FW: response to FHWA comments: CRAS for SR 9/I-95 from Stirling Road to North of Oakland

Park Blvd., FM # 42980412201

Linda, please see attached responses. Please let me know if this is helpful. Thank you!

1. Does this CRAS clear drainage facilities? Please note pond on plan sheet 8 in area of high site potential. Is this an existing pond or a planned pond? If planned, was it tested for archaeological resources in a previous CRAS? If not, why wasn't it tested during this CRAS? – Drainage facilities are not specifically addressed in the CRAS, but all planned drainage facilities for this project fall within the existing FDOT ROW. As such, any new drainage facilities would have fallen within the archaeological APE that was tested for the current project.

The pond on plan sheet 8 that is mentioned is an existing pond. The reference to this pond is only made in Appendix E – Shovel Test Maps. This appendix contains the plan maps overlain with the locations of excavated shovel tests and other notes that were taken during the field survey. The location of this existing pond was noted during the field survey to explain why no shovel testing was conducted there. This notation is not included anywhere else in the CRAS (for instance, in Appendix C).

2. FHWA's understanding of this project is that the outer edges of outer lanes will not move; instead, lanes will be added in the median. If this is the case, why was shovel testing done outside of lanes, especially in areas where FDOT ROW appears to be too narrow for ponds? – The outer edges of lanes will be moved throughout much of the corridor. It is only in the vicinity of North Woodlawn Cemetery that FDOT has made a commitment not to widen or conduct any ground disturbance beyond the edge of the existing pavement.

As with most projects, field testing and research conducted for this CRAS was conducted as multiple alternatives and engineering options were being considered. As such, the archaeological fieldwork was designed at the time to adequately test all possible alternatives, including widening past the edge of existing pavement. The initial results of the CRAS were provided to the FDOT design team as early as possible in order to help them design alternatives that avoid and minimize impacts on any potential cultural resources.

The recommended alternative proposes to widen I-95 on the outside in order to accommodate the new Express Lanes system in the median. However, at the vicinity of the North Woodlawn Cemetery, I-95 will be widened by approximately 6-ft toward the median to avoid impacting this resource. The existing edge outside edge of pavement will remain and the inside shoulder width will be reduced to accommodate the express and general purpose lanes with no impacts to the cemetery.

3. Per page 69, no shovel testing was done in areas of low archaeological probability. Per Roy Jackson, low probability zones should have a 100m testing interval with judgment

exercised as there are a number of acceptable reasons for not digging in low probability zones. Please test areas of low probability or provide a detailed explanation about why shovel testing is not appropriate in these areas. — The archaeological testing was perhaps not explained well enough in the CRAS report. A more detailed explanation is provided here.

A Research Design that included background research for this project, including initial zones of archaeological probability, was prepared and presented to FDOT before any field-testing was conducted. The initial Archaeological Site Potential Zones included in the Research Design were reformatted as Figures 9-1, 9-2, and 9-3 within the current CRAS report.

However, it should be noted that, because of the highly urbanized nature of the project corridor, the initial field reconnaissance and utility coordination revealed that very little of the project corridor was actually testable. This was due to a number of factors including the extensive modifications to the natural ground surface associated with the construction and subsequent modification of the Interstate and the presence of extensive buried utilities. Because of this, some portions of the project corridor that were initially evaluated as having high or moderate archaeological site potential were evaluated in the field as untestable. This was also true for all portions of the project corridor that were initially evaluated as having low archaeological site potential in the original Research Design.

The field crew did their best to include notes and take photographs that document why testing in large portions of the project corridor was not possible. Their notes are overlain on plan maps in Appendix E. Noted disturbances that precluded archaeological testing includes existing ponds, berms, buried utilities, etc.

20623

Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

3400 W. Commercial Blvd. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

ANANTH PRASAD, P.E. SECRETARY

November 7, 2013

Mr. Luis Lopez U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 400 W. Washington Street, Room 4101 Orlando, FL 32801

Subject:

Request for Review

Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS)

SR-842/Broward Boulevard Bridge Replacement Project

Financial Management #: 429958-1-32-01

Broward County, Florida

Dear Mr. Lopez:

The Florida Department of Transportation, District 4, is currently designing a project which will involve the replacement of both the eastbound and westbound bridges (860063 & 860284) on SR-842/Broward Boulevard in the City of Fort Lauderdale. The project also involves drainage improvements, new signalization and lighting, and minor right-of-way acquisition to facilitate driveway connections and transitions. The Class of Action for this project is Minor Categorical Exclusion (MiCE).

The purpose of the CRAS was to identify any significant cultural resources such that they can be avoided as the project proceeds. The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for historic resources included all parcels immediately adjacent to the proposed improvements. The survey resulted in the identification of one previously recorded historic resource (Sailboat Bend Historic District [8BD4428]) and two newly recorded historic resources (1701 W. Broward Boulevard [8BD4997] and 1500 W. Broward Boulevard [8BD4998]) within the project APE. Both of the historic structures are considered ineligible for inclusion in the National Register on an individual basis, as they have common, simple styles and no significant historical associations. There is currently insufficient information with which to make a determination on the National Register–eligibility of the Sailboat Bend Historic District (8BD4428). Only a small portion of the district consisting of two non-historic commercial buildings and 1500 W. Broward Boulevard (8BD4998) are located within the project APE. It should be noted that 1500 W. Broward Boulevard would likely be considered a contributing resource to the district if the district is ever found to be National Register–eligible in the future. A complete survey of this entire district is beyond the scope of the current project. It should also be noted that the two highway bridges were found to be non-historic based on the field reconnaissance.

Cultural Resources Assessment Survey Broward Boulevard Bridge Replacement FM 429958.1

The FMSF search and literature review identified two archaeological sites (8BD2906 and 8BD2915) located within parcels adjacent to the project APE. No newly recorded archaeological sites were identified within the boundaries of the project APE. Eighteen (18) shovel tests were advanced; however no cultural material was identified.

Based on the results of this survey, FDOT has determined that the proposed improvements will not have an adverse effect on any sites or properties that have historical, cultural of sacred significance or that otherwise meet the minimum criteria for listing in the NR. FDOT requests concurrence with this determination.

Please complete the signature block below and forward the additional report copy to SHPO. If you have questions regarding the subject project, please contact me at 954-777-4325, or Lynn Kelley at 954-777-4334.

Sincerely,

Ann Broadwell

Environmental Administrator

FDOT - District 4

Enclosures

Cc:

Sabrina Aubery- District Four

Project File

Cultural Resources Assessment Survey
Broward Boulevard Bridge Replacement
FM 429958.1

The FHWA finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Report complete and sufficient and
approves / ___ does not approve the above recommendations and findings.

The FHWA requests the SHPO's opinion on the sufficiency of the attached report and the SHPO's opinion on the recommendations and findings contained in this cover letter and in the comment block below.

FHWA Comments:

David C. Hawk
Acting Division Administrator
Florida and Puerto Rico Divisions

72-19-2013 Date

Federal Highway Administration


The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Report complete and sufficient and concurs with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/DHR Project File Number 2013-5669


Robert F. Bendus, Director Division of Historical Resources

and State Historic Preservation Officer

Date


Appendix C | Field Conditions





Boulevard Interchange PD&E Study (FP ID No.: 435513-1, ETDM No. 14226)

Historic Cemetery






Field Conditions


Boulevard Interchange PD&E Study (FP ID No.: 435513-1, ETDM No. 14226)


Fort Lauderdale Archaeological Zone

Historic Cemetery



2









Field Conditions

Boulevard Interchange PD&E Study (FP ID No.: 435513-1, ETDM No. 14226)

Fort Lauderdale Archaeological Zone

Historic Cemetery





Appendix D | Survey Log

Ent D (FMSF only)



Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File Version 4.1 1/07 Survey # (FMSF only)

Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

	lden	tification an	d Bibliographic Int	ormation	
Survey Project (name an	d project phase)CRAS_I	[-95 at Bro	oward Boulevard	Interchange	
Report Title (exactly as o	n title page) Cultural	Resource	Assessment Surv	ey Project Develo	oment & Environment
	/SR 9 at SR 842/Br				
	·				
Report Authors (as on tit	le page, last names first)	1. Janus Re	esearch	3.	
Publication Date (year)	2017 Total N	lumber of Pag	ges in Report (count t	ext, figures, tables, not site	forms) 134
					the style of American Antiquity.)
Janus Research, 1	107 N. Ward Street	, Tampa FL	33607		
	rk (even if same as author)				er
Affiliation of Fieldwork	ers: Organization Janus	Research		City _T	ampa
•	n't use county name, or comm			-	
1. I-95	3. Broward Bo	oulevard	5	7	
2. SR 9	4. SR 842		6 .	8	
	ation, government unit, orga				
Name		•		orida Dept of Transporta	tion - District 4
Address/Phone/E-mail	3400 West Commerc				
Recorder of Log Sheet					Completed 10-5-2017
	a continuation of a prev				-
is time our roy or project	a community of a pro-	nous projecti		riotiode carrey no (rine	
			Mapping		
			11 3		
Counties (List each one in	which field survey was done	; attach additior	nal sheet if necessary)		
1. Broward	3. 4.			5	
2	4.			6 .	
HCCC 1:24 DOD Man N	ames/Year of Latest Rev	icion lettech e	dditional about if neacon	noru)	
•				*	V
1. Name FORT LAUDERS		Year_ 1983			
2. Name FORT LAUDERS	DALE SOUTH	Year_ 1983			Year
3. Name		Year	6. Name		Year
		Descript	tion of Survey Are	a	
Dates for Fieldwork: S	Start 9-26-2017 End	9-27-2017	Total Area Sur	veved (fill in ana)	hectares 102 acres
	cts or Areas Surveyed		_ TOTAL ALEA OUI	voyou (IIII III UIR)	
If Corridor (fill in one for a	' -		foot Long	jth: kilometers	miles
II CUITIUUI (IIII III OIIE TOP E	acii) vv iutilII	neters	feet L enç	Julkiloilleters	

	Resear	ch and Field Met	thods		
Types of Survey (check all that apply):	⊠archaeological □damage assessment	⊠architectural □monitoring report	□historical/a	_	underwater
Scope/Intensity/Procedures Pede	estrian survey for	archaeology;	survey and d	locumentatio	on for historic
resources.					
Preliminary Methods (check as many a	as annly to the project as a y	whole)			
	Ilibrary research- <i>local public</i>		ocal property or tax re	ecords Do	ther historic maps
	library-special collection - <i>non</i>		ewspaper files		oils maps or data
	☑Public Lands Survey (maps at	DEP)	terature search	□w	vindshield survey
Site File survey search [□]	local informant(s)		Sanborn Insurance ma	ps ⊠ao	erial photography
▼other (describe): Janus Library					
Archaeological Methods (check as ma	any as apply to the project as	s a whole)			
Check here if NO archaeological metho		,			
surface collection, controlled	shovel test-of	ther screen size]block excavation ((at least 2x2 m)
surface collection, <u>un</u> controlled	water screen	1	_	soil resistivity	
shovel test-1/4"screen	posthole test	S		magnetometer	
shovel test-1/8" screen	auger tests		_	side scan sonar	
shovel test 1/16"screen shovel test-unscreened	□ coring	ion (at least 1x2 m)]pedestrian survey]unknown	
other (describe):	Litest excavation	on (at least 1X2 III)	L	Janknown	
Historical/Architectural Methods (characteristics) Check here if NO historical/architectural	al methods were used.				
	demolition permits exposed ground inspected		eighbor interview ccupant interview		subdivision maps stax records
	local property records		ccupation permits		□unknown
⊠other (describe): aerial photogr			эээринэн ролния		_
		/oultural recour			
Site Significance Evaluated? ⊠Ye	•	(cultural resour	ces recorded)		
•		Count of Nowly	Decembed Cites	4.0	
Count of Previously Recorded Sites		Count of Newly	-	48	
P reviously Recorded Site #'s with S	ite File Update Forms (List	site #'s without "8".	. Attach additional _l	pages it necessar	y.) BD3414
Newly Recorded Site #'s (Are all original contents)	inale and not undates? List s	site #'s without "9"	Attach additional na	nace if nacassary	1 DDC200 DDC244 DDC247
ivewiy necolued one # 3 (Ale all ong	ilais aliu ilut upuates: List s	site # 5 Without O . I	Attacii auuitioilai pa	iyes ii liecessary.	BD6298-BD6344, BD6347
					
Site Forms Used: ☐Site File Pa	per Form ⊠Site File	Electronic Recording	ng Form		
***DECUIDED ATTACH	DI OT OF OURVEY	ADEA ON DUG		11000 4 04	
REQUIRED: ATTACH	PLUT OF SURVEY	AREA UN PHO	JIUCUPY UF	USGS 1:24	H,UUU MAP(S)
SHPO USE ONLY	CI.	HPO USE ONLY		c	HPO USE ONLY
		HPU USE UNLY			
Origin of Report: □872 □CARL □ □Grant Project #]UW □1A32 #	Compliance Revi		□Contract □A	vocational
Type of Document: Archaeological Sur	vey □Historical/Architectura avation Report □Multi-Site □TG □Other:	•	Survey Cell Tow		itoring Report ,, Hist. or Archival Doc

Plotability:

Document Destination:

